

UA Measurement WG Meeting

16 October 2019

Attendees

Abdalnonem Galila
Dennis Tan Tanaka
Mark Datysgeld
Roberto
Sarmad Hussain
Pitinan Kooarmornpatana

Agenda

1. Update: SOW Open-Source Code review
2. ICANN 66 slides
3. Review and augment: SOW CMS
4. UA-readiness index ideation
5. AOB

Meeting Notes

SOW Open-Source Code review

The WG was informed about the next step after the SOW is finalized by the WG. The document will be shared with the coordination team then the UASG leadership for feedback and recommendation. Once all feedback is considered and incorporated, it will be handed over to ICANN org. ICANN org will take it forward to the contracting process.

The Expected Outcomes section was discussed. Item 3.a was added to categorize and prioritize the mitigation work. The WG clarified that item 3.c is to include how to positively approach developers to create positive influence to fix the problem. For example, some developer communities have the bug reporting system, using the voting mechanism to prioritize the fix.

All the problems found should also be labeled into a particular category and the link to that application should be made available for following-up purpose. The comment for each result should accompany the yes-no answer, it will help shaping the scope in the future.

The WG agreed to review the document as discussed and circulate to the coordination group. It will also ensure that Technology WG and EAI WG are informed of this SOW.

As the deliverable item 2 allows contractor to use samples for alternate method to validate findings, it was discussed how big the sample size should be. This is a manual sampling to validate the automatic evaluation process. It was shared that for the 1,000 website testing, the manual sampling size was approximately 10%. The WG discussed that 10% is quite high. It was agreed to start with 30 samplings per categories. However, if it is turned out to have many categories, the number for each one can be less.

ICANN66 Presentation

The ICANN66 presentation of UA Measurement WG was reviewed by the WG. All working groups are working on the same slide template structure. It includes the charter, the action plan, the work that has been done and what the future plan is.

The charter slide reused the same text as in the WG Charter, with slightly adjustment to fit presentation format. The five action items assigned to the WG were marked with percentage of progress. It was noted that it was not the exact percentage but to display the action item statues, i.e., have not started, at the starting point, halfway, almost done, and done. The WG reviewed and agreed with the progress for each item.

SOW CMS

The WG decided in the previous meeting that the next target for evaluation is CMS packages. It was shared that each CMS is quite different from one another. The test cases have to be quite high level to be able to apply across CMS packages. In addition, CMS packages usually have many plug-ins on top of the core package. The popular plug-ins can be identified easily via search engines.

It was discussed whether the scope of evaluation should also cover the plug-ins. The WG concluded that to provide a meaningful evaluation report, a few major plug-ins for each CMS should be identified and included in the evaluation.

It was noted that for any issues found, it should be categorize so the WG can prioritize the work for remediation. It was also mentioned that these CMS are installed on the server side, the test might have to cover various operating system.

Annual Report

It was shared that the Measurement WG is tasked to produce the UA-Readiness annual report by the end of fiscal year (June 2020). It will be the collections of what UA has been achieve in a year. The chair invited members to brainstorm what could be or comprised to be the UA-readiness Index. The index is an efficient way to communicate and keep track of the UA-readiness status.

Next meeting: 30 October 2019.

Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Review the SOW for Open-Source Code document and shared with coordination team	Dennis Tanaka
2	Contribute to the SOW for CMS Google document	All
3	Think about UA-readiness Index	All