SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report Plenary Call #84 25 September 2019 – 14:00 – 15:00 UTC

Review Team Members

Alain Aina, Danko Jevtovic, Eric Osterweil, Norm Ritchie, Russ Housley, KC Claffy, Denise Michel, Laurin Weissinger, Naveed Bin Rais, Žarko Kecic, Jabhera Matogoro, Ram Krishna Pariyar, Kerry-Ann Barrett

Observers

ICANN Org

Laurin Weissinger, Naveed Bin Rais, Žarko Kecic, Jennifer Bryce, Negar Farzinnia, Brenda Brewer, Jabhera Matogoro, Ram Krishna Pariyar, Kerry-Steve Conte

Technical Writer
Heather Flanagan

Apologies

Kaveh Ranjbar, Boban Krsic

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki here: https://community.icann.org/x/eYPkBg.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance record above. No SOI updates provided.

2. Review the updated draft work plan

Russ talked the team through the updated work plan and asked for any comments. Jennifer read out a comment from Kerry-Ann posted to the work plan regarding how the team should reach consensus on the draft report text. Russ noted his understanding that the team had agreed consensus to be more than half, Denise agreed and noted the team is operating under the GNSO Working Group Guidelines regarding consensus. No other comments on the work plan were raised.

Decision reached: The team agreed to adopt work plan updates.

Action item: Staff to post the updated work plan to the wiki.

3. Review the <u>recommendations document</u>, discuss new additions, and reach consensus on draft recommendations

KC noted she had raised some <u>comments</u> on the mailing list regarding recommendations 24 – 26. Team members discussed recommendation 24, agreeing that more discussion should take place offline.

Action item: Eric and KC to work together to discuss recommendations 24 – 26 as flagged in KC's email and propose more specific text for the 9 October SSR2 call.

Russ noted that the compliance subteam text has been added to the <u>document</u> and asked the team to read the recommendations (p30 – 38) ahead of the next call.

<u>Action item</u>: Team members to read through the compliance subteam recommendations (p30 – 38) in the recommendations document ahead of the 2 October call.

KC suggested that the document needs to be tidied, for example redundancies removed and citations added. Heather noted she is going through the document and will reach out to team members where references are needed that she cannot find.

4. Discuss CCT Implementation Plan posted for <u>public comment</u> and whether the RT wishes to submit a public comment

Russ asked if the team wanted to provide a comment as a team, or if people would prefer to respond as individuals. The team discussed and agreed to provide a response on process-related issues, and substance issues can be submitted as individuals. Russ asked that team members share thoughts on the list to get the public comment response started in time for the 21 October deadline. Denise noted recommendations 21 and 22 as particularly relevant to the SSR2 RT.

<u>Decision reached</u>: The Review Team agreed they should start a public comment on the process-related items of CCT implementation plan.

<u>Action item</u>: Team members to share process-related comments on the CCT implementation plan to the list.

KC asked about what content is included in the <u>Operating Standards for Specific Reviews</u> regarding prioritization and cost effectiveness of recommendations, and who the Operating Standards apply to. Negar reminded the team that the review teams already in progress at adoption of the Operating Standards have the option to operate under them. Denise asked staff to circulate the sections related to prioritization and cost effectiveness to the team.

<u>Action item</u>: Staff to send the Operating Standards link to the team, and to pull out sections relevant to prioritization and cost effectiveness of recommendations.

5. Discuss the GAC statement on DNS abuse

Russ noted that some of the issues in the statement are aligned with issues that the SSR2 are addressing and encouraged team members to read the statement.

6. AOB

None raised.

7. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Action items and decisions were read for the record.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes	
Conscisus reaction of decisions, action flems. 165	