

## ATRT3 Individual - pdf

## 1. Which Structure are you a member?

1	At-Large
2	GNSO
3	ccNSO
4	ccNSO
5	ccNSO
6	Other
7	Other
8	GNSO
9	GAC
10	GNSO
11	SSAC
12	Other
13	GNSO
14	At-Large
15	At-Large
16	Other
17	SSAC
18	Other
19	Other
20	At-Large
21	GNSO
22	At-Large
23	At-Large
24	At-Large
25	At-Large
26	Other
27	RSSAC
28	ccNSO
29	GAC
30	ccNSO
31	At-Large
32	GAC
33	At-Large
34	Other
35	At-Large
36	At-Large
37	At-Large
38	At-Large
39	At-Large
40	At-Large
41	Other
42	At-Large
43	At-Large
44	At-Large
45	At-Large
46	GNSO
47	GNSO
48	At-Large
49	At-Large
50	At-Large
51	At-Large
52	Other
53	Other
54	Other
55	At-Large
56	At-Large
57	At-Large

58 At-Large  
59 GNSO  
60 At-Large  
61 At-Large  
62 Other  
63 Other  
64 At-Large  
65 GNSO  
66 At-Large  
67 GNSO  
68 Other  
69 At-Large  
70 GNSO  
71 At-Large  
72 GNSO  
73 Other  
74 Other  
75 Other  
76 Other  
77 Other  
78 At-Large  
79 Other  
80 Other  
81 At-Large  
82 Other  
83 At-Large  
84 GNSO  
85 At-Large  
86 Other  
87 At-Large  
88 GNSO

Response: 88

**2. Please answer if you answered 'At-Large' to question 1. If you belong to an At-Large structure, which one?**

1	NARALO
2	EURALO
3	NARALO
4	NARALO
5	APRALO
6	APRALO
7	APRALO
8	LACRALO
9	LACRALO
10	AFRALO
11	AFRALO
12	APRALO
13	APRALO
14	EURALO
15	AFRALO
16	APRALO
17	NARALO
18	APRALO
19	APRALO
20	NARALO
21	APRALO
22	APRALO
23	AFRALO
24	LACRALO
25	AFRALO
26	AFRALO
27	EURALO
28	AFRALO
29	EURALO
30	AFRALO
31	APRALO
32	AFRALO
33	EURALO
34	EURALO
35	EURALO
36	EURALO

Response: 36


### 3. Which region are you from?

1	North America
2	North America
3	Asia/Australia/Pacific
4	Asia/Australia/Pacific
5	Asia/Australia/Pacific
6	Europe
7	Asia/Australia/Pacific
8	Asia/Australia/Pacific
9	Asia/Australia/Pacific
10	Asia/Australia/Pacific
11	North America
12	Europe
13	Europe
14	Latin America/Caribbean
15	Europe
16	Europe
17	North America
18	Europe
19	North America
20	North America
21	North America
22	North America
23	Asia/Australia/Pacific
24	Asia/Australia/Pacific
25	Europe
26	Asia/Australia/Pacific
27	Europe
28	Asia/Australia/Pacific
29	Asia/Australia/Pacific
30	Europe
31	Latin America/Caribbean
32	Europe
33	Latin America/Caribbean
34	Europe
35	Africa
36	Africa
37	Asia/Australia/Pacific
38	Asia/Australia/Pacific
39	Europe
40	Europe
41	Asia/Australia/Pacific
42	Africa
43	Asia/Australia/Pacific
44	North America
45	Asia/Australia/Pacific
46	North America
47	Europe
48	Asia/Australia/Pacific
49	North America
50	Europe
51	Asia/Australia/Pacific
52	Europe
53	North America
54	North America
55	Africa
56	Latin America/Caribbean
57	Africa

