
BRENDA BREWER: Good day everyone, this is Brenda speaking. I'd like to welcome you to the ATRT3 Plenary Call on the 18th of December, 2019, at 1100 UTC. The members attending the call include Daniel, Cheryl, Demi, Jaap, Jacques, Pat, Sébastien, Vanda and Wolfgang. We have observers Everton Rodriguez, Jim Prendergast, Herb Waye, Avri Doria, Sophie Hey, and Yang Hunyu.

Attending from ICANN Org is Jennifer, Negar, and Brenda Brewer. Technical Writer Bernie is on the call. We do have apologies from Osvaldo. Today's meeting is being recorded. I'd like to remind you to please state your name before speaking, and Co-Chairs Cheryl and Pat, I will turn the call over to you. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks, Brenda. Cheryl here. Hopefully Pat can pick up the slack and get us started.

PAT KANE: Thank you very much, Cheryl. Alright so I guess the first item that we've got in review of Action Items is the Brussels face-to-face. Do we have any update on that, Jennifer?

JENNIFER BRYCE: Thanks Pat, this is Jennifer. So I believe that the participants that need visas have all, the issues that we discussed on last week's call have been resolved. And I see Daniel on the call, I know that he was having some

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

difficulty, thank you Daniel for your patience. Do let us know if any other issues arise, but as far as visas, I think we're in good shape.

I know the hotel accommodation has not yet been confirmed, I know that the travel team are working on finalizing the contract and hopefully we'll get the accommodation email out to you shortly. Whether that's going to happen before the Christmas break I'm unsure, hopefully it will, though. With that, I don't have any updates on Brussels other than that, but I'm happy to answer any questions.

PAT KANE:

Thanks Jennifer, this is Pat. So, has any communication gone out yet from travel?

JENNIFER BRYCE:

Yes, the welcome email went out I believe last week or maybe even the week before that? So if you're missing that, in fact, Pat, I think we had some issue with yours, Brenda, correct me if I'm wrong, I think there was maybe a bounce back on your email address. Did you not receive it?

PAT KANE:

I did not, I got the notification from Brenda that it had bounced, but I've not seen anything since then, so I don't know if I should have expected it, Brenda, or not.

BRENDA BREWER: This is Brenda, yes, I advised Travel that your email was correct. Apparently they sent it out a number of times and it came back so I'll double check again today.

PAT KANE: I think maybe we might have blocked the ICANN email addresses, I guess.

BRENDA BREWER: Okay, well we'll look into that.

PAT KANE: I'm sorry, that was a joke.

BRENDA BREWER: Ha, ha, there's my laugh.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Alright, well I also see Bernie saying he's not seen anything too, so maybe we can resolve your issue offline as well, Bernie.

PAT KANE: Thank you, Jennifer. And then the second item that we had for reviewed action items is the updated work party. So, you want to take us through that as well?

JENNIFER BRYCE:

Yes, please, hopefully Brenda can pull that up on the screen in the Zoom Room, if you don't have the link, I'll pop it into the chat here. Alright, so just a quick overview of some of the updates I proposed that we make to the work plan. I'm happy to have a discussion here on the call about any of these. What I suggest we do after we have this discussion is just send this to the list for a final check before we get the updates made.

There's a couple of highlighted cells beginning first of all in line item 29 under Research And Studies. Identify briefings and data sources needed. I'm proposing that we update that now to 100% as complete, given that the research is now done. I'm just going to move on, please do raise your hand or just speak up if you would like to raise anything.

Okay, moving on to the Community Survey section line item number 40, Socialize survey results and gather any additional feedback. We did that in Montreal and so I suggest again that we mark that as complete. The section Prepare Draft Report which is the big section in orange, I just made a statement at the top here, suggest updating all in the section to 100% given that the draft report is now published and that published on Monday the 16th of December.

So, if you recall, we broke down the section into each of the sections within the report, and so as all of these sections are now written and published, we should update this to 100%. That covers quite a chunk of the work plan here. The next section, Socialized Recommendations, seek input and guidance from the Caucus group and subject matter...

