

**Zoom Chat Transcript**  
**ATRT3 Plenary #39 – 27 November 2019**

14:56:50 From Pat Kane (GNSO - RySG) : hello everyone

14:57:05 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : hello

14:58:47 From Bernard Turcotte : hello all

15:01:54 From Osvaldo Novoa : Hello all.

15:03:00 From Pat Kane (GNSO - RySG) : don't oversell me

15:04:26 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : you two are both terrific but NOT interchangeable

15:05:51 From Vanda Scartezini : hi everyone

15:05:52 From jennifer.bryce : Thank you! Indeed I have tea :)

15:07:56 From Vanda Scartezini : i could not download it properly

15:08:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We will go through it all again here Vanda

15:15:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : A VERY Busy slide

15:17:54 From Vanda Scartezini : yes , But I can see it very clear as a good suggestion  
from Sebastien

15:20:42 From Tola : Same here, Vanda.

15:24:55 From Vanda Scartezini : that was my question Pat, thanks for asking

15:26:51 From Vanda Scartezini : thank you Sebastien, very good

15:29:19 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : The presentation is good - the timeline  
discussion is important

15:34:01 From Vanda Scartezini : agree Larisa

15:34:24 From Vanda Scartezini : will be part of the recommendation I believe

15:34:27 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : One of my concerns in this last slide is the  
indication of the tight periodicity all running in parallel and I assume with significant costs

15:35:04 From Vanda Scartezini : i believe it is for us to define the periodicity of the  
workshops

15:35:51 From Vanda Scartezini : i also agree the cost must be higher if the periodicity  
is too frequent

15:37:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : But I do support Annual and or 12-18mth reporting on updates regarding Continuous improvements in the Org reviews at least regardless of periodocity

15:37:48 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : cost analysis vs time to enhance review process

15:38:23 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : continuous improvement is important

15:38:28 From Pat Kane (GNSO - RySG) : we can catch up tomorrow here in berlin if you like

15:39:10 From Sebastien Bachollet : Yes Pat

15:44:34 From Vanda Scartezini : Cheryl I like the reporting suggestion too

15:47:35 From Jacques Blanc : Hi, all : sorry to be late !

15:48:07 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Welcome Jacques

15:50:54 From Vanda Scartezini : hi Jacques

15:55:09 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : move up please Brenda

15:55:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : thx

15:56:41 From Pat Kane (GNSO - RySG) : we should probably note that

16:00:01 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Occassional external audit is a good practice in most Quality Systems I have ever worked with

16:01:02 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : SeB is that a new hand??

16:01:39 From Vanda Scartezini : agree Cheryl - it is best practices in all ISO standards for quality, technical etc

16:01:40 From Michael : Didn't option 1 also include a coordination function? Which would include an inherent ability to amend review scheduling as necessary?

16:04:19 From León : Hello everyone. My apologies for lateness

16:04:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Welcome L;eon

16:04:43 From Tola : Welcome, Leon

16:04:48 From León : Thanks Cheryl. So sorry for lateness

16:05:51 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : these tings happen glad you can join

16:10:02 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Seb has his hand up as well

16:10:55 From Michael : Yes - this definitely does not line up with the discussion we had in Singapore. There's a few that recur, but also a number of new ones.

16:11:20 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : too late after the call at the end of the week I am afraid

16:13:01 From Bernard Turcotte : @KC not prioritized

16:13:33 From Michael : I feel like we could edit this on the fly. The first two can likely be dropped - I'm not sure where they come from. #6 and 7 can be consolidated down as they essentially address the same problem.

16:14:00 From Vanda Scartezini : we did not define cycles period but for me majority of the issues are being discussing from Singapore till today

16:14:09 From Michael : Timelines and challenges coordinating came up, as did the lack of implementation, and the stove piping issue with no single holistic review.

16:14:23 From Michael : Thats my recollection of the conversation we had. And that's unfortunately not reflected here.

16:15:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Remember as a White paper this is not an item for editing it is a platform to discuss what we want to put into our PC documentation the Report 5.1 open for edits in the next hour or so

16:15:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We do not plan on putting \*this\* out for any form of comment per se

16:15:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : wat you all need to do by the end of this week is establish WHAT you will be putting IN the Document for PC

16:15:57 From Vanda Scartezini : that was my understanding too

16:16:05 From Vanda Scartezini : cheryl point

16:17:14 From Vanda Scartezini : guess we have no time enough now I beleive

16:17:27 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : You are not alone Pat

16:17:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the community needs to hve something(s) to consider in the PC doc

16:18:14 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and that is what we decide this week

16:19:26 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : It may also evolve AFTER the PC input is received

16:19:31 From Jacques Blanc : @ Cheryl. Concur with you

16:19:41 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : remember this is NOT the end game \*yet\*

16:22:02 From jaap : We could “star” the yes and no’s or something like that. although that feels like voting

16:22:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Some aspects are getting good carriage but all need refining (how much before PC is the question)

16:22:56 From Vanda Scartezini : yes, this is not final report - is just the time to give something concrete to the community to comment

16:22:56 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and we may still be ending u with a new hybrid anyway

16:24:13 From Vanda Scartezini : for me first option does not introduce any advantage from the status quo but let’s the community comment on that

16:25:04 From León : there is some feedback

16:25:45 From Michael Karanicolas : Very much agree that the mis-assessment is a major problem.

