



ICANN58: Panel on Accountability & Transparency Review Team 3 (ATRRT3)

MSSI | ICANN58 | 13 March 2017

Overlap with other projects: ATRT3 and WS2

ATRT3



Executing on commitments



Decision-making is clear



Input appropriately considered



Accountability and transparency



Examine past reviews



Transparency

Diversity

Ombudsman

Staff and SO/AC accountability



Enhancing
ICANN
Accountability -
Work Stream 2

1. Should the scope be reduced as per suggestion¹ by the Co-Chairs of the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability?

¹ https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/Announcements+and+Correspondence?preview=/64063999/64066007/ATRT3_Letter_SOAC_Chairs%2031Jan17.pdf

2. Should a reduced scope be agreed on by the SO/ACs for ATRT3; or should any questions related to scope of ATRT3 be left to the Review Team?

3. What precedent would either of these options set for the future, i.e., can a future Review Team always reduce or expand its scope as it sees fit, or should the SO/ACs always provide guidance prior to the launch of a review? If so, how?

4. How does the work of ATRT3 factor into other important activities undertaken by the ICANN community that may affect the volunteer bandwidth and possibly have interdependencies with the work of ATRT3?

5. Regarding the selection process, keeping in mind the recent selection of the Second Security, Stability & Resiliency of the DNS Review Team (SSR2), what improvements, if any, would you propose to make to future selection processes, including the ATRT3?

6. Would it be useful to have a member of the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability be part of the ATRT3? If so, what status should they have and how should they be selected?

7. Is there any other issue related to the scope of the ATRT3 that you would like to address?

Based on today's discussion, what next steps should we take?



Thank You and Questions

Reach us at:

Email: reviews@icann.org

Website: icann.org/resources/reviews/org