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Can I be on the queue? Sébastien speaking, thanks.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yes, sure, would you like to speak now or should I finish the review?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: You may finish, thank you very much, I go back on mute and I will come back.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay, thank you. Okay, so socializing, the recommendations again, we targeted completion at the end of November, I've suggested updating that one to 100%. And then the public comment on the draft report, this is where I made actually some proposals to change dates, as well as the percentages. So, obviously the first line item, prepare and approve draft report for public comment, given that the report is now out for public comment, I suggest marking that one as complete.

The second one, published draft report for public comment, it's currently at zero, I suggested updating that one to 75% because the bulk of the work is done, it's out and the public comment period runs through the 31st of January, so not really much we can do except wait there but I think we shouldn't quite mark it as complete yet, so I just suggested 75% there.

The analysis of public comment input and publish staff report of public comments, these dates I suggested we update these to match with the actual public comment period given that the date changed slightly. We were initially having the close date on the 22nd of January and now it's the 31st of January, so I do suggest that we update the work plan to

reflect that. So the analysis of public comment input would start a little later, so 1st of February through to 7th of February, which is the dates that it just correlates with how we had it previously here, I just added a week onto that.

And then the staff report of public comments, that would be finishing on the 14th of February as opposed to this 6th of every per the public comment process. And then the final report dates, again, I just suggested pushing these a week to reflect the change in the publication date, so that would mean these final dates change from the 11th of March to the 18th of March, that's the Wednesday after the Cancun meeting, so that would be assemble final recommendations and update draft would be February basically through to the 18th of March, and the same with cross check final recommendations and scope and bylaws, February through the 20th of March.

And then publish final report and submit final report we had previously had those the day after we cross check the final recommendations and update the draft report and as we know from the draft report process, just to do a final proofread of spelling and grammar and the formatting, so I just suggested that we put the final date there as the 5th of April which is the one year after the review kicked off. So with that, I know it's maybe a little bit that you need to think on there, but hopefully that made sense. Seeing no hands, I'll go straight to Sebastian.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you very much, Sébastien Bachollet speaking. I hope that we will discuss about the [inaudible] report and therefore not to close this item

about the date and the percentage, until after the discussion. Thank you very much.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay, thank you. So it sounds like we may continue with the rest of the agenda today and then perhaps we can come back and figure out what we want to do with the percentages on the work plan if you want to have that discussion. Does that sound like the best way forward?

PAT KANE: Yeah, Jennifer, but I do have one question. I saw yesterday in some of the email lists about translated summaries.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yes.

PAT KANE: Is that something that we've got coming out soon?

JENNIFER BRYCE: For the draft report we will have the summary section translated into the five UN languages and that will be before the holiday here, so before the 23rd of December.

PAT KANE: Okay, so that would be part of the reason why we're 75% on the published draft report, correct?

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yeah, exactly.

PAT KANE: Okay, got it.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay, I also see Jacques in the queue here, Jacques?

JACQUES BLANC: Jacques for the record. Are we looking at adding the Brussels meeting on the face-to-face?

JENNIFER BRYCE: Oh, thank you, yes, I should add that. I did add Cancun, but I will certainly add Brussels. Thank you for pointing that out. Okay, I see no other hands raised and I'm not hearing anyone else. So like I said, we can continue the meeting today, I will circulate this again to the list with any other updates that we might come to given the discussions today, and I'll hand back over to you, Pat, thank you.

PAT KANE: Thank you very much, Jennifer, I appreciate that. Alright, so moving on to going to post-holiday schedule and what we got on the calendar agenda is the 8th of January. So do we want to continue the same cadence that we've got, or do we want to move to some other kind of

schedule in terms of having conversations during the comment period. Any suggestions about what we want to do there? Do we want to continue to talk through some of the items in the report?

