

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Good morning, good afternoon and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the ALAC monthly teleconference call on Tuesday the 24th of September 2019 at 02:00 UTC. On the call today from AFLRALO we have Tijani Ben Jemma, from APRALO we have Kaili Kahn and Maureen Hillyard. From EURALO we have Sebastien Bachollet. From LACRALO we have Humberto Carrasco. From NARALO we have Javier Rua-Jovet. And liaisons joining us are Barrack Otieno, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, and Yrjo Lansipuro.

Our participants on the English Channel, we have Daniel Nanghaka on audio only, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Vernatius Okwu Ezeama, Olievie Kouami, Emmanuel Akin-Awokoya, John More, Priyatosh Jana, Anahi Menendez-Ruiz, Leon Sanchez, Jonathan Zuck, Judith Hellerstein, Alberto Soto, Amrita Choudhury, and Satish Babu. On French we have, Olivier, and we have received apologies from Marita Moll, Evin Erdoğan (staff), Holly Raiche, Gabriel Bombambo Boseko, Justine Chew, Natalia Filina, Vanda Scartezini, John Laprise; Alan Greenberg, Adrian Schmidt, Andrei Kolesnikov, Sylvia Herlein Leite.

From staff we have, Heidi Ullrich, Gisella Gruber, Sylvia Vivanco, Yesim Nazlar, Alperen Eken, and myself, Claudia Ruiz, on call management. Our interpreters for today are Claudia and Veronica on Spanish, Jacques and Isabelle on French, and Galina and Ekaterina on Russian. And before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes, and also so the interpreters can identify you on the other language channels. Thank you very much, and with this, I turn it over to you, Maureen.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you very much, Claudia, and welcome everyone. Good morning, good afternoon and good evening to you all. We've got a pretty packed agenda today, and it's really good that we can get started so quickly. We don't have any action items to follow up on, but that'll be followed by -- when I've finished, be followed by policy issues. And of course, Evan's on leave, so Olivier and Jonathan will be in charge of that.

And then, later, next we will have the membership section, which today is actually going to be taken from our new part-time staff member; Alperen Eken who we got introduced to the other day. And he's based in Washington, D.C. Office, and we'll meet him in Montreal, and we're looking forward to that. But Al is going to working with the ALSes and I'm going to be fortunate enough to meet up with him and David in Washington in a couple of weeks. We'll be then moving onto the discussion section of that, and it's going to be -- I mean, it's going to be quite busy, because there are lots of updates, but first of all, we'll start off with Leon to give an update on what's on top of the Board at this point in time.

Again, congratulations Leon on your appointment on the Board, and a belated birthday. Birthday wishes to you, as well. That'll be followed up with updates of course on our ATLAS III planning, and that's going to involve myself, Olivier, Eduardo and Joanna, if she arrives. And ICANN66, and Gisella's got all the gen on that one. And finishing off of course was an update from the Board selection team, Alan and Julio. So, just with that, in mind of what we have, does anyone have anything else that they want to add, any other business at the end? Or, are you

going to give us all that time to do all the things that we have to do anyway? Any comments? I'll get back to, any hands up?

Okay, thank you. Let's get going then, and we will start off with, as I mentioned before, all the action items have been completed in readiness for -- most of it in readiness for this meeting. So, we'll then move to Olivier and Jonathan, thank you. Are either of them there?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: One moment, I believe Olivier is on mute. One moment.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Hello?

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Yes, we're actually waiting for you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN LEBLOND: Thanks very much, Maureen, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I am not quite sure what I'm supposed to be speaking about, because I haven't got the agenda in front of me.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Oh, okay. It was the policy, just policy, have you got anything on policy?

OLIVIER CREPIN LEBLOND: Of course, and Evan is not here, so this is why. I've got the agenda now in front of me. A couple of statements were ratified since the last ALAC monthly meeting. Actually, more than a couple, in fact. And you'll see the full text of them in your, on your screen in your agenda. The first one is the proposed definition of name collisions, and scope of inquiry for the name collisions analyze this project. That's the end cap project, a project that was brought forward after the notification, sorry, after noting that some strings that were applied for actually already appeared to be searched on the DNS, and to be in use. And so, allocation of these strings as some kind of, as part of the DNS would effectively adversely affect some users in the Internet.

Anyways, the proposed definition of name collisions and scope of inquiry for the name collision project is just one of the many different component parts of what ICANN is trying to do to alleviate this whole name collision problem. I'm not going to go into each one of these actually in such detail, but this one I thought was quite important. The next one is involving the governance of the root server system, very important statement that was made in response to a very important process. And the process being a brand-new governance system, a brand-new structure for the root server system.

In historical times, the root server operators were pretty much operative by themselves, and now there's a whole system that is being put together in order for these root servers to be not only coordinated one way or another, but also for them to be able to evolve in the future. And there's also in there a model, financial model to sustain reliable operation of those root servers. The draft financial assumptions and projections and operating initiatives for the development of fiscal year,

circa 21 to 2025 operating and financial plan, well, that's just the ICANN strategy, effectively. The whole basis on which the strategy of ICANN and the future of ICANN is developed, and we've got a good statement that the ALAC has developed on this.

Proposed ICANN service level agreements for publishing label generation routes and IDN tables, the ALAC just noted that it supported the recommendation of the customer standing committee and the post-transition, sorry, on the public technical identifiers, which is effectively the post-transition IANA for the proposed IANA service agreements for publishing those IDN tables. This is just effectively an addition to the service level agreement that had not existed back when the INS stewardship transition took place, so that was just in addition to it, and the ALAC supported those recommendations. And fundamental bylaw amendment proposal via a naming function review; this is an interesting one as well, and a definite case of the community designing something so as to make it as an inclusive as possible.

And one of the things here was during the IANA naming functions review, the committee itself said that it was also important to perhaps involve in the composition of the review team an unaffiliated ccNSO, country code operator, unaffiliated with ICANN and one of the difficulties that was found is that it was difficult to find such an organization that was ready to take part, if they were actually totally unaffiliated. So, there was here the bylaw change that was approved just to soften this stance.

And then, so that's the ones that have been done so far. There is, at the moment, there are a couple of statements that have been created,

there's one that's a public comment that is there for decision; the competition consumer trust and consumer choice review team, the CCTRT accepted recommendations, were accepted quite some time ago, and now we're at implementation, and so of the recommendations that were accepted by the Board, and pushed forward for implementation, now is the plan for this implementation in the next steps, and where we do not have anyone allocated as a penholder to pursue this and put together a statement.

The deadline is the 21st of October, and the last consolidated policy working group call, there was a lot of interest for the ALAC to submit a statement of this so, so I expect that by tomorrow, where tomorrow European time, so we're speaking about Wednesday for everyone. By Wednesday, the consolidated party working group will have allocated someone stepping forward to be the penholder for this, because it's absolutely vital that the ALAC comes up with either a support or no support for this implementation plan.

The current state at the moment, the two of them that are outlined. The first one is the next steps to improve the effectiveness of ICANN, the multi-stakeholder model. This is a process that was started a few ICANN meetings ago, to try and improve ICANN's multi-stakeholder model as the title suggests, there were a number of meetings that took place, public meetings, public discussions on this, and various action points that could be affected. And so, here is a proposal to see what we do next, with some of the input that was received, and so we are currently drafting a response to this, and there's likely to be further discussion on this topic at the forthcoming Montreal meeting. This is the reason why the public comment is closing by the 14th of October,

just in time for a summary of this to be ready by Montreal, and for the discussion to continue in Montreal. So, if you're interested in this, please have a look in the link, there is a Wiki Page, have a look at what's been put there so far, it's in drafting stage.

