

UA Measurement WG Meeting

04 April 2024

Attendees

Nabil Benamar

Jim DeLaHunt

Gopal Tadepalli

Krislin Goulbourne-Harry

Abdulkarim Oloyede

Cengiz Acartürk

Frank Anati

Joel Okomoli

Kunle Olorundare

Setondji hounzandji

Abdullah Qamar

Sushanta Sinha

Seda Akbulut

Meeting Agenda:

1. Welcome and Roll Call
2. Transparency and governance
3. [5-year action plan](#)
 1. What action items from the can be moved to other WGs?
 2. Finalize the timeline
 3. Map each action item with the strategic goal and define what percentage it will impact on each year's goals.
 4. Any final comments.

Google doc for 5-year plan:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1bAYeHtaswqQ99Re393lIBw6mvkmn7uDUjKzXXQlvZml/edit>

4. What to take up next from the Action Plan

Meeting recording: [Link](#)

Meeting Notes

Agenda#2: Transparency and governance

Jim suggested spending 10 minutes discussing the transparency and governance of UASG. He expressed that transparency and good governance

is being trying to be implemented without being transparent and through bad governance. He added that the UASG leadership should communicate why the SOI is necessary and what they are trying to solve. This communication should be part of the transparency process.

Seda shared the recent communication's session slides from [ICANN79](#). The details of the first open communication with the community at ICANN78 is [here](#).

Nabil shared that there have been some emails about this. He added that UASG requested additional resources and budget. In the ICANN78 Hamburg meeting, UASG Leadership had a meeting with ICANN executives where it was communicated that SOI needs to be implemented to know who is participating in the elections. UASG Leadership agreed to SOI implementation though it is not a sufficient mechanism alone for transparency. However, SOI is the first step for transparency and to continue with ICANN's support.

Jim believed that this story was not communicated to the community by the UASG Leadership. He pointed out that this part of the process should be more transparent.

Nabil shared that he could provide some clarifications to the ua-discuss.

Abdulkarim questioned why the SOI is important and why UASG SOI needs duplicate SOI if one has it already with ICANN. Seda explained that a specific SOI is needed for two main reasons: one is to capture everyone's special interest level in UASG, and the other one is to get up-to-date information. If the person already has an SOI within ICANN, they can add a link to their profile when submitting the SOI to UASG but still need to fill out some certain fields. The form doesn't take much time to fill out, especially for the people who are familiar with this process.

Agenda#3: 5-year plan

Jim iterated his skeptical approach on the 5-year plan. He shared that we should ask ourselves whether we know how to measure these goals. We

should be able to tell which actions should be effective for UASG. Nabil agreed that we need some concrete metrics.

Seda explained what is needed at this point to complete the 5 year planning. The goals need to be mapped to each action item.

Gopal shared a concern on how many email IDs are compliant. How do we know the number of email IDs. Seda explained that currently we investigate email servers only and therefore the goal is based on the number of servers. Gopal shared that it may be good to think about how to find the number of email IDs anyways.

Seda shared the statistics of the EAI survey on gTLD zone files for email servers:

<https://ithi.research.icann.org/graph-eai.html#EAISummaryTable>

Seda explained that we should also look at the action items to ensure if those items are within the Measurement WG's remit. Based on the Measurement WG's focus areas, we point out UA gaps, we usually don't fix them in this WG.

Jim reminded that Tech WG would write a paper that syntax check is useless and to recommend a validation method by sending an email to confirm instead. Seda asked if this is listed in the Tech WG items, and if it could relate to T14. Jim said that it is not included at all yet.

#AOB

Gopal <FOR THE RECORD> I had some interactions within India for developing and offering the suggested UA Syllabus based content. This was initiated within this group. Thanks to Anna University, I could go ahead. But some feeble opinions on UASG and ICANN needed to be ascertained at the relation at that level. Anyway, as we progress more aspects will be cleared. I will be happy to assist. - Dr. T V Gopal, Anna University

Seda shared that the UA Curriculum is on the way and new instructor guides would be included soon.



Due to the conflicts with the Eid holiday and 1 May holiday, the next Measurement WG meeting will be on 2 May. However, the following meetings will be on Wednesdays.

The meeting ended.

Next meeting: 02 May 2024, Thursday UTC 15:00

Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Remind the Tech WG to write a paper that syntax check is useless and to recommend a validation method by sending an email to confirm	Jim