

[Ext] Fwd: Subsequent Procedures WT5 on Geonames: A proposal from geoTLD group to change the existing AGB string contention resolution for geonames

Justine Chew <justine.chew@gmail.com>

Wed 8/7/2019 2:09 AM

To: Evin Erdogdu <evin.erdogdu@icann.org>;

Cc: Jonathan Zuck <JZuck@innovatorsnetwork.org>; Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond <ocl@gih.com>;

Can you please do me a favor and put just Katrin's and my email onto slides (2 pages, Katrin's first followed by mine) and check with Jonathan if he wants to include this in his agenda item on geonames? If he says no, then just slot it under my CPWG SubPro Update agenda.

Unless I can finish up on another SubPro topic, I will be posting slides on Global Public Interest for today's call.

Thanks,
Justine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Justine Chew <justine.chew@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 7 Aug 2019 at 08:32

Subject: Subsequent Procedures WT5 on Geonames: A proposal from geoTLD group to change the existing AGB string contention resolution for geonames

To: CPWG <cpwg@icann.org>

Dear all,

I have been asked to bring this proposal from the geoTLD group for At-Large/ALAC's consideration.

In short, the proposal seeks to change the existing AGB string contention resolution procedure to recognise rights to non-capital city name strings under applicable national law.

While I expect the details, intricacies (read: varying circumstances) and text changes (I suspect there will be) will be discussed at the next WT5 call (on 7 Aug 2019, 20:00 UTC), I understand it to propose in principle that:

- Where there is a contention set for a non-capital city name string, priority would be given to the applicant that intends to use the non-capital city name string for geographic purposes provided the applicant is based in a country where national laws exist to protect such names like in Switzerland and Germany. Recall that any applicant that wishes to apply for/use a non-capital city name for geographic purposes is subject to the preventative protection mechanism (i.e. must obtain a letter of support or non-objection from the relevant government or local authority)
- Where there is more than one applicant that intends to use the same non-capital city name string for geographic purposes and they all have obtained the requisite letter of support or non-objection from their respective government or local authority, priority would be given to the applicant whose application is intended to serve the non-capital city with the larger or largest population size.

I shall attempt to include this on the agenda of today's CPWG Call (on 7 Aug 2019 at 19:00 UTC).

Justine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON GmbH <ohlmer@dotzon.com>

Date: Wed, 7 Aug 2019 at 01:28

8/7/2019

[Ext] Fwd: Subsequent Procedures WT5 on Geonames: A proposal... - Evin Erdogan

Subject: Re: [Gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5] Proposed Agenda - Work Track 5 - 7 August 2019 at 20:00 UTC

To: gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5@icann.org <gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5@icann.org>

Dear All,

as announced, I would like to provide the proposal of the geoTLD group on the topic 4 „Changes to string contention resolution“:

Update Applicant Guidebook, Chapter 2.2.1.4.4 with:

If an application for a string representing a geographic name is in a contention set with applications for identical strings that have not been identified as geographical names, the string contention will be resolved using the string contention procedures described in Module 4.

Update Applicant Guidebook, Module 4. with:

A// In case there is contention for a string where one application intends to use the string as a non-capital city name or designated the TLD to targeting it to a geographic meaning, preference should be given to the applicant who will use the TLD for geographic purposes if the applicant for the geoTLD is based in a country where national law gives precedent to city and/or regional names.

RATIONALE: This would reflect national law e.g. in countries like Switzerland and Germany, where e.g. city names have more rights than holders of the same name.

B// If there is more than one applicant for an identical string representing a geographic name, and the applications have requisite government approvals, the applicant with the larger no of inhabitants will prevail over the smaller one. As the criteria „size“ has been used in the CPE criteria, it is apparently a well-accepted criteria.

RATIONALE: This would reflect the current rule of the Applicant Guidebook „capital city has priority over smaller city.

I'm happy to explain our thoughts until our call, individually or on the list.

Kind regards

Katrin

DOTZON GmbH - digital identities for tomorrow
Akazienstrasse 28
10823 Berlin
Deutschland - Germany
Tel: +49 30 49802722
Fax: +49 30 49802727
Mobile: +49 173 2019240
ohlmer@dotzon.consulting
www.dotzon.consulting, [\[dotzon.consulting\]](http://dotzon.consulting)

Besuchen Sie uns auf [LinkedIn \[de.linkedin.com\]](https://de.linkedin.com).

DOTZON GmbH
Registergericht: Amtsgericht Berlin-Charlottenburg, HRB 118598
Geschäftsführer: Katrin Ohlmer
Sitz der Gesellschaft: Akazienstrasse 28, 10823 Berlin

Von: Gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5 <gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5-bounces@icann.org> **Im Auftrag von** Emily Barabas
Gesendet: Dienstag, 6. August 2019 12:14
An: gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5@icann.org
Betreff: [Gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5] Proposed Agenda - Work Track 5 - 7 August 2019 at 20:00 UTC

Dear all,

Please find below the proposed agenda for the Work Track 5 call tomorrow, 7 August 2019 at 20:00 UTC for 90 minutes:

1. Welcome/Agenda Review/SOI Updates
2. Closure of Discussion on Languages/Translations (see attached document summarizing proposals that have been discussed on recent calls)
3. Closure of Discussion on Non-AGB Terms
4. Closure of Discussion on Changes to String Contention Resolution
5. Final review of public comments - Proposals 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 37
 - Please see the public comment summary document beginning on page 32: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rsyxCEBd6ax3Rb_w1kms_E9n29XL1_lw3Yp9XQ4TeCY/edit?ts=5ce64d6d# [docs.google.com].
 - For reference, full text of comments is available at: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1WKSC_pPBviCnbHxW171Zlp4CzuhQXRCV1NR2ruagrxs/edit#gid=543808477 [docs.google.com]

6. AOB

Kind regards,

Emily

Emily Barabas | Policy Manager

ICANN | Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

Email: emily.barabas@icann.org | Phone: +31 (0)6 84507976

Gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5 mailing list

Gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5@icann.org

<https://mm.icann.org/mailman/listinfo/gnso-newgtld-wg-wt5>

By submitting your personal data, you consent to the processing of your personal data for purposes of subscribing to this mailing list accordance with the ICANN Privacy Policy ([https://www.icann.org/privacy/policy.\[icann.org\]](https://www.icann.org/privacy/policy.[icann.org])) and the website Terms of Service ([https://www.icann.org/privacy/tos.\[icann.org\]](https://www.icann.org/privacy/tos.[icann.org])). You can visit the Mailman link above to change your membership status or configuration, including unsubscribing, setting digest-style delivery or disabling delivery altogether (e.g., for a vacation), and so on.