16:25:58 From Michael Karanicolas : We heard that in the ALAC session in Montreal too - that that’s the major concern.

16:26:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Our thinking on issues and importance did not pause in Singapore of course

16:27:46 From Pat Kane (GNSO - RySG) : are we losing KC or are we losing me

16:28:12 From Vanda Scartezini : i could hear quite well

16:29:44 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I am assuming that KC's comments are only related to Specific Reviews as well... because NO WAY will the Org Reviewed AC's will all go along with External Reviewers as sole authority

16:29:59 From Vanda Scartezini : but implementation is part of the review... the idea could be not a separate recommendation but a part of the recommendation be a separate point on each suggestion

16:30:59 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Pur recommendations \*DO\* need to be effecting the forward planning not the historical

16:31:31 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Pur should read Our

16:34:06 From larisa.gurnick : In addition to the items that Bernie articulated on the implementation of future recommendations, there are additional improvements under

discussion to make the implementation outcomes more impactful - please see the draft proposal on Resourcing and Prioritization of community recommendations.

16:34:26 From larisa.gurnick : <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/draft-proposal-resourcing-community-recommendations-29oct19-en.pdf>

16:35:00 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : thank you Larisa

16:38:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : this was also the topic of discussion in the public session on the Monday at ICANN #66

16:39:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : deffinatly not too much Acc

16:45:47 From larisa.gurnick : Need to check about staff support on Saturday, Pat

16:46:50 From Bernard Turcotte : I have a commitment Saturday evening my time which I cannot get out of

16:47:51 From jaap : i hear pat

16:47:52 From León : I can hear you Pat

16:47:52 From Sebastien Bachollet : We can do Sunday like that it will be on Monday for Cheryl ;)

16:48:17 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : LOL

16:48:26 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : am okay

16:48:43 From Vanda Scartezini : I am ok working on Sunday

16:48:45 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : the suggestion time

16:48:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the 2 x 2 may have advantages as I said I will comply with whatever

16:48:49 From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : both

16:48:56 From León : I will be traveling Friday

16:48:57 From Vanda Scartezini : ok for me

16:48:59 From Osvaldo Novoa : I think I can manage both, but have to check.

16:49:11 From Sebastien Bachollet : Except when I will be on the plane and the train I can have any possibilities

16:49:37 From Vanda Scartezini : agree with Bernie

16:49:54 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : If you can't make the hwole time so be it

16:50:05 From larisa.gurnick : What time are you thinking on Sunday?

16:50:22 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : If we can't get staff then many of us have zoom facilities that we can use and record from

16:51:07 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I am ok with an hour earlier

16:51:25 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We appreciate that Jennifer

16:51:52 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : please stick to the 2100 for the Sunday

16:52:06 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Ohh BOO WHO @Pat

16:52:09 From Bernard Turcotte : So Friday 20:00 UTC to 23:00 UTC

16:52:17 From Bernard Turcotte : and Sunday same time

16:53:30 From León : Exactly, there would be no point in trying to explain consensus or lack thereof

16:53:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes Brussels will be the Consensus effort

16:55:41 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Time Check you have 5 mins of admin usually

16:55:52 From León : It will be solved in Brussels as far as I understand. Correct?

16:56:10 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we can but try León

16:56:16 From Bernard Turcotte : and we ,MUST have a public comment period

16:56:22 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We don't stop our work during PC

16:56:52 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : yes there \*must be 1\*

16:57:35 From larisa.gurnick : Might it be helpful to offer several well-constructed options that reflect different views within the team for public comment?

16:58:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : 2 mins of call left

16:59:27 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : If there are options that can be rejected sure go for it

17:01:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I really can't extend thos call too much longer today Pat

17:02:03 From Demi Getschko : Leaving now... Thanks and bye!

17:03:20 From jennifer.bryce : I will type the actions/ decisions here:

- We are extending Friday's meeting to 3 hours, from 20:00 UTC - 23:00 UTC. Further, we are scheduling a call on Sunday 1 December at 21:00 - 23:00 UTC.

-To be decided on Friday if this call will take place.

Sunday 2 hours tentative, 21:00 - 23:00 UTC

17:03:43 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes thank you ls Jennifer

17:03:59 From jennifer.bryce : Thanks!  
17:05:05 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we can also Poll in a Zoom room  
17:05:33 From jennifer.bryce : Friday 20:00 UTC  
17:06:07 From Vanda Scartezini : ok  
17:06:26 From Bernard Turcotte : bye all  
17:06:32 From Sebastien Bachollet : I have  
17:06:34 From Sebastien Bachollet : Thanks  
17:06:34 From Jacques Blanc : cheers all  
17:06:35 From Tola : Bye  
17:06:36 From León : Thanks everyone  
17:06:39 From Osvaldo Novoa : Thank you bye all  
17:06:40 From León : bye all  
17:06:44 From Vanda Scartezini : bye everyone