UA EAI WG Meeting
18 January 2022

Attendees

Mark Svancarek

Abdalmonem Galila

Akshat Joshi

Nigel

Sarmad Hussain

Seda Akbulut

Agenda

1) Welcome and roll-call
2) Update on the work Item and Q&A on "EAI Technical Education and Awareness to developers community via Q&A Websites" by Akshat Joshi
3) Reviewing the Self-Certification Guide
4) AOB

Meeting Notes

EAI Technical Education and Awareness to developers community via Q&A Websites

Akshat shared the categorized questions and its overview. There are 72 questions on seven different categories collected from certain forum platforms. The set of questions and proposed answers have already been shared with the EAI WG for their inputs via mailing list. All members are requested to review this document and provide suggestions on the content. He shared that the document contains various question forms submitted on different forums, such as Github and Stackoverflow, by developers while coding and working.

The idea behind this work is to analyze the Q&A data regarding UA and Start the outreach and giving answers that are more pertinent, accurate and up to date.

Akshat shared information about platforms including stackoverlfow, hashnode, github, freecodecamp and serverfault. Stackoverflow is the best platform for Q&A

regarding any type of coding problem. Hashnode is more like a blogging platform. He suggested that UASG can create a blog on Hashnode to disseminate information about UA to target the specific audience. Serverfault is more related to server configuration and networking. He also mentioned that github has questions under each related project. Also a different approach is required to target each platform.

Regarding the answers to the questions, Akshat shared that he will post the proposed answers after WG's review, or provide relevant reference on the platforms. Some questions which have been already closed or have already a satisfactory answer will not be targeted.

Feedback on the Q&A document:
- IDN email wording needs to be updated.
- JavaScript can't be used for email validation due to various reasons. Questions about the Java script validation and blocking emails should be answered from a perspective about how the validation needs to be done, instead of answering questions directly that are put wrongly. RFC6530 should also be a part of the answer.
- Regular expressions in the email id (\s), blocking spaces and how they are used should be reviewed. Comments show that emojis are accepted, but it should not be a part of it. To validate email id, an email with a validation link is sent to the email address and the user can click it to validate it.

Akshat asked Abdalmonem and Mark to comment on these ideas in detail on the sheet so he can look it up later.

Self-Certification Guide Edits:
Mark reviewed the comments and updates entered into the document since last week.

Mark approved Jim's deleting former MUA.34-35-36 codes.

After Jims's comment on IMAP.9 and IMAP .10, the team reviewed MDA.13 and MDA.14, and decided to leave IMAP.9 and IMAP.10 as GOLD.

For IMAP.9, the search command is not meaningful if we are using UTF8. Because if it uses UTF8, it should accept all charset. So, it is a redundant question which can confuse the listener. Team then reviewed MDA.13 and MDA.14 and decided to leave IMAP.9 and IMAP.10 as gold.

Mark reviewed Jim's comments from the previous meetings and approved most. Mark left comments in response to Jim's.

IMAP.11 has been edited. Why IMAP.12 is silver and higher was discussed. After reviewing the reference functionality level, it was agreed that it should be silver or higher because it is not creating mailbox.

It was commented that MTA.5 should be gold instead of silver because the silver feature is for non-globally-inclusive systems. Mark agreed to that and changed it to gold.

We reviewed until MTA.8. The next meeting will continue from where we left.

Next meeting: 25 January 2022 UTC 1530 -1630

Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Provide update on the Q&A document until 25ᵗʰ January (Abdalmonem, Mark will provide more details about their feedback inside the Q&A document)	UA EAI WG Abdalmonem, Mark
2	Review Self-Certification Guide for feedback on IMAP, MTA and MSA	UA EAI WG
3	Consider creating a UASG space on Hashnode	UASG