There's also the CPWG subpro updates, that's effective, not really much to do with the CPWG, but more the subsequent procedures, sort of GNSO policy development process on subsequent procedures, what's commonly known as, "The next round," potentially, "The next round of new generic top-level domain application round." And the current subsequent procedures working group is looking at every aspect that it can of the previous round, and seeing if there is any improvement required, or if what happened was satisfactory.

There are many, many different aspects to the work that is being done, and we are, well, this space is basically being used to collect the input of, or community on each one of those points, and that starts by actually informing them about each one of the points that are being developed and discussed at the moment in the policy development process. Justin Chu has been really great in following this very closely. Holly Riche as well has been laboring away in this working group, and we received in the policy working group a steady stream of updates every call, or every couple of calls, so this workspace is just used, as I said, to collect all of this information.

It makes for very interesting reading; this is all being ready and put to being readied for a day when the work of this policy development process working group, GNSO working group is deemed to be complete, and then that gets passed on to the Generic Name Supporting

Organization, and the Generic Name Supporting Organization decides to come up with a public comment purpose. And it will be by then too late to start work on reviewing all of the work of these subsequent procedures working group, so I would really, really suggest that you have a good look at these now, because ultimately at some point it's going to be the case of saying, "Well, here's all the work, what do you guys think?"

And that's the time when it will be too late to read the huge amount of information up there. Better get ready for this, it's likely to be one of the biggest, if not the most complex public consultations in a long while. And that's it for the time being, so I'm happy to answer any questions that anybody has on any of these topics that I've spoken about, thank you.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you very much, Olivier, for that comprehensive explanation about each of those issues. And I think, like, I mean, it would be really good if we actually got a transcript of what you've said, because that would be very helpful, I think, for a lot of the RALOs who I know give their own presentations on policy issues, and I think what you've said has very clearly explained what the purposes are of each of those policy issues and what it means to them as end users. So, thank you very much for that, and I don't see any hands with questions, so you've obviously done a very good job there, thank you. Okay, we will now move on then to the review current ALS, and individual member applications with Alp. So, it's all yours, Alp.

ALPEREN EKEN:

Sorry, I wasn't -- hello everyone. It is the first time I am doing this, sorry from now on. Currently, we have 61 members in AFRALO in 32 countries and territories, 57 for APRALO in 29 countries and territories, 38 members of EURALO in 18 countries and territories, 58 for LACRALO in 22 countries and territories, and also 24 in NARALO in 3 countries and territories. In total, we have 238 ALSes in 104 countries and territories.

Now, we have the application numbers, 294 Bitlap certified for EURALO. We have number 301 Internet Governance Institute certified for AFRALO, and we have number 302 out of Africa registered certified in APRALO. We have too the certification and our processes. These are connecting dot NYC Inc. and ISOC Belgium Wallonia, and also we have about, for application number 3, 107 Internet Society [inaudible] SIG for APRALO.

And also we have 13 individuals and 3 of those in AFRALO, 25 individual members in APRALO, 54 individual members and 6 observers in EURALO, 10 observers in LACRALO, and 28 members in NARALO. In total, we have 120 individual members and 20 observers now. Thank you.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Hi, Maureen, are you there?

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Sorry, I'm just talking to myself. Yes, thank you. I just wanted to ask if there are anyone, if there is anyone who had an questions, but I did

want to make one comment, and that is that in relation to the -- and thank you very much for the discussion that we're then having on the ISOCs that has put in an application. Even though we haven't started the vote, we're actually waiting on some legal advice first before we proceed with what needs to happen as a result of that information. So, that's just an update for everyone, keep them apprised of where we are. So, following on from that therefore, that's just on the ALS applications, coming on -- oh, hang on, I see Sebastien has his hand up.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yes, thank you very much, Maureen. You say that the [inaudible] wide open, I don't know, because I already voted, and I guess other members ALAC RALOs maybe put their votes, therefore what you say that we can't tell these votes and where we start, or we will follow on with that, what is the purpose today? Thank you.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Yes, well I know that the vote had actually gone out, but it hasn't come to me. But I mean, even if it has, it won't probably go ahead until we've in fact got some advice. I mean, I know that people have actually mentioned that it's the bylaws that are holding us up, and I just want to get some legal advice on that. We put in a request a few days ago, and still haven't got any feedback, but we'll keep you apprised. Right, sorry I interrupted you Alp, continuing on. Had you finished?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Yeah, I believe he's finished, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Okay, can I have the screen moved up then please? Okay, just moving onto any reports, and if you have any reports, if there's anyone who has a report that they would like to make mention of anything, please do so now. Olivier, do you have a question?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, yes, my question is about IT position, is it IT AFRALO or NARALO? Please, IT is rare for me.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, this is Heidi. So, I believe that's a typo. I've made an action item for Evan to confirm that, but I think that is in error, and it is still within LACRALO, thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN LEBLOND: Thank you, okay.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Thank you, sorry about that. I just didn't have my headset on properly. Okay, so there are no -- Cheryl has her hand up.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you, Maureen. Cheryl Langdon-Orr, yes, just very briefly, as an update from GNSO liaison by, normally for whatever reason, the last couple of months we've had it that the At-Large Advisory Committee

Meeting is being held before the GNSO meeting. This month, amazingly enough, we had the GNSO meeting before the ALAC one. I am actually able to say something, other than do go and have a look at the regular reporting. This previous meeting was held on the 19th, and it really was a meeting that was dedicated to discussion, not any sort of ratification of any votes. Please do go to liaison space and look at the full details of the discussions that we had, based on the agenda. I've got great details on there, and of course, there is the link to the recording.

But, of interest, I would have thought, I think many of the pieces are of interest, but of particular interest to our work coming up in Montreal, is the fact that we had as item 9 on our agenda for the GNSO counsel, yet another update from the small group which is just a team of, a small team of people, all for GNSO counsel who updates us a little bit more on the planning for PDP 3.0, so the work on PDP 3.0 is continuing, and will continue and I'll keep you updated a little more, but we will find that there are, I think, all in all, about 9 or 10, if memory serves, improvements going to be put forward, and not all of those improvements are yet complete in planning, and therefore not all of those improvements are even up for the counsel review. It is a watch this space message, thank you.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Right. Thank you very much Cheryl, for that. And again, a lot of the policy issues and that come from the GNSO, so Cheryl does have a pretty tight time in just keeping us all up to date on what's happening. Okay, so, are there any other hands up? Nope, I don't see any. Right, so let's move on to the next section of our agenda, which is, of course,

looking at some of the updates. And our first update is a little session with the Director selected by At-Large, Leon Sanchez. Leon?

LEON SANCHEZ:

Thank you, Maureen. Hello, everyone, this is Leon, and it's great to be here again. As usual, back home. As some of you may be aware, or pretty much all of you may be aware, the Board held its 5th workshop of the year in L.A. in early September, and we had both our workshop and a public meeting, which we passed several resolutions. And, amongst those resolutions, we passed the RSAC appointments, the Non-Com chair elect appointments, the approval of the standard by-law's amendments to the RSAC and SSAC compost addition.

The approval of a fundamental bylaw amendment to composition of the IANA functions review. If you have been following the issue, the IANA functions review was halted, so to speak, because the composition of the review team was not possible to actually get anywhere because there was some composition needed to be fixed, and these fundamental by-law actually takes care of that issue and now the IANA functions review team is assembled as I understand. And for future reviews, the issue that stopped, or that paused the work from actually beginning has been sorted out, so we don't expect that this will happen again in the future.

