UA EAI WG Meeting
26 May 2020

Attendees

      Bourizi Zied

      Cheryl Langdon-Orr

      Jim DeLaHunt

      Mark Datysgeld

      Mark Svancarek

      T Santosh

      Pitinan Kooarmornpatana

Agenda

1. Review of previous [meeting note](#)
2. Review the FY21 Prioritization
3. AOB

Meeting Notes

The WG reviewed the previous meeting note and there was no further comments.

The WG discussed and prioritized FY21 action plan. For each action item, the priority (High/ Medium/ Low) was noted. Some items also included additional information of by-whom (Community/Staff/Outsourced Vendor) and the size of effort (Large/ Medium/ Small).

The WG agreed that item E10 – Activity in GitHub was a high priority. The groundwork, e.g. list of libraries, should be done by the experts or staff and then being rolled out by community. Jim mentioned the code scanning project on GitHub, and he would share further information on the mailing list.

Item E11 – Publish Knowledge in Technical Communities was decided to be a high priority as it was the place where programmers could pickup the wrong code. Resource outside of the UA-EAI-WG, example a youth taskforce, could be involved.

Item E8 – Being Part of a Standard Forum was decided to be a high priority, and the highest in the list, specifically for WHATWG and the HTML standard for email input field.

The WG noted that Item E9 – Addressing the Gap from the Supplies Side, could be very complex. However, E9.1 – Review the result of the UASG021C could be ranked as a high priority. E9.2 - Address the Gap from the Demand Side and E9.3 Create Awareness that EAI is a Choice could be at medium priority due to the very large effort required.

Item E5 – Expanding the UASG021A Test Criteria was decided to be a medium priority. Item E6 – Involve Spam Filer Providers was decided to be merged into item E3- Inventory of the EAI and its Ecosystem.

The members discussed and agreed that E7, E9.2 and E9.3 should be linked as they tried to understand chicken and egg problem and address the gap from the market side.

The WG agreed that item E1.3 – Develop the Overview Training EAI for Manager and Policy Members could be considered done by other EAI training documents. Item E1.2 – was considered a medium priority.

Item E2 – Oversee the EAI Evaluation Efforts stayed as a high priority. The members discussed that E3 – Inventory could be maintained by staff after the starting list was made available.

The WG member completed the first round of prioritization. The chair and the supporting staff would discuss offline how to share the priority list back to the coordination team.

Action item

No.	Action Item	Owner
1.	*Share information regarding to GitHub Code Scanning on the mailing list*	*Jim*
2.	*Discuss how to share the prioritization list back to the UA-Coordination team*	*Mark S. Pitinan*