

# Policy for the Retirement of ccTLDs

**DRAFT**

ccNSO

2019-01-28

## 1 Background

Request For Comment [2] ("RFC") 1591 [1] states:

4. Rights to Names

[...]

2) Country Codes

10 The IANA is not in the business of deciding what is and what is not a  
11 country. The selection of the ISO 3166 list as a basis for country code  
12 top-level domain names was made with the knowledge that ISO has a  
13 procedure for determining which entities should be and should not be  
14 on that list.

15 In 2014 the ccNSO through its Framework of Interpretation confirmed that RFC 1591  
16 applies to ccTLDs.

17 The ISO 3166-1 list is dynamic and country codes are added and removed<sup>1</sup> on a regu-  
18 lar basis. When a new country code is added a ccTLD can be added via the standard  
19 delegation process by the IANA Naming Functions Operator<sup>2</sup> (IFO). However, as was  
20 identified in 2011 by the ccNSO Delegation and Redelegation Working Group, there  
21 is no formal policy available for the removal of a ccTLD from the Root Zone when a  
22 country code is removed from the ISO 3166-1 list of country names.

## 23 **2 Policy Objective**

24 The objective of the policy is to provide clear, and predictable guidance and to doc-  
25 ument a process that is orderly and reasonable from the time a country code is re-

---

<sup>1</sup>ISO 3166-1, Section 3.4

<sup>2</sup>Currently operated by PTI

26 moved from the ISO 3166-1 list of country names<sup>3</sup> up and to, but excluding, the  
27 removal of a ccTLD from the Root Zone<sup>4</sup>.

## 28 **3 Applicability of the Policy**

29 This policy is applicable to all ccTLDs which are members of the ccNSO and are man-  
30 aged by a functional manager and ~~whose~~ ~~where the~~ ~~corresponding~~ country code  
31 ~~is~~ ~~has been~~ removed from the ISO 3166-1 list.

32 For the purposes of this document a functional manager is the manager of the ccTLD  
33 as listed in the IANA Root Zone database, who is active with respect to the manage-  
34 ment of the ccTLD ~~and~~ ~~or~~ with whom the IFO can officially and effectively communi-  
35 cate.

36 If a ~~ccTLD's~~ country code has been removed from the ISO 3166-1 list and its ~~corre-~~  
37 ~~sponding ccTLD~~ does not have a functional manager the policy for the retirement of  
38 a ccTLD is not applicable and the IFO cannot transfer responsibility to a new manager  
39 according to its standard process. This set of circumstances would create a deadlock

---

<sup>3</sup>The procedures and process related to the removal of a country code are excluded, as this is determined by ISO.

<sup>4</sup>The removal of a (cc)TLD by the IFO is excluded from the policy, as this is outside the remit of the policy scope of the ccNSO.

40 situation which would prevent the IFO from ever removing the retiring ccTLD from  
41 the Root Zone. To avoid such a deadlock, and only under these specific conditions,  
42 this policy allows the IFO to proceed with a transfer of responsibility for the retiring  
43 ccTLD to establish a functional manager and insure the ccTLD can be retired. Such  
44 a transfer should follow the standard IFO process where possible.

## 45 **4 Retirement Process**

### 46 **4.1 Expectations**

47 There is a good faith obligation for both the the IFO and the Manager of the retiring  
48 ccTLD to ensure an orderly shutdown of the retiring ccTLD which takes into consid-  
49 eration the interests of its registrants and the stability and security of the DNS.

50 Note: Given the importance and exceptional nature of the ccTLD retirement process  
51 the IFO, prior to sending a Notice of Retirement, should contact the ccTLD Manager  
52 and confirm who the IFO should be dealing with regarding the retirement process.  
53 The person or role identified by the ccTLD manager to deal with the retirement pro-  
54 cess is referred to as the Manager in the remainder of this document.

## 55 4.2 Notice of Retirement

56 Once the IFO has been informed, and confirmed, that a country code has been re-  
57 moved from the ISO 3166-1 list and that the IFO has also confirmed that the ccTLD  
58 has a functional manager, it shall promptly notify the Manager of the ccTLD that  
59 the ccTLD shall be removed from the Root 5 years (Default Retirement Period) from  
60 the date of this notice (Notice of Retirement) unless a Retirement Plan (see follow-  
61 ing sections for details) which is agreed<sup>5</sup> to by the Manager and the IFO stipulates  
62 otherwise and is in accordance with this Retirement Policy.

63 ~~The Notice of Retirement will also request that the Manager formally confirm receiving~~  
64 ~~the Notice of Retirement within 90 days of it being sent. The Notice of Retirement~~  
65 ~~shall also state that if the Manager wishes to apply for an extension to the Default~~  
66 ~~Retirement Period that it must formally advise the IFO of this within 90 days of the~~  
67 ~~Notice of Retirement having been sent.~~

68 The IFO shall include with the Notice of Retirement a document describing the rea-  
69 sonable requirements (Reasonable Requirements Document) it expects of a Retire-  
70 ment Plan and that the IFO will make itself available to the Manager to assist in the  
71 development of such a plan should the Manager request it.

---

<sup>5</sup>Failure to reach agreement between the Manager and the IFO on a Retirement Plan as specified in the policy automatically implies that the ccTLD will be removed from the Root 5 years from the date of the Notice of Retirement

## 72 4.3 Time Span for Retirement

73 The IFO cannot require that a retiring ccTLD be removed from the Root Zone less  
74 than 5 years from the time the IFO has sent a Notice of Retirement to the retiring  
75 ccTLD Manager unless this is mutually agreed by the ccTLD Manager and the IFO.

76 Extending the removal of the ccTLD from the Root Zone beyond the Default Retire-  
77 ment Period - If the Manager wishes to request an extension to the Default Retire-  
78 ment Period it must request this from the IFO as part of a Retirement Plan.

79 The IFO must remove a retiring ccTLD from the Root no later than 10 years after  
80 having sent a Notice of Retirement to the ccTLD manager (Maximum Retirement  
81 Period).

## 82 4.4 Retirement Plan

83 After receiving a Notice of Retirement the Manager must decide if it wishes to re-  
84 quest an extension to the Default Retirement Period. ~~to remove it's ccTLD from the~~  
85 ~~Root Zone. Within 90 days of the Notice of Retirement having been sent, the Manager~~  
86 ~~shall formally notify the IFO that it has received the Notice of Retirement and advise~~  
87 ~~if it wishes to request an extension to the Default Retirement Period. If the Manager~~  
88 ~~fails to formally notify the IFO within the 90-day period the IFO will consider that no~~

89 ~~extension is being requested and that the retiring ccTLD will be removed from the~~  
90 ~~Root Zone 5 years from the Notice of Retirement having been sent.~~

91 If the Manager of the retiring ccTLD does not wish an extension ~~beyond the 5-year~~  
92 ~~period~~ to the Default Retirement Period stated in the Notice of Retirement it is ex-  
93 pected, but not mandatory, that the Manager produce a Retirement Plan for the  
94 ccTLD which would typically include:

- 95 • Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop taking registrations that exceed the  
96 date of removal from the Root Zone.
- 97 • Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the renewal of existing  
98 registrations that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- 99 • Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the transfer of registra-  
100 tions that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- 101 • Date the ccTLD is expected to be removed from the Root Zone.
- 102 • Details of the communications plan to advise the registrants of retirement of the  
103 ccTLD.

104 If the manager of the retiring ccTLD wishes to request an extension beyond the De-  
105 fault Retirement Period stated in the Notice of Retirement it must produce a Retire-  
106 ment Plan which is acceptable to the IFO and is in accordance with the conditions  
107 listed below.:

108 Granting an extension to the Default Retirement Period is at the discretion of the IFO  
109 but shall not be unreasonably withheld. The Reasonable Requirements Document  
110 that the IFO will have included with the Notice of Retirement will describe the factors  
111 it will consider when evaluating a request for an extension to the Default Retirement  
112 Period.-

113 A Retirement Plan which requests an extension shall include:

- 114 • The length of the extension requested (a maximum 5 additional years) including  
115 the proposed date of the removal of the ccTLD from the Root Zone.
- 116 • The reasons for requesting an extension
- 117 • An impact analysis which supports the reasons for making the extension request.
- 118 • Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop taking registrations that exceed the  
119 date of removal from the Root Zone.
- 120 • Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the renewal of existing  
121 registrations that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- 122 • Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the transfer of registra-  
123 tions that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- 124 • Details of the communications plan to advise the registrants of retirement of the  
125 ccTLD.

126 If the ccTLD Manager wishes to produce a Retirement Plan it must do so within 12  
127 months of the IFO having sent the Notice of Retirement to the Manager of the re-  
128 tiring ccTLD. The IFO at its discretion can extend the 12 month limit to a maximum  
129 24 months total. If the IFO grants such an extension it shall promptly notify the  
130 Manager of this.

131 If the ccTLD Manager submits a Retirement Plan to the IFO, the IFO shall provide a  
132 definitive response to the Manager regarding the request for an extension within 90  
133 days of such a request being received by the IFO. The response by the IFO, if positive,  
134 shall state the length of the extension which has been granted. If the response is  
135 negative, the IFO shall include the specific reasoning for the refusal.<sup>6</sup>.

136 If the Manager of the retiring ccTLD and the IFO cannot agree on a Retirement Plan  
137 within 12 months, or up to a maximum of 24 months if the IFO has granted an ex-  
138 tension, of the IFO having sent the Notice of Retirement to the Manager, then the  
139 IFO shall promptly advise the Manager that the ccTLD shall be removed from the  
140 Root 5 years from the IFO having sent the Notice of Retirement to the Manager of  
141 the retiring ccTLD.

---

<sup>6</sup>The WG anticipates that if the request for an extension is rejected and the ccTLD Manager feels that the rejection is inconsistent with the rules it will be able to use the review mechanism that will be developed in part 2 of the ccNSO PDP 3. To avoid any misunderstanding, ICANN's IRP process is not applicable to decisions pertaining to ~~the delegation, transfer and revocation of a~~ ccTLDs.

## 142 **4.5 Exception Conditions**

143 If the Manager becomes non-functional after a Retirement Plan is accepted the IFO  
144 can use the same procedure outlined in the Requirements section to transfer the  
145 ccTLD to a new manager.

146 If the Manager breaches the Retirement Plan the IFO should work with the Manager  
147 with the objective of re-instating the Retirement Plan. If this is not possible the IFO  
148 can advise it will return to the initial 5 year retirement period.

149 IDN ccTLDs

150 ISO3166-1 Exceptionally Reserved entries

## 151 **5 Oversight**

## 152 References

- 153 [1] POSTEL, Jon: Domain Name System Structure and Delegation / RFC Editor.  
154 Version: March 1994. <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1591.txt>. RFC  
155 Editor, March 1994 (1591). - RFC. - ISSN 2070-1721. - [http://www.rfc-editor.](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1591.txt)  
156 [org/rfc/rfc1591.txt](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1591.txt)
- 157 [2] WIKIPEDIA: Request for Comments. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Request_for_Comments)  
158 [Request\\_for\\_Comments](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Request_for_Comments), Last Accessed: 2019-02-08