# Stress Testing DRAFT v1.9 ccNSO 2019-12-03

# 5 1 Stress Testing

- 6 Stress testing was defined as:
- Test the process as developed by applying the process to corner case situations
- 8 and understand whether such a case results in a unwanted outcome or side ef-
- 9 fects.
- If the outcome of that situation results in an unwanted outcome or side effects.
- 11 Policy/Process may need to be adjusted/refined.

- 12 The stress testing was conducted in Montreal working through the responses on the
- 13 following questions:
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- 16 acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?
- 18 Moving forward (to ensure consistency) these questions will be used to examine
- 19 each of the additional identified corner cases.

# **20 2 Identified Cases**

- 21 2.1 Significant names change of country (resulting in change of ccTLD).
- **23 2.1.1 Examples**
- ZR (Zaire) to CD (Congo, Democratic Republic of) (1997)
- TP (East Timor) to TL (Timor-Leste) (2002)

### **26 2.1.2 Question**

- 27 Does replacement from the former ccTLD by the new ccTLD imply a delegation of
- 28 the new ccTLD or should it be considered as an exception of the regular case of
- 29 delegation?

#### 30 2.1.3 Outcome examination

- No exception. No additional change Operationally or transfer/ delegation
- Policy no exception, no need to adjust

# **2.2 Domain Names under management at removal date.**

### **34 2.2.1 Question**

- At agreed end-date (date of removal from the root-zone) Second Level domain
- names are still under management of the ccTLD Manager, despite reasonable
- efforts from the ccTLD Manager to end registrations
- Does it make a difference if only a few (10) or thousands (10.000 or more) SLDs
- are active at the time of removal?

### 40 2.2.2 Outcome examination

- Difference does not matter, avoid gaming the policy
- Case added 3166 to accommodate new names
- No Need to adjust the process/policy

# **44 3 Breach of Retirement Agreement**

### 45 3.1 Various situations

- The ccTLD Managers continues to promote ccTLD and accepting registrations
- during retirement process. Does it make a difference if at removal date no SLDs
- 48 under management or the number of registrations under management has not
- declined or has even increased compared to number at date of Retirement Noti-
- 50 fication?
- The ccTLD Manager stops all activities i.e. goes off
- The ccTLD Managers takes no action resulting in serious deterioration of the
- 53 zone

### 54 3.1.1 Outcome examination

- Plan continues as agreed
- Compliance not applicable.
- Too complicated
- No need to adjust process/policy

# 4 ccTLD Manager Goes Bankrupt After Notification of Retirement

# 61 4.1 Outcome examination

- Broke substantively responsibility of operator
- Revocation after substantial misconduct
- Security and stability issue: IFO assess on case-by case basis
- Linkage active SLD -> that is not material to remove to root
- No need to adjust process/policy

# **5 Request for Transfer post Retirement Notice**

- 68 5.1 Result of significant name change
- **69** Both apply
- 5.2 Result of dissolution country, significant interested parties
   cannot be identified
- 72 GAP, but indirectly addressed, special power transfer
- 73 5.2.1 Outcome Examination
- Special policy requirement to transfer is considered reasonable.
- GAP in existing transfer / retirement policy.
- Proposed policy/process needs to be adjusted to accommodate specific transfer.

- 77 6 ccTLD Manager ends membership of the ccNSO and
- claims policies (Retirement & RFC1591/FoI) are
- 79 therefore not applicable
- 80 6.1 Question: Does it matter if ccTLD Manager Acts with support of SIP or without support of SIP?
- 82 6.1.1 Outcome Examination:
- 83 Note: the ccNSO Council recently established that membership of ccNSO by defi-
- 84 nition ends when entity listed as ccTLD Manager is no longer listed as such in the
- 85 IANA Root Database, implying that for the duration of the retirement process mem-
- 86 bership of the ccNSO does not end, unless it is actively terminated by the Manager.
- Up to ICANN to decide whether relevant
- No need to adjust policy/process

- 7 Country Code Was Removed From List of Assigned
   Codes Because Country Dissolved.
- 7.1 Code was re-assigned shortly afterwards (within 10 years) to another country added to the list
- 93 7.1.1 Outcome examination:
- Currently impossible.
- No need to adjust policy/process
- 7.2 After several years (during retirement process) code is
   exceptionally reserved, specifically as ccTLD
- 98 7.3 Outcome Examination:
- 99 No need to adjust policy/process.