We also acknowledged the ITU-T membership, as some of you may be aware, ICANN has been granted the ITU-T membership in the ITU and we acknowledged that fact, and we thanked the ITU for granting that status to ICANN and for waiving the fees associated with the

membership. We also passed a resolution, delegating the .EU domain extension in Greek, so this is good news for Internationalizing and IDNs at the top level in the country code level. We also passed a resolution denying the reconsideration request by the Columbian government on Amazon. And finally, we adopted the score card for the GAC advice on the Marrakesh communique. So, that was what we passed on our public meeting, but we also discussed many other things during our workshop.

Some of the issues that were discussed are related, for example, with timing and effectiveness of reviews. The prioritization affordability of reviews, and recommendations. As you know, there are many reviews and recommendations that are converging in the table, and we need to find a way, and by, "We," I mean the community, ICANN organization and the Board, we need to find a way to prioritize and to establish the affordability of these many recommendations that come from the different reviews.

We also reviewed the governance plan for internal issues to the Board. You might be aware that we have a skill matrix, and we kind of make a forecast of how the composition of the Board can be coming in the next few years, and we also finalized our fiscal year, '20 operational priorities. We will be publishing them soon. And, we also made some work on the operating and financial plan, and the operating plan and budget. We also got some GDPR updates from the organization, and we also did an exercise which resulted in the election of the new Chair, and the new Vice Chair for the coming year.

I am pretty much sure that you have heard already the news, but Maarten Botterman has been elected to become the Chair of the ICANN Board, starting in this annual general meeting in Montreal, at the end of the annual general meeting. And myself, has been selected as the new Vice Chair for the Board in the coming year. So, I would like to thank everyone for your continued support.

I would like to thank all of you for the lessons that I have learned from you, and from continuing to being open to me for supporting me in this role, and of course, I will continue to stay true to the promise that I made when I was first appointed by the At-Large Committee to remain close to our community, to remain open, and to strive for pushing these principles to my fellow Board colleagues, and to strive for more transparent interaction between the Board and the Community, and of course, I will be happy to speak to every one of you in Montreal, and of course, address the ATLAS III sessions that I have been invited to. And of course, to share with you the plans that Maarten and myself are beginning to decide to try to continue evolving and enhancing and improving the relationship between the Board and the Community.

So, that is what I have to inform at this point. Maureen, of course, if there are any comments or questions, I would be more than happy to address them. Thank you so much.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you very much, Leon. And yes, I'm waiting to see if there any hands going up. And I think that I represent a lot of people by saying,

Leon, that we have very much appreciated the work that you have done on behalf of At-Large and been very supportive.

I know that if we've needed anything, sort of like a word in your ear, has not gone astray. And with regards to ensuring that the messages that are important to us get to right people on the board, and for that reason I think we've been very lucky to have had the Board support in a lot of the issues that we've actually raised with them. Still more to happen of course. And of course, with you being in the position that you are, and we're probably going to be picking your brains a little bit more in the coming year but thank you very much for all that you've done.

LEON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Maureen, and I will be more than happy to have my brain picked.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Thank you very much. Okay, well, everyone seems to be-

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Maureen, we have a hand up from Javier. And Olivier.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Oh, okay. Sorry, I didn't see. Oh, sorry Javier, I didn't see that. It was further up.

JAVIER RUA JOVEZ:

No problem. Hello to all. Javier Rúa Jovez for the record. So Leon, thanks a lot for that. And again, congratulations. I have a question on the D membership status for ICANN in the ITU. I'd like to, I mean, if you could elaborate a little bit more on the rights and obligations that that entails for ICANN, and also if that ICANN engagement with the ITU is as ICANN org., or is there a community. I mean, are community members somehow -- is there a way in for community members to engage with ITU via this sectorial membership. And what does D mean in that context? Thanks.

LEON SANCHEZ:

Thanks, Javier, for your question. So, the ITU-D membership has to do with the development sector, as far as I understand. And the aim of becoming a member of the ITU-D sector is to have a seat at the table. So far, ICANN org. has been relying on alliances with some governments, and with some public servants from different organizations to have a say in certain fora. So, this way, we actually provide ICANN as a vehicle to be sat at the table, to be at the room, and to be able to have a voice.

Of course, whenever allowed, because if you are familiar with the ITU operations, I believe that only countries are allowed to speak, but sometimes sector members are also allowed to provide feedback and opinions to the sessions that they participate in. So this, as I said, is aimed to provide ICANN org. with a seat at the table. It doesn't entail other obligations than being sat at the table, and of course, providing feedback whenever feasible. It doesn't impose any kind of burden into ICANN organization or its community as far as we understand, and this

is not a channel for community members to participate in ITU-D sessions. It is a vehicle for ICANN org. to continue to educate and to try to illustrate, so to speak, on issues that relate to the domain name system.

So far, if you want to be engaged in discussions that can pertain to the ITU-D sector, and the role of ICANN within that sector, I would definitely encourage you to join the Internet Governance Engagement Group, which is currently chaired by Olivier, I believe. And that is a space in which discussions related to Internet Governance and other issues around Internet governance take place. And I think it's been revitalized and it's a good place to have this discussion. I hope I have answered your question. If there's anything that remains to be said, I will be happy to take it offline and continue discussions with you, Javier. Thank you so much for your question.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you very much for that response, Leon. And we have Sebastien with his hand up.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Yes, thank you very much. Sebastien Bachollet speaking. Two points; the first one, congratulations Leon. I think it's important for At-Large, even if you are not our voice in the Board, I think it's a good improvement in everything. There were a few of them, but also the work we as a group we have done this year and as I said, Board members selected by At-Large. Just one point you didn't mention, even

if it's a little bit short of time, but the Vice Chair, the Co-Vice Chair is now Maarten, and it's important to note that.

My second point is about ITU; I just want to remind you that we used to have ITU as a Board member of ICANN every three years. I would think that it's interesting and I am not sure that I am applauding this change, but they were a member of the Board, and now we are a member of ITU-D. I think it says a lot, and maybe too much about the relationship between the two. I am not so sure that they agree with that, but so be it. Thank you.

LEON SANCHEZ:

Thank you very much, Sebastien. And yes, you are right, I forgot to say that Chris Disspain who was Vice Chair until just a couple of weeks ago has stepped down, and Maarten Botterman has become the acting vice chair. This of course, the two unsure as most transition between the current chair and the next chair.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you. Thank you, Sebastien, and Leon for that. We have Judith with her hand up.

JUDITH HELLERSTIEN:

Yes, this is Judith Hellerstein for the record. Also, Javier, to answer some of your questions, the development sector, previously ICANN, if they wanted to participate in meetings where the issues of domain names and other Internet Governance issues were being talked about, they had to request one of the RARs or ISOC to invite them to come and

listen, and they couldn't really speak because they wouldn't be recognized as a sector member. So, this was done -- they did this so they could be invited. They also are not allowed to speak, but they can be there at the meetings.

Also, as Leon mentioned, if you want to influence on the ICANN side, what the ICANN org. is talking about, yes, join the CCEG, and work on that area because that's the group that will be working with it, and that group that's working closely with that. That's the government group who is going to be representing ICANN. It's Nigel and a small other group in there.