- 58 Africa
- 59 North America
- 60 Europe
- 61 Africa
- 62 Asia/Australia/Pacific
- 63 Africa
- 64 Europe
- 65 Asia/Australia/Pacific
- 66 Asia/Australia/Pacific
- 67 North America
- 68 North America
- 69 Africa
- 70 North America
- 71 Asia/Australia/Pacific
- 72 North America
- 73 North America
- 74 North America
- 75 Latin America/Caribbean
- 76 North America
- 77 North America
- 78 Africa
- 79 North America
- 80 North America
- 81 Europe
- 82 North America
- 83 Europe
- 84 Europe
- 85 Europe
- 86 North America
- 87 Europe
- 88 North America


Response: 88

**4. Please indicate your satisfaction with the Board's performance overall:**




Response: 56

**5. Please rate the effectiveness of the Accountability Indicators as they relate to Board performance as found in <https://www.icann.org/accountability-indicators> 3.3.**




Response: 55

**6. Do you consider the diversity amongst Board members satisfactory?**




Response: 54

**7. Please answer if you answered "no" to question 5. Which areas of diversity do you feel need improvement? (select all diversity factors you think apply):**



Response: 25


**8. How satisfied are you with the Nominating Committee's selection of Directors for the ICANN Board:**



Response: 54




**9. Please indicate your satisfaction with the accountability of the Board under the new accountability mechanisms such as the Empowered Community:**




Response: 55

**10. Rate the mechanisms ensuring the Board's transparency:**




Response: 55


**11. Do you think the mechanisms ensuring Board transparency need to be improved?**




**12. How would you rate the importance of the Board implementing the Transparency Recommendations from the CCWG-Accountability WS2?**




**13. Are you satisfied with the Board's decision-taking process?**



**14. Are you aware of the training program for the Board members?**




**15. Are you satisfied with the financial information that is provided to the public by ICANN?**




Response: 54

**16. How would you rate the usability of the financial information overall?**




Response: 54


### 17. Should GAC accountability be improved?



### 18. Should GAC transparency be improved?




**19. In your view are you satisfied with the interactions the GAC has with the Board?**




Response: 53

**20. In your view are you satisfied with the interactions the GAC has with the SO/ACs?**



Response: 52


**21. Have you ever filed a Documentary Information Disclosure Policy (DIDP) request with ICANN?**



**22. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 19. What information were you seeking?**

Response: 0

**23. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 19. Did you receive the information you requested in full?**




**24. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 19. Did the material you received answer your question?**




**25. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 19. Please feel free to add any other thoughts you have about the DIDP process.**

Response: 0

**26. Do you believe the information ICANN makes available on the icann.org website should be better organized to facilitate searching for specific topics?**




**27. Do you believe the information ICANN makes available on the community wiki should be better organized to facilitate searching on the wiki?**







**28. Are you aware of ICANN's open data mechanisms, including the Information Transparency Initiative (ITI) or the Open Data Initiative (ODI), or about ICANN's transparency policies more generally?**




**29. Please rate how effective the current system of Public Comment consultations is for gathering community input.**




**30. Do you believe the concept of Public Comment, as currently implemented, should be re-examined?**



**31. Have you (or a group you directly contribute to) responded to a Public Comment consultation in the last year?**




**32. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 25. How many responses have you (or a group you directly contribute to) submitted to Public Comments in the last year?**




Response: 40

**33. Please answer if you answered 'no' to question 25. What prevented you from responding?**




Response: 8

**34. Would you (or a group you directly contribute to) respond more often to Public Comments if the consultation included short and precise questions regarding the subject matter in a Survey Monkey or similar format?**




Response: 50

**35. Should the responses made to Public Comments by individuals and external organizations/groups be considered equally?**




Response: 50

**36. Should the responses made to Public Comments by SO/ACs have more weight than other comments?**




Response: 50

**37. Should the responses made to Public Comments by the Board have more weight than other comments?**




Response: 49

### 38. How useful are staff reports on Public Comments?




Response: 50

### 39. Do you agree that staff reports on Public Comments clearly indicate if suggestions made by the commenters were accepted and how they were accepted?