# 100 8 New, Additional *Corner Cases/Situations* in Order to 101 Examine

- 102 In Montreal the WG identified the following additional situations to be subject to
- 103 the stress testing. To organize the stress testing process, each group member was
- 104 asked to identify the 5 to be tested first. Based on that ranking (see: https://nl.sur-
- 105 veymonkey.com/results/SM-7XTTP3BW7)
- Lines of communication between ccTLD Manager and IFO: authoritative (5 out
- 107 of 6)
- Breach Agreement due to court injunction (4 out of 6)
- Breach of Agreement due to applicable national law/ Court order (4 out of 6)
- Breach of Agreement during extension period (4 out of 6)
- Island state disappears, but commercial interests keep ccTLD *alive* (3 out of 6)
- Unforeseen technical consequences/significant consequences for other affect-
- ing other TLDs/DNS in general (3 out of 6)
- Country disappears/ however there is a clear successor state (2 out of 6)
- 3166 disappears/decision of ISO 3166 MA is completely out of line, in breach of own rules (1 out of 6)

- Assets go to other party (1 out of 6)
- Does the retirement policy apply to pending retirement case? ((1 out of 6)

## 119 8.1 Stress testing

- 120 8.2 Lines of communication between ccTLD Manager and IFO:
- 121 authoritative
- 122 8.2.1 Examination questions
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

### 127 8.2.2 Outcome examination

# 128 8.3 Cases Breach of Agreement

- Due to court injunction
- Due to applicable national law / Court order
- Breach of agreement during extension period

### 132 8.3.1 First question, specifically related to this cluster of situations

- 133 Is there a significant difference between Breach of Agreement due to court injunc-
- 134 tion and Court order/ breach of national (applicable) law?
- 135 With respect to each of the three (3) identified situations the following examination
- 136 questions need to be answered:
- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

### 141 8.3.2 Outcome examination

- 142 Note the previously cases of Breach of Agreement that have been identified and 143 discussed:
- The ccTLD Managers continues to promote ccTLD and accepting registrations
- during retirement process. Does it make a difference if at removal date no SLDs
- under management or the number of registrations under management has not
- declined or has even increased compared to number at date of Retirement Noti-
- 148 fication?
- The ccTLD Manager stops all activities i.e. goes off
- The ccTLD Managers takes no action resulting in serious deterioration of the zone

# 152 8.4 Island state disappears, but commercial interests keep ccTLD alive

### 154 8.4.1 Examination questions

• What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?

- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

#### 159 8.4.2 Outcome examination

# 160 8.5 Unforeseen technical consequences/significant consequences 161 for other affecting other TLDs/DNS in general

### 162 8.5.1 Examination questions

- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

### 167 8.5.2 Outcome examination

# 168 8.6 Country disappears/ however there is a clear successor state

### 169 8.6.1 Examination questions

- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

### 174 8.6.2 Outcome examination

# 175 8.7 3166 disappears/decision of ISO 3166 MA is completely out of line, in breach of own rules

### 177 8.7.1 Examination questions

• What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?

- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- 180 acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

#### 182 8.7.2 Outcome examination

# 183 8.8 Assets go to other party

### 184 8.8.1 Examination questions

- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- 187 acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

### 189 8.8.2 Outcome examination

# 190 8.9 Does the retirement policy apply to pending retirement case?

### 191 8.9.1 Examination questions:

- What is outcome of this situation when process is invoked?
- Is the outcome of that situation/the result unwanted or are side effects unwanted/un-
- 194 acceptable?
- Does Policy/Process need to be adjusted/refined?

### 196 8.9.2 Outcome examination