

1 **1. Stress testing**

2 Stress testing was defined as:

- 3 • Test the process as developed by applying the process to “corner case” situation and understand whether such a case results in a
- 4 unwanted outcome or side effects.
- 5 • If the outcome of that situation results in an unwanted outcome or side effects.
- 6 Policy/Process may need to be adjusted/refined.

7

8 The stress testing was conducted in Montreal working through the responses on the following questions:

- 9 • What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- 10 • Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
- 11 • Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

12

13 Moving forward (to ensure consistency) these questions will be used to examine each of the additional identified corner cases.

14

15 **2. Identified cases**

16 ***Significant names change of country (resulting in change of ccTLD).***

17 Examples are:

- 18 • ZR (Zaire) to CD (Congo, Democratic Republic of) (1997)
- 19 • TP (East Timor) to TL (Timor-Leste) (2002)

20 Question: Does replacement from the former ccTLD by the new ccTLD imply a delegation of the new ccTLD or should it be considered as an

21 exception of the regular case of delegation?

22

23 Outcome of the examination:

24 No exception. No additional change Operationally or transfer/ delegation

25 Policy no exception, no need to adjust

26

27

28 ***Domain Names under management at removal date.***

29 At agreed end-date (date of removal from the root-zone) Second Level domain names are still under management of the ccTLD Manager,

30 despite reasonable efforts from the ccTLD Manager to end registrations

31
32 Does it make a difference if only a few (10) or thousands (10.000 or more) SLDs are active at the time of removal?

33 Outcome examination:

34 Difference does not matter, avoid gaming the policy

35 Case added 3166 to accommodate new names

36 No Need to adjust the process/policy

37

38 **3. Breach of Retirement Agreement**

39 Various situations:

40 • The ccTLD Managers continues to promote ccTLD and accepting registrations during retirement process. Does it make a difference if at
41 removal date no SLDs under management or the number of registrations under management has not declined or has even increased
42 compared to number at date of Retirement Notification?

43 • The ccTLD Manager stops all activities i.e. goes off

44 • The ccTLD Managers takes no action resulting in serious deterioration of the zone

45 Outcome Examination:

46 Plan continues as agreed

47 Compliance not applicable.

48 Too complicated

49 No need to adjust process/policy

50

51 ***ccTLD Manager goes bankrupt after Notification of Retirement***

52 Outcome Examination:

53 Broke substantively responsibility of operator

54 Revocation after substantial misconduct

55 Security and stability issue: IFO assess on case-by case basis

56 Linkage active SLD -> that is not material to remove to root

57 No need to adjust process/policy

58 ***Request for Transfer post Retirement Notice***

59 • Retirement result of significant name change

60 Both apply

- 61
- 62 • Retirement result of dissolution country, significant interested parties cannot be identified
 - 63 GAP, but indirectly addressed, special power transfer

64 Outcome Examination:

65 Special policy requirement to transfer is considered reasonable. GAP in existing transfer / retirement policy. Proposed policy/process needs to
66 be adjusted to accommodate specific transfer.

67

68

69 ***ccTLD Manager ends membership of the ccNSO and claims policies (Retirement & RFC1591/FoI) are therefore not applicable***

- 70 • Question: does it matter if ccTLD Manager Acts with support of SIP or without support of SIP?

71 Outcome Examination:

72 Note: the ccNSO Council recently established that membership of ccNSO by definition ends when entity listed as ccTLD Manager is no longer
73 listed as such in the IANA Root Database, implying that for the duration of the retirement process membership of the ccNSO does not end,
74 unless it is actively terminated by the Manager.

75

76 Up to ICANN to decide whether relevant

77 No need to adjust policy/process

78

79 ***Country Code was removed from list of Assigned codes because country dissolved.***

- 80 • Code was re-assigned shortly afterwards (within 10 years) to another country added to the list

81 Outcome examination:

82 Currently impossible. No need to adjust policy/process

83

- 84 • After several years (during retirement process) code is exceptionally reserved, specifically as ccTLD

85 Outcome Examination:

86 No need to adjust policy/process

87

88 **3. New, additional “corner cases/situations” in order to examine**

89 In Montreal the WG identified the following additional situations to be subject to the stress testing. To organise the stress testing process,
90 each group member was asked to identify the 5 to be tested first. Based on that ranking (see: <https://nl.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-7XTP3BW7/>)
91

- 92 • Lines of communication between ccTLD Manager and IFO: authoritative (5 out of 6)
- 93 • Breach Agreement due to court injunction (4 out of 6)
- 94 • Breach of Agreement due to applicable national law/ Court order (4 out of 6)
- 95 • Breach of Agreement during extension period (4 out of 6)
- 96 • Island state disappears, but commercial interests keep ccTLD “alive” (3 out of 6)
- 97 • Unforeseen technical consequences/significant consequences for other affecting other TLDs/DNS in general (3 out of 6)
- 98 • Country disappears/ however there is a clear successor state (2 out of 6)
- 99 • 3166 disappears/decision of ISO 3166 MA is completely out of line, in breach of own rules (1 out of 6)
- 100 • Assets go to other party (1 out of 6)
- 101 • Does the retirement policy apply to pending retirement case? ((1 out of 6)
- 102
- 103

104 **4. Stress testing**

105 ***Lines of communication between ccTLD Manager and IFO: authoritative***

106 Examination questions:

- 107 • What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- 108 • Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
- 109 • Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?
- 110

111 Outcome examination:

112 ***Cases Breach of Agreement***

- 113 • Due to court injunction
- 114 • Due to applicable national law / Court order
- 115
- 116 • Breach of agreement during extension period
- 117
- 118

119

120 First question, specifically related to this cluster of situations: Is there a significant difference between Breach of Agreement due to court
121 injunction and Court order/ breach of national (applicable) law?

122

123 With respect to each of the three (3) identified situations the following examination questions need to be answered:

- 124
- 125 • What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
 - 126 • Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
 - 127 • Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

127

128 Outcome examination:

129

130

131 Note the previously cases of Breach of Agreement that have been identified and discussed:

- 132
- 133 • The ccTLD Managers continues to promote ccTLD and accepting registrations during retirement process. Does it make a difference if at
134 removal date no SLDs under management or the number of registrations under management has not declined or has even increased
135 compared to number at date of Retirement Notification?
 - 136 • The ccTLD Manager stops all activities i.e. goes off
 - 137 • The ccTLD Managers takes no action resulting in serious deterioration of the zone

137

138

139 ***Island state disappears, but commercial interests keep ccTLD “alive”***

140 Examination questions:

- 141
- 142 • What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
 - 143 • Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
 - 144 • Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

144

145 Outcome examination:

146

147 ***Unforeseen technical consequences/significant consequences for other affecting other TLDs/DNS in general***

148 Examination questions:

- 149
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- 150
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
- 151
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

152

153 Outcome examination:

154

155

156 ***Country disappears/ however there is a clear successor state***

157 Examination questions:

- 158
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- 159
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
- 160
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

161

162 Outcome examination:

163

164

165 **3166 disappears/decision of ISO 3166 MA is completely out of line, in breach of own rules**

166 Examination questions:

- 167
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- 168
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
- 169
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

170

171 Outcome examination:

172

173

174 ***Assets go to other party***

175 Examination questions:

- 176
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- 177
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
- 178
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

179
180 Outcome examination:
181
182
183 ***Does the retirement policy apply to pending retirement case?***
184 Examination questions:
185 • What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
186 • Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/unacceptable?
187 • Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?
188
189 Outcome examination: