

# UA Measurement WG Meeting 12 May 2022

#### **Attendees**

Seda Akbulut - ICANN Org Nabil Benamar Mark Datysgeld Jim DeLaHunt, Vancouver, Canada Vadim Mikhaylov

#### **Agenda**

- 1) Welcome and Roll Call
- 2) FY23 Measurement WG planning
- 3) AOB

## **Meeting Notes**

Seda started the meeting by welcoming all participants and telling them about agenda items. The deadline to deliver the FY23 Measurement planning is June 02.

Mark offered to give an overview of why SOW of Measuring UA readiness of popular identity platforms is tricky since his team is working on it. Mark said it can help to plan the next budget cycle. Mark said it has different steps and challenges which are not realistic to be completed in 3 months. That is why his team is retracting to take up this task. His suggestion is to have a second pass of review by WGs to clarify the challenges and scope of the SOW. Seda explained that different vendors have proposed their bids and have different questions. ICANN is in contact with them and clarifying those questions and if there is a need to take inputs from WGs it will be communicated to relevant WG.

https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6749 this was updated (see at the top of the page: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc8996

Mark suggested steering stewardship structure. SOW: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1loHVair19A8imTPyDu0T4s4GuQq4qh-d/view

Mark mentioned there are unknowns and blind points. Mark's feedback is important. But we can continue with the existing proposals Seda asked Measurement WG if they can address Mark's suggestions.

Jim mentioned. If the other vendors say they cannot do it, then we will go through the SOW.

Jim concluded his expectations out of this work is "Does the OAuth standard or the OAuth standard implementations limit identity to a limited Latin email rather than a globally inclusive email?" He said he takes Mark's warning about the obstacles and the difficulty of the work.

On Nabil's request, Mark explained OAth itself seems to be working fine but there are a bunch of its branches with adoption of other standards, even private standards. So, the test case for OAth would not be enough. And he said it would require testing a whole lot of other things which are not clearly mentioned in SOW.

Jim said it is good to move on with the meeting agenda as updating SOW or reevaluating will take a lot of time. He said Seda and staff should keep on evaluating bids. In the near future Mark can help to understand the fragmentation of work and what it takes to do it right.

## FY23 Measurement WG planning

Nabil asked for final suggestions/comments on the action items of FY23 planning. Seda gave updates on the last meeting for the review. She asked to look at suggestions for FY23 proposed projects.

Vadim asked about the suggestion related to Tier-2 social networks and email providers. Vadim explained Tier-2 term: <a href="https://phoenixnap.com/blog/data-center-tiers-classification">https://phoenixnap.com/blog/data-center-tiers-classification</a> causing confusion. Jim changed the wording.

Jim explained approaching tier 2(means less well-known but competing to become bigger) companies and pitching the idea of UA readiness that will



eventually put pressure on the leading companies. Vadim said the term tier-2 is confusing as it has completely different definitions in networks and data centers. Jim suggested replacing the term 'tier-2' to 'upstart'.

Seda showed the progress of FY22 Plan for Measurement WG and tasks included in FY23. Some tasks are a continuation of previous years' tasks. And some are labeled phase-2 as one round of those tasks is completed in FY22.

Seda explained that even after publishing FY23 plan, some changes can be added to the plan and it is open for feedback from the community.

Jim suggested adding an M6 item regarding pitching upstart companies for UA readiness and it can be prioritized during the year. A discussion on the expertise and whether to hire a vendor or do it within WG capacity started. But as 6 items are more than what Measurement WG can do in a year, it was decided to keep 5 items now.

Seda shared the slides with participants. and ended the meeting at this point.

Next meeting: 26 May 2022 UTC 1400-1500

#### **Action items**

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Adding comments/suggestions to FY23 planning document	All