DEVELOPING A PUBLIC INTEREST FRAMEWORK - DISCUSSION PAPER

OCTOBER 9 2019

Hadia Elminiawi

AGENDA

- Points to Consider
- Public Interest Framework
- Questions to Consider

POINTS TO CONSIDER

- ■We are not seeking a definition for the Global Public Interest (GPI)
- ■We are looking for the GPI on a given issue
- ■We are Looking for a tool to help the community determine the GPI on a particular issue
- Currently the Board adds a statement to its resolution about how a decision promotes the public interest
- ☐ The proposed framework is to help the community show how a specific comment or recommendation serves the public Interest

- ☐ The presented method is only a starting point for discussion
- ■Not each question will be relevant to every policy being developed
- ☐ The intent of this framework is to use existing bottom-up multistakeholder processes methods without change
- ☐ The tool is only used after determining that the issue is within ICANN's mission

- The tool consists of three columns,
- 1. The first Column presents ICANN's work
- 2. The second column refers to the Bylaws or is derived from the Bylaws
- 3. The third column quotes language from the Bylaws

ICANN's Work Categories

Bylaws

- □ICANN's Technical Coordination
 - Bylaws Stable, Secure, Open, Resilient, Interoperable
- □ICANN's role in the DNS marketplace
 - Bylaws Competitive, Fair, Trusted
- ■Benefit to the Internet community
 - Bylaws Beneficial

Do you think these categories correctly cover ICANN's work and Bylaws, if not what are your suggestions?

ICANN's Work Categories

Bylaws

- □ICANN's global multistakeholder community and policy development processes
 - Bylaws Diverse, Respectful, Inclusive, Innovative, Transparent, Open, Balanced
- □ICANN's policies and practices
 - Bylaws Neutral, Objective, Responsive, Accountable, Sustainable, Fair, Fiscally responsible

Do you think these categories correctly cover ICANN's work and Bylaws, if not what are your suggestions?

QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER

- □ How do you see this framework affecting the work of the ACs, SOs, review teams and CCWGs?
- What are your thoughts on having decisions within the ICANN accompanied by the public Interest in each specific case?
- What are your thoughts on the proposed model? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

THANK YOU - QUESTIONS?

Hadia Elminiawi