But if your thought was not on the ICANN side on how you can get involved personally, then that is through countries or through other sector members who are many. Part of it goes part of the US, and if you wanted to go on the, give your input, it would be on the US side, US governance side. Or, if you want to work with a sector member, that's also the case. But I'm happy to talk to you offline about this. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you, Judith, that's really interesting. Okay, Javier, there you are, you have had an offer there for more advice. Are there any other questions for Leon? Thank you, Leon, you've really stirred up some action here and that's been really great. Okay, no more questions, so therefore let's go onto ATLAS III as in the agenda. One month and counting. So, we've got quite a bit of stuff here. If you click onto the At-Large Summit 3 home page, that's something that is going to be helpful for you for this particular page here.

And so, we're going to have, first of all, a little look at the program, an update on the program; a very exciting program that we've been talking about for the last couple of weeks and we'll get the tune of it from Eduardo and Olivier. If Olivier hasn't gone back to sleep. Eduardo?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much, Maureen. Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I'm glad I'm actually not asleep, one thing, and I'm actually there, second thing. But at this time of the morning -- okay, the ATLAS III program, very, very exciting thing but still not finalized. The thing we're being faced with is the difficulty with having an actually finalized video. Simply, things were moving around, the Monday for example, there was a feeling that first the opening ceremony might be in the afternoon, and then it was moved to the morning, so things had to be shuffled around.

The programming itself starts on the Monday of the ICANN meeting and goes all the way up to the Thursday. The ALAC work will take place over the weekend, so then that frees ALAC members to fully take part in the ATLAS III during the rest of the week. The way that it's been defined is a mixture of plenary sessions where everyone is in the same room, and also breakout sessions, where the whole group will be subdivided into smaller groups.

And rather than just having discussions about specific topics and things, one of the things that was felt by the program working group was that we needed to bring the ATLAS III participants through some training so that they would actually end up with some additional set of skills that

they would acquire throughout their involvement, throughout the week in ATLAS III.

Now for this, we were helped by David Kolb from inside learning who is probably known to some of you for being the person behind the leadership training program. Or, one of the main pillars of the leadership training program in ICANN. And so, among those leadership skills are things like how to deal with conflicts, how to run calls and meetings, how to chair things, chair scaling skills, and there's a whole lot of additional skills will be taught throughout the week.

And so, the plenaries are going to be working on bringing those skills forward, and then the breakouts will be used to put people in situations so that they'll be able to use those skills and test them out. And others to effectively follow this and be able to evaluate how well or how bad they did. So, it's like a real program where everyone will learn a lot of new skills and things that will help them towards getting better at participating at the At-Large work.

One major thing of the program is going to be a case study. The case study discussion that took place in the small group brought forward an idea that we could have a case study that was very controversial, very difficult to grapple with, and that's the expedited policy development process that revolves around the WHOIS, and around the replacement of the WHOIS system is something. And at the moment, I guess it's not really the system itself, but the reduction of the data brought forward by the General Data Protection Regulation, the GDPR. Lots of acronyms already.

If you are coming to Montreal, and you're not aware of all this, get ready to receive in your mailbox some information about this whole case study that you'll have to study in the run up to the meeting. I think it's sometime in October that you'll have a little bit of reading to do and background stuff to get ready with.

There's also likely to be a handful of Webinars to help people with preparing for ATLAS III and so that everyone is on the same page when we meet face to face, but all together the idea was to try and make a program that was going to be exciting, not a repeat of previous ATLAS'. But certainly, an ATLAS focused on getting participants to learn skillsets that they could actually even use also outside of the ICANN circles, so interesting session for things.

The training sessions, well, at the moment we're still moving things around a little bit, but I think there will be something like 4 or 5 plenaries, and then 4 or 5 training sessions, and of course, in allocating the groups in advance we have -- I think somebody needs to mute. In allocating the groups in advance, we've managed to also take into account the language requirements and geographical requirements of the participants so we can make full use of interpretation both in French and in Spanish. So, have no fear about this.

And that's pretty much it I think with this, as far as the program, the main program itself is concerned. Happy to answer any questions, and I don't think that Eduardo is with us, so unfortunately, I don't have anyone to tell me that I've forgotten to say something. There are other people who are part of the leadership team who have had a briefing on this as well, if I've missed anything else, please let me know.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: All right, thank you, thank you Olivier. I don't think you've missed out much, and probably nothing that isn't probably going to be updatable later. But we have Olivier Kouami with his hand up. Olivier?

OLIVIER KOUAMI: Yes, thank you. I have two questions. Now, we are about 238 ALSes in the world, so how many ALSes will participate at ICANN67? And the second question is; this planning session will be open; will they be open for those of us who will not be at this meeting?

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Do you mean remote participation? Oh, Olivier has got his hand up, he can answer that.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Maureen. Olivier Crepin-LeBlond speaking. The number of At-Large Structure participants, in fact, it's not just participants, it's not just At-Large Structures, it's actually participants, some of whom are not At-Large structures, but individual participants, the whole process to select them, there were 60 spaces, and that's in addition to all of the people that are in leadership roles, both with the previous year leadership role, so this year's leadership roles and next year's leadership role. So, if you add it all together, you reach in the region of more than 90, perhaps about 100 people or so in there.

There will be a few members of the local community, we're still trying to work out how many people will be there, so we're making special arrangements for them as well. What we're trying, and what we are hoping, is that everyone who attends follows this ICANN Learn courses. Certainly, all the people that were selected by the selection process, one of the mandatory requirements was for them to follow the ICANN Learn courses. Not just because we wanted to test them and make their life hell, but actually because we wanted to -- oh no, have I just been dropped off? No, I'm still here.

No, just because we wanted to make sure that everyone was at a certain level of knowledge and they would take full advantage of the discussions that were taking place. There's nothing worse than being part of the group, being there locally and not understand what the heck is going on around us. So, we're hoping that everyone who will be there will have reached that level by having followed the courses. And for those friends and colleagues of mine that are on the ALAC, I would say, "Look, just go on ICANN Learn and check those out, or even watch some of the Webinars that were done in preparation for ATLAS III." Just in case, so you're not the ones that end up thinking, "Wait a minute, I'm not too sure about that." Lots of training going on.

When it comes down to whether the actual courses and the actual training that takes place at ICANN, so during ATLAS III, whether those will be available for remote participation, the plenaries will be available of course, because all of the work of At-Large is recorded and is also streamed online during the ICANN meeting. It makes it a little more difficult because the breakout parts, there is sort of a problem with the capacity of the room, how many rooms we're able to book

simultaneously, and in those cases sometimes the exercises don't really render themselves well for online participation, and certainly for online recording. Especially if you have many small groups that then have to huddle around in circles and discuss what they are going to do and what they are going to present in the next plenary.

So, I'm afraid that it's a yes and a no; there will be some parts that you can follow remotely, and there will be some parts that unfortunately you cannot. But apart from that, you know, I guess remote participants will definitely be able to hear what's going on globally on this. And as far as the ALAC's work is concerned over the week and of course [AUDIO BREAK] participation. I think I've answered your questions, and I'm happy to answer any others. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILLYARD:

Thank you, Olivier, yes, I think you have answered the question. Now, there was a sort of comment that was made about the different sessions, now I think that really is coming up with Gisella, who is going to give us a quick overview of the schedule that we actually have for At-Large for starting on the Saturday and Sunday, and of course moving into the week that At-Large will be taking place in. And you will see it's quite a full-on program, but I'll leave that up to Gisella to let you know. Gisella?

GISELLA GRUBER:

Thank you, Maureen. I hope everyone can hear me.

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Yes, we can.