The accountability indicators we've not done a great deal of conversation on, but what if any suggestions do we have from the team as to how we'd like to continue through at least January and into maybe into the Brussels meeting? Do we have any positives or any people who would like to continue the same cadence where we have leadership team on Monday and then Wednesdays would be our general plenary? Is anybody awake out there?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Sébastien Bachollet speaking. Yes, thank you very much. Once again I don't know when you will have the discussion about the current document but I really would like that we have this discussion. I sent email, I didn't receive any answer and I think it must be discussed and for the rest I hope that we will be able to do some work from now on because there is still some work to be done and to see how we will enter the comment, we have some idea of what comments we may get and how we want to then go ahead. Thank you.

PAT KANE:

Sébastien, why don't we get to Any Other Business and we'll bring that up and you can interject at that point in time. But in terms of the calendar, in terms of what I want to move through in January, do we have any suggestions? Jaap, your hand is raised?

JAAP AKKERHUIS: I guess the best thing to do is just keep the current schedule, plot it out in agenda. We can always cancel when there is nothing to discuss.

PAT KANE: I think that's right, Jaap, and seeing no indications that people are wanting less or wanting more, that's probably what we should probably do. So if there are those that are in favor of keeping the same cadence starting January 8th, go ahead and hit Yes or thumbs up, please.

I've got a yes from Jaap, yes from Cheryl, yes from Vanda, thumbs up from Jacques, okay great, so we're moving in the direction of that's what we'll do. So we'll see the same cadence and if we don't have anything to discuss we will try to cancel it 24 hours in advance if we can.

Alright. So let's move to Any Other Business and so Sébastien if you would like to interject your comments that you want to make on the draft report, please.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you very much. Everybody received my email, nobody answer except to ask to have a meeting on Monday who was not organized. I didn't have the opportunity to read the last version, it was not available at the time when I was looking for, and I am also surprised that we didn't get any feedback on that about the trouble to get the survey report from the website.

I am disappointed with the way that it's going on and not just the fact that we can disagree but the fact that when there is something said on email the only answer is no answer and we publish the document and that's the way to tell me go away, we don't care about what you say and we don't care about what you think. That's not the best way to have the team working.

I know that I am not the only one thinking like that. I am not saying that we are a lot but I know that there are others, even if they don't speak openly here yet or they are not on the call, or they're not participating. But once again the fact that my point of view is not considered at all, it's very, very troublesome for me. I was coming to a team where we were working on accountability and transparency and I feel that we are not either accountable and neither transparent, and that's a pity for what we are doing.

Therefore, now the document is published, I still am thinking about what I will do if will send email to I don't know whom to say that I disagree with this process but for the moment I say it here, and now you can take it as you want what I say, it's a little bit late but I consider that we are not doing the right work here. Thank you.

PAT KANE:

So, Sébastien, I'm trying to, I'm going back through the emails and the one that you're referring to the one that you sent on Sunday?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: You know, I am really sorry but I have to get out of my car to go to my house and to open my laptop, but I will try to find out when it was. I guess it was on Sunday, on Monday, depending on where you are in the world, but it's somewhere around that, yes.

PAT KANE: It was my early Sunday evening, so it had been your very early Monday morning, okay, got it. So, when you're opening up and having a conversation about the planning on the draft report and you're pulling things out of the calendar, and I understand what you're putting out there, but we had agreed upon a date that we were moving forward on and we were very clear in just about every meeting through November that our target date was the 15th for publication and that we were going to put pens down and try to polish things up in ample time, which was about a two week window, so that we could get things done.

So, I understand that you're concerned there was no specific response to your comment, but in terms of the delivery date and the terms of what we were trying to achieve, I think we've been pretty clear that those are the dates that we were trying to get to.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Please, Pat, if you want we can discuss that. When did we have a chance to have the full document and to be able to discuss the full document together? When did we have a chance to I don't know what is the word, to accept, to agree with the full document? Never, never, never. And yeah, the date was there and the date was followed, definitely, but one of the line I guess on the Excel sheets say agreement,

but I don't feel that we get agreement on each pieces, except the first one and we didn't get an agreement on the full document.

PAT KANE:

But Sébastien, we got agreement on the component parts and that was the objective, and as we walked through each section and got agreement on the component parts by a time where we could actually polish up the report and then publish the report, we needed two weeks. So I understand that you preferred to have a review of the entire document, but that wasn't part of what we had talked about in terms of the actual plan.

