

# WORKSHEET FOR DEVELOP

## Timeframe: Proposals sul

<b>Group 1</b>		<b>ISSUES: Individual members, others</b>
Article in the Principles		<b>Key questions to develop the Rules of Proceedure</b>
1		What should be the procedure for making a recommendation to ALAC to accredit an ALS? (Refer to 7.1a for the issue of conflict of interest - both for people who apply for positions and for the ALSs themselves.)
2		Individual users: What should be the mechanism for incorporating individual users: what are the rights that end users will have? (make the reference with 7.1a on the possibility of applying for leadership positions or not). What kind of organization will individual users have? How often should they elect representatives (who would have voting rights in LACRALO)?
3		Which documents should be translated in 4 languages, and which in two?
4		Reference the metrics document. How do you link this up with the metrics rules?
16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29		Any additional definitions needed?

<b>Group 2</b>		<b>ISSUES: Assemblies, elections, others</b>
Article in the Principles		<b>Key questions to develop the Rules of Proceedure</b>
5		How often is an ordinary assembly held?
5a		What does operating by consensus mean in practice?
5b		How are the assembly leaders elected?
5c		What should the procedure to maintain a list of active members? When does a member become "inactive" or get re-activated?
5d		Is it clear to all that the weighted vote will be used in assemblies, just like in normal LACRALO elections ? What quorum is governed so that the assembly begins to function? Is the quorum taken from the totality of the members that appear on the LACRALO list (active and inactive) or is it taken from being only active members? Does participation in assemblies have to be face-to-face? What powers will the assembly leaders have?

- Is it feasible to have proxy votes in an assembly? How to ensure that they are valid and current? Any limits on the amount of proxy powers?
- 6 Who can call an extraordinary assembly?
- Is the quorum the same in the general assembly as in the extraordinary one?
- Are they the same rules as an ordinary assembly?
- 8 What should be the way to call elections, receive nominations and vote?
- What happens when the vice chair or vice secretary resigns?
- If the chair or secretary resigned, and the "vice" takes over, is the office of "vice" left empty until the next election, or is an election called?

**Group 3**

**ISSUES: Conflicts of interest, resolution of conflicts, others**

Article in the Principles

**Key questions to develop the Rules of Procedure**

- 7.1a Do you need more definition about the 5 points already stated around conflicts of interest?
- 7.1b How is the format and detail of that required statement around potential conflicts of interest, and when should it be done? (in principle use the format that the staff did in the last election)
- 7.2 Is everyone OK with the way in which the rotation principle was applied in the last elections? Do we think that something should be modified?
- When is the right time to define when a member becomes active or inactive? (see same question in 5c)
- Will we use the same explanation of the Principle of weighted voting / percentage proportionality of the vote that was written in the old rules of procedure or should something should be modified?
- 7.2d Is it necessary to explicitly say that someone who is finishing up a leadership role can apply for another, different position in that same round of elections?

30

What would be the steps to resolve conflicts in LACRALO?



## ING THE RULES OF PROCEDURE

omitted by **Thursday Sept 26.**

**WHO: Suggestion: Jose Arce, Jacqueline, Lilian and Sergio**

### Notes

Jacqueline: Current process: Staff does due diligence, consensus call or vote. Bascially works.  
Only issue is if staff doesn't catch issues.

**WHO: Suggestion: Carlton, Raul, Humberto and Vanda (Sergio?)**

### Notes

See email from Silvia Vivanco

See email from Silvia Vivanco

**WHO: Suggestion: Vanda, Carlton, Harold, Carlos Leal (Sergio?)**

**Notes**

Our notes of the mediation meeting in Puerto Rico 2018: Principle: LACRALO seeks to resolve disputes through consensus-based processes, yet also has a final decision-making step if all consensus-based options are exhausted. Also, the process needs to be cost-efficient and use existing resources.

With this mindset, the group agree on a three-step process:

- 1) Attempt resolution inside LACRALO, with the board and relevant working groups providing information and advice to the parties to find a solution (perhaps Emeritus Council provides advice).
- 2) ICANN Ombudsman: Use the ICANN ombudsman to have a structured process with an independent party (the ombudsman) to explore a potential resolution. The ombudsman doesn't have decision-making authority, only helps parties explore options.
- 3) Final decision-making step: If all the previous avenues don't work, the group proposed a process in which three well-regarded experts in the ICANN orbit are selected to review the issues and provide a final opinion. The experts would volunteer their time. (The group didn't discuss how to select the experts.)