

Name	Affiliation	Accept Rec?	Comments	Representation
Jorge Cancio	GAC	Yes	See my prior comments on list and during the calls...	I'm the Swiss GAC Rep... and I guess this is representing the views/sensitivities of other colleagues in the GAC, considering what came in during the public comment period
Nick Wenban-Smith (Nominet)	ccNSO, GNSO - RySG	Yes	I'm not entirely sure this is solving a particularly acute problem with the current rules, but I could accept the recommendation if it would help break the current impasse where we are struggling to identify any meaningful outputs from WT5.	None, in the sense that my understanding is that I represent my own views and in any case the ccNSO/ RySG do not have formal positions on this question.
Marita Moll	At-Large/ALAC; GNSO - NCUC	Yes		none
haroun mahamat cherif	At-Large/ALAC	Yes		none
Alfredo Calderón	At-Large/ALAC	Yes		None
Robin Gross	GNSO - NCSG	No		Our stakeholder group would need time to discuss who will officially represent us on this point, but I'm the only active member in NCSG on this issue and it is directly in line with NCSG's previously filed comments on the topic.
Greg Shatam	At-Large/ALAC; GNSO - IPC	No	The only reason to accept this recommendation is as a compromise that closes the door on other potential recommendations in this area. It is not a particularly logical or well-thought-out proposal. I am concerned this would not close the door, but rather open the door to "notice" requirements, to having non-placenames treated as geographic terms, and to legitimizing the claim that "applicable laws" supports the worldwide application and enforcement of national laws beyond any legal basis to do so. As for being poorly thought out -- the proposals says that adjectival forms will be protected in the official language(s) of the country and that they "shall" be found on the World Bank list. However, the World Bank list is (essentially) in ENGLISH. Also, it seems odd to select adjectival names only for this notice/early-reveal regime; there is no compelling relationship between these strings and notice; this would only support expanding this regime in future rounds.	None
Sanna Sahlman	ccNSO; .fi	Yes	none	none
Bram Fudzulani	At-Large/ALAC	Yes		None
Sudhakara AM	GNSO - NCSG; GNSO - NCUC	Yes		Ni
Wilkinson Christoper	At-Large/ALAC	Yes	I would have strong reservations about the last paragraph: "No Legal Effect...". That is a matter for the public authorities concerned, and eventually WIPO. Not ICANN.	None. Personal capacity based on extensive prior experience in this areas.
Sophie Hey	GNSO - RySG; GNSO - IPC; Valideus	Yes	I am supporting this recommendation as it fits in the compromise spirit of the 2012 AGB rules on geo names.	Valideus
Poncelet Ileleji	GNSO -NCSG; GNSO - NPOC	Yes		NPOC
Timothy K. Asiedu	GNSO - GeoTLD Group	Yes	N/A	WT5
Chris Casavale	GNSO - IPC	No		None
Carlos Gutiérrez	GNSO - NPOC	Yes		None
Paul Rosenzweig	GNSO - NCSG	No	Stop asking over and over	None
Rahman Khan	At-Large/ALAC; Fellowship Program	Yes		None
Paul McGrady	GNSO - IPC	No	I cannot accept this in a vacuum. Please see my detailed email on the lists as to the reasons why.	None. I am acting in my individual capacity.
Mike Rodenbaugh	GNSO - RySG; GNSO - IPC; GNSO - Brand Registry Group	No	For reasons previously stated on the mail list.	none
Jon Nevett	GNSO - RySG	No		None
Colin O'Brien	GNSO - IPC	No	I feel like this is a solution in search of a problem. There are already objection procedures in place in the original AGB.	None
Heather Forrest	GNSO - IPC	Yes	In the spirit of compromise, I can accept this proposal, but I sincerely hope that the governments can likewise compromise on proposals put forward by the applicant community.	NONE

Ejikeme Egbuogu	At-Large/ALAC	Yes		none
Justine Chew	At-Large/ALAC	Yes	Purely on the basis that this is the lowest denominator of any change WT5 could come to consensus to.	None
Ann-Cathrin Marcussen	ccNSO	Yes		None
Neil Dundas	GNSO - RrSG; GNSO - GeoTLD Group	Yes		None
Yrjö Lämsipuro	At-Large/ALAC	Yes		At-Large/ALAC
Dessalegn Yehuala	None	Yes		None
Katrin Ohlmer	GNSO - GeoTLD Group	Yes	However, I remain supportive of the question raised by Paul McGrady - why should we handle adjectival forms of country names different to other geo terms.	geoTLD group