We talked about approving the component parts, putting pens down, polishing the report, and publishing. And if that was something that was an expectation or whether it was laid out by myself or by Cheryl, if that was an expectation, I don't recall that being noted in any conversation that we had leading up how we were going to publish the report. So we came to agreement on component parts and then we published.

So, I do understand what you're saying and now we've got a comment period, and as I noted in Skype to you, we can certainly go through these parts and continue to have conversations during the public comment period. So I think we're fine in terms of the process that we went through because the things we talked about, we did. Alright, Japp, your hand is raised?

JAAP AKKERHUIS:

Yeah, first I saw the email from Sébastien this weekend just before we went on holiday. And especially since that date in that email were at least a week off, I thought some old email which mistakenly escaped his mailbox, so that's the reason I didn't react.

The other thing is yes, we got agreement about all the parts and I agree with Sébastien that we never had felt that this is it, but given that, nobody objected that it went for copy editing, just proofreading, it basically means there is nothing to be changed on the document, that's what it meant to me two weeks ago. I think I commented on it, on the main list, that I take it that no substantial changes can be made at all at this stage.

So yes, maybe there is a formal case to be made about there is not a specific call, I do agree that we can send this document have to proofreading but the fact that all the parts have been approved basically essentially gives you the idea that the whole document got approved. You cannot approve just the parts without and then say we don't approve of the whole document.

PAT KANE:

Thank you, Jaap. Is there anybody else that would like to make a comment here? Alright, seeing none, and I think that at this point in time, and Sébastien, again, I understand what you're saying and while I'm in a bit of disagreement with you on that, I think that where we are right now is that it is published and if there is some place specifically that you would like to note that where things are in the document that

were not agreed to in the initial process, please note them and let's have a continuing conversation on those over time.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Sébastien speaking. Once again, I don't get the last version but I really think that you can tell me that we went through all the parts of the document, but no, we didn't, we didn't get to Section 1 and Section 2. That's where I have concern and it's where I put some questions and Jaap, it was not to change the meaning it was eventually to be agreed on what are the more important parts we want to put there and some other issue about how we will have a document sent to people who don't know about ATRT3 work and we want their comments and I have made on email some comments on that.

We can always consider that a few people can do the work I consider that we were supposed to either approve draft report for public comment and we approve some parts but not all the part, and not the whole document. That's mainly my summary of where we are today. We will work whatever we want to improve but the fact that it's now in the end of other people and I feel sorry for them.

PAT KANE:

Thank you, Sébastien. This is Pat again. So, again, I would suggest that if we've missed something, if there's something that's incorrect in the summaries, in those sections, then let's please note them and let's make sure that we get them modified for the final report and let's have conversations on that. And if there are areas to where the draft report

is misrepresenting where we think we agreed to, but I don't think it does, let's note those and continue that conversation.

We do have an opportunity to correct this in the final report and we have to face-to-face meeting sessions that we will get through this as well. So yes, we've noted your objections and where we are, I think that it's just a matter of identifying where you believe or where you perceive that we've misrepresented something or something needs to change, then let's have that conversation moving forward, okay? Alright, do we have any other business? Jennifer, your hand is raised.

JENNIFER BRYCE:

Thank you, I just have one other piece of AOB which is regarding a webinar during the public comment period. It's something that we discussed on the leadership call but not on the plenary. So, I'll just quickly recap that during the public comment period some review teams do choose to have a webinar. I think from the leadership call it sounded as if that's something that this group would like to do.

And so as Staff we identified some dates in mid January, I believe the 13th and 14th, so we could perhaps do a 2100 UTC and an 1100 UTC webinar. Instead of trying to get everyone to agree on this call perhaps what we could do is just send a doodle with a couple of different options and see if we can get a webinar scheduled for January. Does that sound like the best way forward?