GISELLA GRUBER: Lovely, thank you. So, with regards to the schedule on the Saturday and Sunday, it is still very much a work in progress, and the Wiki Pages, so this page that you see online for those who are in the Zoom room, please note that we have, as per usual, and it is also good to know for the new people who will be the ATLAS III participants, that we have one main page, which is the ICANN66 Wiki Page. And on this page, we try and centralize all the information for the ICANN public meeting, so in this case, for ICANN66 in Montreal.

We have the Saturday and Sunday, which are At-Large Leadership Development, and very much work in progress as we try and fit in a lot of meetings in only the weekend, as the Monday through to the Thursday will be for the ATLAS. So, I won't go into much detail on what to expect over the weekend, but everything will be listed here, and we'll all be coming together over the next week, as we finalize the various meetings, and also invite the various people, guests who will be speaking at our sessions.

Maureen, at this stage, is there anything more you'd like to confirm with everyone? We have a few meetings that have already been set on the schedule, for instance, ALAC Board, ALAC and GAC meeting, etcetera. But until we finalize the weekend schedule, I don't want to go into much detail of what to expect at this stage yet.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I see Maheesh has got his hand up. Maheesh?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Maheesh might be muted.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, if he gets back to us we'll have him on. Yes, Gisella has actually, as she said, the sentence in there is still a work in progress and in fact you may have noticed that there are little notes, sort of like we're keeping either other informed, just today for example I had someone ask if they could have a session, which means we've got to try and squeeze people in, as Gisella said, we've only got two days.

One of the things that I did want to mention was, and I guess if we could have Sunday, the end of Sunday, and it's following on from what Olivier was talking about with regard to preparing for ATLAS III, and this actually taken up probably a little bit more of the At-Large, the ALAC's meeting time, and that we really needed to have a good, sound time with the At-Large leaders who are going to be part of the ATLAS III program. And so what David is going to do is using the ALAC Sunday meeting time, is to give them a good grounding in what they will be expected to do as part of the ATLAS program. So, that's why we've got David broken up into two slots, surrounded by other constituencies who want to come and speak to us, as well.

But just to highlight, for the ALAC members and other At-Large leaders, that particular session is going to be really important for preparing you for ATLAS III. Okay. It's okay, Maheesh, if you weren't able to get in. If

there is a question that you wanted to ask, please make sure that you put it into the chat.

So, moving on from the actual schedule, the Communications Plan and Webinar. Is Joanna on the line? Is Joanna in the meeting? Okay, it doesn't matter. If we could have the communications PowerPoint up. One of the things when we had the ATLAS Organization Committee meeting, we had Carlos from the communications team who came along to speak to us about the communications strategy that we wanted to prepare for ATLAS III. And he gave us questionnaire to complete, a very comprehensive questionnaire, I might add, in order for them, for Coms to be able to develop a strategy for us.

I think what we actually ended up with, because Heidi took it away and prepared, following the questionnaire, granted, and has prepared what would be the guts of a decent communications strategy for ATLAS III and I would like to thank, too, the people who have actually contributed some suggestions already on the Google doc that we set up to build on what we've got proposed here at the moment. So, what we're doing is looking at how we can get the messages out about ATLAS III to the various communities that we're targeting.

And one of the things that I'm focusing on is that everyone emerges from this week of policy inputs and discussions and all the things that you will be doing within the ATLAS week, you will emerge as an ATLAS III ambassador with a plan that will look like this, as you will see, which shows that you've actually undergone the training and that you have been officially trained as an ATLAS III Ambassador. Can I have the next slide, please.

Okay, so what we had to develop, these are the hitting that were us four and I have to thank Heidi for preparing this for us. And we had to give a situation overview. This Situation Overview is about ATLAS III being an assembly of future At-Large Ambassadors, focused on developing and nurturing potential thought leaders and change agents who will implement what has resulted from the At-Large Review Process, namely, more effective participation of At-Large members in the policy advice process.

This has always been a key focus for ATLAS III. So what we want to do is from this, the sort of like the purpose, is to develop and elevator pitch, the usual thing. If someone comes up and says well what is ATLAS? You can come up with two or three statements that can actually tell them exactly what it is that we're doing. So, what we've got within the plan, we've got a purpose and we've got some objectives, which we've had for ages, anyway. Next slide.

So what we have to do now is look at who are we targeting these messages for? And who will communicate these messages. So the key three areas are the ATLAS III participants themselves, and of course, that's what we're doing, is actually trying to get these messages across to you, and it will more so ATLAS III as we go through. The At-Large community is also another important community to make sure, I mean we have lots, lots of people from within the At-Large community who are not going to be in Montreal, but will want to know what's happening. So have to make sure that we're getting those messages across as well, and as well as that, we've got the ICANN Community.

So, if they're saying how come At-Large has been given all this money and all they're going to do is have this little powwow? Well, we have to say that the even isn't just a meeting, it's a training session. It's actually developing the future of At-Large. So, we need to really make sure that the messages we give out to the community or to the three communities, are all consistent but they're also strengthening what it is that we want to do from the ATLAS event itself.

So, we've got some Key Messages that could, as Eduardo said, be elevator pitches, and of course these have been added to since the information has gone on the Google doc and there have been some really suggestions there, like ATLAS III is an assembly of future At-Large leaders. ATLAS III will provide participants with the skills required to effectively represent the best interests of the end users within ICANN. ATLAS III Ambassadors will be our thought leaders and change agents within At-Large and ICANN in the future. So, just remember those, because that's really important. Okay, next slide.

One of the really important things that's part of the strategy is how are we going to communicate those messages, and when? We're already using a lot of these, and there's more that are being added, like the newsletters, and other sorts of channels that we're actually using, but we want to make sure that the channels that we use to communicate the messages that are really important to us, that the messages are consistent.

And the strategy is making sure that the schedule we have is delivered so the most important ones are going out as we go through, that we're using already existing communication tools. It starts from the top and it

goes to the RALO leaders and ATLAS III participants are going to really important to all of this, and of course the liaisons, they will also get messages through. Was there another slide, after this?

Okay, so this is a timeline that has been developed, so what we have to do from now until before we get to Montreal, what we do during ATLAS III, and what happens post ATLAS III, as well. So it is quite a lot, there's a lot of thinking. This was a draft based on the questionnaire and this still has to go, this is only a couple days ago, so this has to now go to Coms and it will be interesting to see what they come out with as a proper strategy. These are just our views, getting our points across. So it will be interesting to see what comes out of that one. So, we have Sébastien, thank you Sébastien.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you very much, Maureen. If we can come back on the slide, the second or the third. I would like to express that I am more comfortable with what is written, elevator pitch, than the one with key messages. I still feel, you tell me that's ATLAS III, it's only 60 people who will travel to Montreal or it is also, it's all the At-Large participants in the meeting. And if it's so, ATLAS III is also an assembly of the current leaders, not just the future. But I do prefer, once again, the way it's written in the pitch because I prefer the future At-Large Ambassadors.

I don't think, I have already expressed that, I don't think that all will become leaders, but all can be a good ambassador. Some of them will be leading, some of them will be just a good voice, when I say "just," but a good voice of At-Large in different places in their country, in the

organization, so on and so forth. So, I really think that we need to be careful with the word 'leaders' everywhere and I have the impression that the first bullet point, and top two or three are very similar, just keep the second one. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Sébastien, I think it would be really handy to have those comments. I think that's one of the reasons, someone mentioned they didn't feel comfortable with saying that we're creating leaders from this particular event, which why the purpose on the very first page, I did change it to that it was in the family of future At-Large ambassadors. But at the same time, no doubt, from what we have seen in the applications that came through and the work that's actually being done within the community by several of the people who applied, we will be getting some excellent leaders out of this event and it will be identifying them and as we aim to do, and that's nurturing them and guiding them through the potential mentoring that will take place.