Response: 49


**40. Do you agree that staff reports on Public Comments clearly indicate if suggestions made by the commenters were rejected and why they were rejected?**



**41. Do you believe the Internet community generally supports the decisions made by the Board?**




**42. Do you generally support the decisions made by the Board?**



Response: 49


**43. Have you participated in or contributed to any Policy Development Process?**



Response: 49




**44. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 35. Did you have difficulty with any of the following? (select all that apply)**




Response: 33

**45. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 35. Please rate your satisfaction with the transparency of the Policy Development Process (PDP).**




Response: 34


**46. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 35. Please rate how accountable the PDP process was to the community.**



**47. Please answer if you answered 'no' to question 35. Why have you not participated in any Policy Development Process?**




**48. How would you rate the effectiveness of the specific reviews (ATRT, SSR, RDS, etc.) as they are currently structured in the ICANN Bylaws?**




Response: 49

**49. Should specific reviews (ATRT, SSR, RDS, etc.) be reconsidered or amended?**




Response: 45


**50. How would you rate the effectiveness of organizational reviews, those reviewing SO/ACs as they are currently structured in the ICANN Bylaws?**




**51. Should organizational reviews be reconsidered or amended?**




**52. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 39. Should organizational reviews continue to be undertaken by external consultants?**




**53. Should the ATRT3 make recommendations about prioritization and rationalization of ICANN activities?**




54. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 40. Should such recommendations include a process to retire recommendations as it becomes apparent that the community will never get to them or they have been overtaken by other events?



55. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 40. Should such recommendations aim to provide a general approach for prioritizing and rationalizing work for ICANN?



**56. Please answer if you answered 'yes' to question 40. Should the mechanism for making recommendations on prioritization and rationalization only apply to PDPs, reviews and their recommendations, or include other operational aspects in ICANN?**




**57. Please answer if you selected 'include other operational aspects' above. What do you think these other operational aspects should include?**


- 1 how transparency is handled across ICANN's activities
- 2 ICANN org implementation of recommendations
- 3 Finance
- 4 Regional public forums as were held in the run up to the 2011 gTLD round
- 5 staffing, budgetary
- 6 outreach, operational readiness
- 7 ccwg and other work undertaken by more than one SO or AC
- 8 Meeting strategy including regional/specialist meetings
- 9 bringing ICANN meetings to the essence

Response: 9

58. Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 40. Should the community or representative(s) of the community be involved as a decisional participant in any mechanism which makes recommendations for prioritizing and rationalizing work for ICANN?



59. Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 40. Do you think the Empowered Community would be a good mechanism for making recommendations on prioritizing and rationalizing if its role was amended to allow this?





**60. Please explain:**

- 1 too many particular and hidden interests of participants
- 2 Empowered Community has a very specific role that should not be expanded
- 3 The group is small
- 4 The empowered community is currently (still) dominated by Contracted parties and the IPR lobby. Repeatedly denying ICANN's responsibility for the public interest and the conditions of competition.
- 5 I dont think the EC has emerged as a visible and effective entity
- 6 Not with the current Bylaws; possibly with proper mandate
- 7 The EC as it is currently composed was selected to a more general purpose


Response: 7

**61. Please answer if you selected 'no' to the question above, "Do you think the Empowered Community would be a good mechanism for making recommendations on prioritizing and rationalizing if its role was amended to allow this?" Whose responsibility do you think it should be?**

- 1 Boards
- 2 No. Not until the empowered community is radically rebalanced.
- 3 possibly
- 4 Yes, possible.
- 5 A "body" similar to the EC but separately selected


Response: 5

**62. Are ICANN's mechanisms sufficient to generate policies which are acceptable to the global Internet community?**




Response: 47

63. Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 41. In your opinion what level of improvements would be required to correct this?




Response: 22

64. Do you feel that the NomCom, as currently constituted, is a sufficient mechanism for fostering nominations that have adequate stakeholder and community buy in?



Response: 48

65. Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 42. In your opinion what level of improvements would be required to correct this?



Response: 22