

UA EAI WG Meeting

24 March 2020

Attendees

Abdalmonem Galila
Ahmad Bakhat
Cheryl Langdon-Orr
Dennis Tan
Harish Chowdhary
Jim DeLaHunt
Kristina Hakobyan
Malick
Mark Svancarek
Nitin Walia
Priyatosh Jana
Sasa Kovacevic
Sushanta Sinha
Zied Bouziri
Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
Sarmad Hussain

Agenda

- 1) Review of previous [meeting notes](#)
- 2) Continuation of [Best practices for email admins](#)

Review of previous meeting notes

The WG members reviewed the note from 17 March 2020 meeting and there was no further comment.

Best Practice for Email Administrators

The WG continued working on the Best Practice for Email Administrators. The recommendation regarding symbols was discussed.

Following points were raised:

- For ASCII email addresses, some symbols are commonly used e.g. numbers, hyphen, digits. This could be a starting point for using symbols for EAI.
- All domain names should be treated equally. Therefore, what is allowed for ASCII addresses should also be allowed for EAI.

- Based on the real use cases, an email account should be feasible to read and to input. Following this principle, the WG concluded the following recommendations:
 - The label should be type-able by a commonly used Input Method Editor (IME).
 - Emojis are not allowed, e.g. emoji with skin colors (See [SAC095](#))
- The WG was informed about relevant existing work:
 - Messaging Malware Mobile Anti-Abuse Working Group (www.m3aawg.org) published a best practice for [Unicode Abuse Prevention](#). The WG reviewed the document and noted that most of the point aligned with the WG document.
 - UTR39 Unicode Security Mechanisms was reviewed for the detail of [Restriction-Level Detection](#) and noted that the level of WG best practice is between Highly Restrictive and Moderately Restrictive.
 - In general, these document should be included as references. However, they were not directly address symbols.
- Additional points regarding to Arabic script were raised.
 - For RTL script, the dot (.) should be written in same context where it is. As the dot for RTL is different from the dot in LTR context.
 - The combining marks which fail the “feasible to read and input” principle should be disallowed e.g. ARABIC TATWEEL (U+0640) or a stretch mark.
- Other mathematical marks and other non-ASCII symbols was noted, e.g. # \$ % = “ * , for further discussion.
- Spam filter consideration was added to the Pending Discussion item.
- The overview of the work shared to the new joiners. The document was developed to be a practical practice for the email administrators to provide EAI that is not to too restrictive for the user and, at the same time, does not create any unstable EAI service.

Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1.	Review Best practices for email admins document for discussion	ALL