And I think it's no doubt very similar to what many of us have actually been through already, to become involved in At-Large as we have and within ICANN, as we have. So this is an opportunity for those participants who do come to Montreal and participate in this event, it's a good chance for us all to be observing and to be watching out for those who have that potential, and that we can support their continued involvement in At-Large.

I think that the communication strategy for this particular event is really important, as I said, it's not just for ICANN, it's actually for us internally,

as well. We all buy into it. We're all engaged in it, that we support what it is that we want to achieve for At-Large in the future. Okay, in this particular section targeting the communications the messages, and the webinar of course is something that is part of the program committee, and these are webinars that a few are going to be produced by us, particularly for ATLAS III. Olivier, have you got any other info on that with regard to what Joanna is planning on doing?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Maureen, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I am afraid I have not got any other details at this point in time. So, I'm not sure. Joanna is away this week, she is in China, so I think we will pick up next week on these things, so we'll be able to pick up on that. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, thank you very much. Sébastien.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: I think what you express is not so much different from what I have in my question in the discussion on the chat. I think we need to change ATLAS III is an assembly of future At-Large leaders. It will not be the case, it is not the case. Part of them will become leaders, part of them will do something else within At-Large. It's not just an assembly of At-Large leaders. Especially also because we will be participating and we are the current leaders. Therefore this sentence will need to be changed. The other, I cannot totally agree, but this one really needs to evolve. And I think what you have expressed, it's not far from my thinking.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, thank you for that, Sébastien. Okay, you're all on board with that, interesting the conversations in the chat too, so that's great. So, moving on from that then, we've got Sponsorship Update with Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks. On the sponsor side of things, we have two main sponsored events. One is VeriSign that has stepped forward to sponsor \$5000 for a cocktail that will take place, I think it's on Wednesday evening. It will be a networking cocktail that will get everyone to be able to talk with everyone else, a good thing at the end of a very long day. So we're really thankful that VeriSign is here to help us relax a little bit at the end of a good day like this.

We also have a sponsored lunch that the Internet Society is sponsoring, and I believe that will also be, will that be on Wednesday or Tuesday, I don't have it off the top of my head, but it's one of these two days, it will be the Tuesday, okay, thanks for this. And that will be, well, I guess it's also mentioned here. I've got two things on the agenda, ISOC luncheon discussion topic and sponsorship update. The lunch itself will be focused on a discussion, you don't get a free lunch, you need to talk at the same time.

The discussion will be about regulation, all aspects of regulation. There is an increasing amount of regulation out there. Often it's content regulation but we're also now looking at various laws that are coming out to regulate the DNS and regulate websites, and regulate domain names and access to domain names, blocking of domains, domain

takedowns, all sorts of things that are coming out of the woodwork from different levels of government, from law enforcement to actual laws being passed for past takedown of things.

There is suddenly a concern on the Internet Society and in the overall internet community that things sometimes are going a little too far. And so this lunch will be used to have a presentation by Andrew Sullivan, the ISOC CEO who will be speaking a bit about the work that Internet Society has been working on with regard to regulation and their fight to keep regulation to a minimum while at the same time not saying we don't need any regulation whatsoever, I think the times have passed for this, of saying we need an internet that's free of any kind of regulation.

And that's where a big, wide debate and discussion from all participants could bring their experience that they have from their part of the world is something that is likely to make for a very interesting lunch, indeed, ICANN related, while at the same time not completely ICANN related. So, that's the two sponsored events that we have at the moment. I'm sure there will be more coming up soon, but we're still in discussions with potentially having a couple more things that are sponsored by external parties. That's it, thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Olivier. I've heard that there may be a few more. This is just sponsorship for ATLAS III but apart from the sponsorship that we're getting, there are networking events on every night, so there's always something to go to. Olivier Koumi, please.

OLIEVIE KOUMI: Yes, I do have a question in French. I didn't fully understand the participant through the workshops for the ALSes, will they be able to participate fully in all of them?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Shall I answer, Maureen?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, yes, you carry on.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Maureen, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I'm only answering because this was a big discussion of the organizing committee. The whole thing is yes, there will be some At-Large structures that will self fund into actually attending the meeting in Montreal. There are a couple of difficulties that we have with this. The first one is you know that there was a whole process by which At-Large structures would have to apply in order to qualify for being able to part in ATLAS III there was a series of webinars and a series of ICANN Learn courses that needed to be fulfilled, that needed to be pursued.

That's not because we wanted to be difficult on this, but it was just to bring all of the participants for ATLAS III at a certain level of knowledge so that we don't spend time face-to-face discussing basic ICANN things, and we have informed participants in the room already to start with. No, it's of course understood that there will be some people that will fund, and we are hoping that we're not dealing with dozens, and dozens, and dozens of people that will self fund. Usually there isn't

dozens of people, but there certainly a few exceptions that can be made. There are provisions that are made for having a few additional people that will take part in ATLAS III.

And so the minimum that we're asking is that if you are going to be a self funded participant in ATLAS III, please let us as soon as possible so at that point we can the number of additional spaces that we might need to have. Certainly also there is also this whole issues of the lunches, if we have a sponsored lunch, then we also need to know who many people are going to be at that sponsored lunch. So we need to know the number as early as possible. And of course, if there are lots of people, then we're going to have to make arrangements on a best case basis or best try basis.

But on top of that, what we would really recommend is for anyone who wants to participate and are self funded, if they can either follow the ICANN Learn courses that are listed or perhaps listen to the webinars, then they will be at that same level as everyone else, so they're not going to be completely lost when they are in the room. And trust me, many people have said oh, I know it all, I know it all, I've actually followed the courses and I learned some stuff myself, and I think I kind of know my way around At-Large, but there were some questions that were really interesting.

OLIEVIE KOUMI:

At this point I will have finished all my classes but the 60 people are the only ones that are going to have finished all the classes.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I think there are other people that will have finished the classes, as well. So if you are able to take the classes and then proceed forward, then that would be great. If you want, Olievie, we can follow up afterward...

OLIEVIE KOUMI: Do we have some people that didn't finish all the classes and will be able to come to Montreal? That's my question.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Olievie, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. All of the people that are taking part in ATLAS III finished all the courses, that was a mandatory requirement. All the people that were selected, sponsored travelers for ATLAS III, finished the courses, yes.

OLIEVIE KOUMI: So we did take the classes, but not everybody was able to come to Montreal.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, and I'm sorry about that, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I'm sorry but not everyone was retained. I know that in some regions there were a lot of people, but if you are self funded, as mentioned earlier, let us know in advance, so we will be able to accommodate, but one of the things is we are recommending that you follow the courses. In your case, you have followed it, so that's great.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you both Olivier's for that. Okay, I have a couple more people who have their hand up. Sébastien?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yes, thank you very much. I guess Olivier Crepin-Leblond already answered a lot of this question but I think it's important that if we have self funded or local people coming and be able to participate in ATLAS III, it must be at the same level of all the others who were selected to come, and they need to follow the courses on ICANN Learn. I think it's a question of equality for all the participants of ATLAS III and if it is mandatory action by the 60 people, they need to follow the same as soon as possible, and yes, of course, we would have preferred to have 206 others, but we have just got 60, therefore we had to select. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Sébastien, and what you say is true, this is one of the reasons why Olivier is saying, if you are going to be self funding, it is important that you let us know and it also important that you follow the courses, because as you say, everyone who actually got chosen for the program actually did complete those six courses, and that was mandatory before they could even be considered for travel. So if they've done it, then anyone else who wants to join the program must also have completed it, as well. Alberto, you've got your hand up.