PAT KANE: Thank you Jennifer, this is Pat. I think that is a good way to move forward in terms of the doodle and I think those dates look fairly open in terms of conflicting events. But yes, let's go ahead and move forward on a doodle, unless there's objections please indicate a no if you've got objections in the participant window, and if not, let's go ahead and move forward on it Jennifer, thank you.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Alright, thanks so much.

PAT KANE: Sébastien, your hand is raised.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yes, thank you. I'm not sure that I get everything that Jennifer just said, we may have a presentation to do to some groups, when we will be able to have a PowerPoint ready for that, or do we need to do it by ourselves? Thank you.

PAT KANE: So, Sébastien, without groups would you be intending to have a conversation with? Because there's no global event but is there smaller groups that you intend or have been asked to put together a presentation on outside of the webinar?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yeah, the webinar will be in the middle of January, that means that if people want to think and work , they need to add some input and within At-Large we have different groups, one is one on policy and it's meeting each and every week and I think it's important for them to know before 15th of January. Because if not, that means that we will give them some input in middle of January and we will have just two or three weeks to answer. But I wanted to know if a PowerPoint would be available for each of us. Thank you. Vanda?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda for the record. Yeah, I agree it would be very interesting to have something, because we're going to have regional calls during January and certainly we can add some value explain to assistance, because in my region, for instance, normally comes out 40 or 50 people came to assist this call.

And the call is in another language, this could be interesting to explain some points to them and if we have some materials to facilitate the interaction during the call would be great. So, it's just an idea because I certainly will ask for our meeting In January for my region a time to respond to any questions that my colleagues have about the ATRT report. So if we have it's good, if not, we can survive. Thank you

PAT KANE: Yes, Bernie, your hand is raised.

BERNARD TURCOTTE: Yes, we can get a presentation done, we'll try to get it done before the Christmas break, if not, it will be done for the new year and we will circulate it to the group if that is the will of the Co-Chairs.

PAT KANE: So this is Pat, thank you Bernie for that, I think that's a good idea for us to do. I certainly don't want to figure out how to do slides for 223 pages, but if we had half a dozen pages covering the high points I think that would be a good starting point for everyone to have their smaller regional meetings and discussions. Any objections to that?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: No, thank you, Bernie. It will be great, I can translate. Thank you

PAT KANE: Alright, Sébastien, thank you very much for that suggestion and Bernie, thank you very much for fulfilling the suggestion. I appreciate that. Alright, if we have any other business then, if we don't, Jennifer can you close this on confirmed actions or decisions reached today?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Sorry, Pat, Sébastien speaking. I just want to be sure that the document is now available on the website for everybody on the comment page, I didn't hear that plan were solved, I didn't read my email, sorry, but I want to be sure that we have an accessible document on the ICANN website now.

PAT KANE: So Bernie, your hand is raised.

BERNARD TURCOTTE: Yes, thank you Pat. Sébastien, we've forwarded your email to the public comments team who said they were looking at it, I don't know if anybody else in our group had a response, but I did not. We ran checks to make sure that it was accessible on a Windows platform and various Apple platforms, it all worked okay. We did note a problem with Android and we reported that one, and we're waiting for a reply. So, we are working on it as far as I know, and I think that for a lot of people it certainly is, if anybody else has any issues, please forward it to Staff and we'll definitely look at it. Back to you, Pat.

PAT KANE: Great, thank you for the update on that, Bernie, I appreciate it. Back to you, Jennifer.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Thank you. Alright, so the decision that we made today first of all is to keep the cadence of the calls going forward from the 8th of January, we can cancel the calls if not needed. Jennifer is going to circulate the draft work plan updates for the team final look and approval and that will be on list. Bernie is going to put together a PowerPoint summary of the draft report for any presentations to the community, and we're going to send, Staff to send a doodle poll to confirm the preferred webinar date

in early January. So, I hope I captured everything but do let me know if I miss something. Thank you

PAT KANE: Thank you very much, Jennifer. And if there is nothing else for today I will give you back 45 minutes. Thank you all.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Thanks everyone, bye bye.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Thank you, happy holidays for everybody.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks everybody, bye for now.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]