ALBERTO SOTO:

Alberto speaking. I would like to be brief, because we don't have much time. I agree on that and I suggest an Action Item, the self funded should meet the same requirements of those that are sponsored travelers. I would like to make a comment on something that was mentioned before, that ATLAS III will create or build leaders. I think that we have a limited period of time, so perhaps we may find some leaders, but not train leaders, and then there should be some kind of leadership training in the future.

As a matter of fact in LACRALO it's included in the badges, we have the badges for that, and we included subjects such as the requirements for a leader, I don't remember exactly but it was like managing, planning tools, and some other topics, including some psychology, because leadership has that. Alan told me at that time, would you aim it at ICANN and I said well, there is some exercise that if the student has to ride a bike, the bike as to be an ICANN bike. So everything will be targeted at ICANN. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you very much, Alberto. Yes, I do believe that LACRALO does have some training courses already, and it's great. Okay, so let's move on. We are running a little bit short of time, or maybe not, because I just realized that ICANN66 coming up, we've probably covered quite a bit of that. But anyways, the final item on the ATLAS III agenda is looking at post ATLAS III activities.

I think that with regard to post ATLAS III there are two phases. The first one involves the ATLAS III participant themselves, and there are going to

be expectations, reporting and evaluations, expectations following the event, so we can get impressions and get some feedback and also looking at Next Steps for the community involvement that is actually going to be an expected part of the followup of ATLAS III ambassadors in their regions, that's the whole point. So there is that expectation.

But there is also going to be a whole lot of activities that will be participating in and not only the ambassadors, but also other members from within the community are going to be engaged in, and let's look at how we're going to review a lot of the different items that are part of the item 2 of the At-Large review. So we will be reviewing certain sections of that and looking at are they appropriate for today's world and the future world of At-Large.

That's an ongoing project. Therefore, post ATLAS III activities are going to be things that participants will have to do almost immediately, within the first month, and at the same time will be working on continuing on with our At-Large review activities. So, do we have any other questions, I know there has been a really big discussion on the participant level activities, but anything else? No? Okay, let's go on to ICANN66.

This is looking at what we're going to be doing in ICANN66. And we had a look at that a little bit with regard to when Gisella showed us the schedule, again, it's a little bit early to be putting in, we could show you what we've got at the moment and probably will have to change it all tomorrow because something changes in the ICANN schedule, but that's what we're working with at the moment. And this is something that you want to raise, Gisella, that's specific to ICANN66 at this particular point in time?

GISELLA GRUBER: Maureen, this is Gisella for the record. No, nothing specific at this time. Just let me know when you would like me to run through the social events which will actually cover ICANN66 and ATLAS III. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Right, okay, well how about if we do that now.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you very much, Maureen. That will close the loop nicely for both ATLAS III and ICANN66. The social events will also be listed on the wiki pages. On Sunday the 3rd of November, we have a Welcome Reception for ATLAS III at the Doubletree Hotel, so that is for ATLAS III participants, as well as the At-Large leaders joining us in Montreal. On Monday the 4th of November in the evening we have the ICANN66 Gala. The details have not yet been confirmed. Again, this will be posted not only on our At-Large wiki, but also on the ICANN66 main schedule.

On Tuesday the 5th of November, as Olivier mentioned, we have a lunch sponsored by ISOC for ATLAS III which also includes At-Large regional leaders. On Wednesday the 6th of November in the evening we have the ATLAS III Networking event. The timing is yet to confirm the exact start time of the event, it will be at the venue in the main conference venue, again for ATLAS III participants, as well as At-Large regional leaders and leadership team.

On Thursday the 7th of November, the regular ICANN66 closing after the meeting in the evening. Also note that there are many evening

events in Montreal by invitation only, so you may have the privilege of receiving some of those. Those will not be listed on our wiki page, as I mentioned they will be by invitation only. Thank you very much, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Gisella. Any questions? Does anyone want to mention GEMS? Is GEMS happening? No?

SPEAKER: GEMS is happening.

MAUREEN HILYARD: GEMS is happening, right, okay.

SPEAKER: When?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, but no idea when.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: It's Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. If I could jump in, we're still working out when, well, when I think we know, when would be Wednesday night, but where is still a question mark, it's a little bit of a concern, we're a little late on this one, I'm afraid. But we're hoping to work this out pretty soon. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Olivier. I thought I'd just slot that one in. Okay, important issues for ICANN66, questions for the Board and the GAC. This is something for the ALAC members to be thinking about. So what is a burning question, and we only have one shot at asking the Board, because they only want one question from us. So what is it that we want to ask the Board about that we want to be able to discuss with them? I see Leon has his hand up. Leon?

LEON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Maureen. Just to note that we're trying to shift things a little bit in these sessions that we have with the community and you might remember that previously we used to have sort of three questions from the ALAC and three questions from the Board, then we would discuss this in a formal way in our session. So what we have suggested is that we have learned that having a more informal discussion sometimes is far productive than a formal type of format.

So, you could either choose to submit a question or you could also request and open and informal discussion in whatever topic you choose. So that might prove to be far more productive, so I'm just reminding you that it's not only a question that you could submit but also a topic which you would like to have this kind of discussion more openly and more informal with the Board. Thanks, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Leon. Jonathan?

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I just wanted to repeat my notion from when you made this query on email. I think DNS abuse would be a good topic of conversation with the Board because there has been a lot of intersections with it, with the Subsequent Procedures work, the CCTRT work, and the upcoming Board implementation of CCTRT recommendations, et cetera, I think we will probably try to have advice to the Board about DNS abuse before Montreal and so I think it would be very timely to have a conversation about that and what we're going to be doing to address it in Montreal. Just my thoughts.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

I think it's a really good idea to get the questions for the Board and for the GAC onto the wiki space, so if you can do that, that would be really good. The ALAC is starting to think about questions for the Board but we also have our usual session with the GAC and I'd like to get some feedback from Yrjö because I understand that they have some expectations of us and we haven't had all the information that we need. Yrjö, can you report on that, please?

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO:

Yeah, thank you Maureen, this is Yrjö Länsipuro speaking. Yes, there was an initiative from the GAC side from my counterpart, the GAC liaison to ALAC, Ana Nevis, to have an intercessional meeting between some GAC members and some At-Large people about policy issues, to develop our cooperation into the Next Step, this would be policy cooperation. We have at the CPWG meeting I asked the people who

would be interested in participating and we have about 10 people who are interested to participate in that intercessional.

I have relayed the names to the GAC and I'm waiting for the answer, that is to say I do hope to set the time for this intercessional. That will to some extent determine the agenda for our joint meeting in Montreal. The other thing is that I would be very happy if ALAC members and other participants of this call would identify topics which I would propose to the GAC. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Yrjö. Okay, so again, I guess we do need to get a little bit of feedback from the GAC to find out where they are at with regard to what they would like us to speak about, so that we can respond accordingly. We will work on that over the next couple weeks. Jonathan, do you want to raise what we're going to be discussing as far as policy topics, talking points, et cetera, for ICANN66, which is the next item.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I guess I don't know yet exactly what session that's going to be. We seem to be focusing in on this DNS abuse issue, and so I thought we would try to put together a policy session on that, as well, with some outside speakers to come and talk about some of the issues that are happening and some of the proposals that are around to mitigate that abuse. And we have this big discussion going on that the Board is trying to launch an effort to define DNS abuse and I think we want to be supportive of that effort, but at the same time suggest there are things

that can be done with a very conservative definition now, and we don't need to wait for what might be a very long process defining DNS abuse in its broadest form.

So the CCTRT in particular used a very specific and conservative definition of DNS abuse and there are proposals on the table for dealing with that now. So, I think that might be the policy session and a lot of conversations that are going on because there are so many things related to that that are happening. As far as talking points, I guess I'm just waiting to see what kinds of sessions are going to be going on in Montreal and then based the talking points on those. So I don't know that yet.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Okay. And I think that the DNS abuse I guess is very similar to what Olivier was talking about with regulations. It's so complex, there are so many aspects of it, you need a whole session, at least, to go over those, and it would give a better understanding for the At-Large participants and ATLAS participants, it will give them a little bit of grounding for when they go to the community discussions.

Okay, the next one is Outreach and Engagement Activities. Is Daniel here? I know Olivier has got the NCUC thing.

DANIEL NANGHAKA:

The number of sessions, I think right now the main session will be a session of the NCUC and At-Large [inaudible]. Since the time is limited that will be the only outreach engagement session that will be available.

[inaudible]. That's a very brief from outreach and engagement. Thank you. Back to you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Daniel. Yes, I think that's going to make it an interesting introduction to the fellows and At-Large introduced to each other. Olivier, anything on the NCUC outreach?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Maureen, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I had actually put my hand up for the previous topic just to add that Jonathan had mentioned DNS abuse, that indeed works completely hand in hand also with some people calling for regulation because of the DNS abuse. So all these topics are somehow interlinked, which makes it really fascinating, and I really hope our ATLAS III participants will be awed and delighted to take part and see how it all fits together finally, as far as an end user is concerned.

With regards to the joint outreach session with NCUC and At-Large, we finally managed to find a date and that will be on the Monday afternoon the last 90 minutes of the day, the last session. I know it's going to conflict and clash with a number of other sessions, but unfortunately it's a very, very, very, very busy schedule and there is no free spot where it doesn't clash with anything else out there.

So at least we managed to get approval from NCUC that this was going to work for them, it's going to work for us, it's going to clash on our side with one of the ATLAS III sessions, a workshop session, but I think, well

actually I haven't mentioned it in this meeting but it's a different audience. We're looking here at newcomers, fellowship, fellows, and so on. The fellows will not take part in the ATLAS III workshops. So we are looking at having these fellows and teaching them the ropes about ICANN, maybe a few topics, topical discussions.

The only thing that I would ask is that the usual seasoned members of ALAC who will be taking part in the ATLAS III workshop might have to run out of the room for a few minutes or half an hour or something to help out with this, as well. But hey, welcome to At-Large. This is an ICANN meeting, the AGM, if you're not running between rooms and not busy in more than one location at any one time, then you're obviously doing something wrong, sorry, that's what it's like, that's what my ICANN meetings are like, so there you go, have a bit of fun on that, too. Take care. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Olivier. Okay, so there's quite a lot happening. We've got five minutes for our final update and we've got Yrjö because I think Alan is on holiday. But Yrjö, a five minute update on the Seat 15 Election.

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO:

Yeah, this is Yrjö, thank you Maureen. It will be even less than five minutes. The BCEC Board Candidate Evaluation Committee has started its work. We have had two meetings so far, we have been going through documents the procedural documents which will guide our work. We got a good heritage from the 2017 committee so we don't

need to start from scratch, we are just going through what they recommended.

One of the things that is different this time is GDPR. We have to be very careful with the various personnel data this time, and that means that all the documents will be checked by ICANN Legal, especially the call for expressions of interest and the forms that the applicants have to fill. But I still hope that we can issue the calls for EOIs in early October, which means that we could start the evaluation process in November. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you very much, Yrjö. Yes, there is a lot of work going into that activity, as well. Okay, well, we've finished before the top of the hour, and I do understand we had two hours and I'm really thrilled that we had everyone here throughout the whole two hour meeting. We still have a question, Sébastien?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you very much, Maureen, Sébastien Bachollet speaking. I feel very strong that discussion we have done some mailing list, it's not reported here about Board Seat 15. I would like to express here officially my concern that we will make losing a lot of time and energy a lot of people, because we are just to follow the rules written a few times ago, and not very good for the current time we are living. I know these agreement with some people within ALAC about this topic, but I think it's important to mention.

And my second point is I really don't like when a decision is taken just by writing, there are people in this organization who are more talking than writer, and nobody gives a chance to those people to express themselves seriously on that issue. I am very concerned that we are asking people to do a lot of work when we almost already know the results, at least I think we have a view what will be the results, it's a pity because we have not so much time, we have so much to do, but it seems that people prefer to have time than to try to find another way to do things. At least it's my personal opinion on the situation and I would like very much that we report on what was done in At-Large on that issue because it's a transparency question here. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Sébastien. I think what has resulted is probably a very fair way of dealing with the particular situation. The proposal was put to the ALAC and to the community about how we would go about the election process, taking into account that it is going to be quite drawn out and even if the outcome is going to unexpected, or expected, whatever way you want to look at it, that we are going to have to follow the process because the process is already in place.

However, and I think Alan has highlighted this, because he's the one who actually made the decision as the Chair in consultation with the ALAC members, was that we would continue with the process and it may be abbreviated early depending on how many applicants we get and how long or short that takes. But following the conclusion of that process we can then look at reviewing the procedures that we actually

have in place for future board selection processes. So I guess it's something if we had the foresight to predict that this situation would arise, we would have changed those rules earlier, but that was not to be. Is that a new hand, Sébastien?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Yeah, just to say a quick thing. The first one is that we are laughing about governments who make decision and takes time, and we may laugh about us. We are not an agile organization and your last point it was not expected, yes it was, it was asked for, and it was not taken into account, and now we have the same people to deal with, and that's the situation.

Maybe what I will do, and it's a really point of view, please don't apply to seat 15. Leave the one who is currently on the seat and don't try, because I think he is the best one to do the job for the next three years. That's my personal point of view, but I think it's what I need to tell the people here from ALAC and from At-Large where I think we need to go.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Well, thank you very much for that, Sébastien, and I'm sure Leon appreciates that vote of confidence, and we hope that people are listening. But I take your point, we aren't those other organizations, but we do have the rules are in place and we have been guided by the decision that was made by members of the ALAC in the discussions we had. So we just move on from there. We will engage with the process and Yrjö and Alan had already begun that process, so it was a little bit, the train has left the station, so we couldn't do much about that.

But thank you for your comments, very much appreciated. Unless anyone has got anything else?

DANIEL NANGHAKA:

Maureen, Daniel here. I thought I would bring this up regarding to selection of leadership for the Co-Chair. I will be setting up for tomorrow's call, asking the RALO leaders to begin their selection of respective Co-Chairs for their regions, such that they can be able to take over their renewal in office in the next 8 years. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Yes, thank you. That's fine, Daniel, it's just a reminder to RALO Chairs to please put some name forward for Co-Chairs for the outreach and engagement, a very important part of our work is done within the RALOs and the Co-Chairs conduit the liaisons between the outreach engagement activities in the subcommittee and across At-Large. So, thank you for that reminder, Daniel.

Is there anything else pressing? No? Thank you again everyone for sticking with us and it's been a really productive meeting, I really do thank you very much for your contributions and look forward to seeing you all in Montreal, though we will have another meeting before then, I guess. I hope you have a great time for the rest of your morning, afternoon, or evening. Thank you all, bye bye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Thank you all for joining the call, this meeting is now adjourned. Enjoy the rest of your day or evening.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]