Policy for the Retirement of ccTLDs

```
2 DRAFT
```

3 CCNSO

4 2019-01-28

5 1 Background

- 6 Request For Comment [2] ("RFC") 1591 [1] states:
- 7 4. Rights to Names
- 8 [...]
- 9 2) Country Codes

10	The IANA is not in the business of deciding what is and what is not a
11	country. The selection of the ISO 3166 list as a basis for country code
12	top-level domain names was made with the knowledge that ISO has a
13	procedure for determining which entities should be and should not be
14	on that list.

- 15 In 2014 the ccNSO through its Framework of Interpretation confirmed that RFC 1591 applies to ccTLDs.
- 17 The ISO 3166-1 list is dynamic and country codes are added and removed¹ on a regu-
- 18 lar basis. When a new country code is added a ccTLD can be added via the standard
- 19 delegation process by the IANA Naming Functions Operator² (IFO). However, as was
- 20 identified in 2011 by the ccNSO Delegation and Redelegation Working Group, there
- 21 is no formal policy available for the removal of a ccTLD from the Root Zone when a
- 22 country code is removed from the ISO 3166-1 list of country names.

23 2 Policy Objective

- 24 The objective of the policy is to provide clear, and predictable guidance and to doc-
- 25 ument a process that is orderly and reasonable from the time a country code is re-

¹ISO 3166-1, Section 3.4

²Currently operated by PTI

26 moved from the ISO 3166-1 list of country names³ up and to, but excluding, the removal of a ccTLD from the Root Zone⁴.

28 3 Applicability of the Policy

- 29 This policy is applicable to all ccTLDs which are members of the ccNSO and are man-
- 30 aged by a functional manager and where the corresponding country code has been-
- 31 removed from the ISO 3166-1 list.
- 32 For the purposes of this document a functional manager is the manager of the ccTLD
- 33 as listed in the IANA Root Zone database, who is active with respect to the man-
- 34 agement of the ccTLD or with whom the IFO can officially and effectively communi-
- **35** cate.
- 36 If acountry code has been removed from the ISO 3166-1 list and its corresponding
- 37 ccTLD does not have a functional manager the policy for the retirement of a ccTLD
- 38 is not applicable and the IFO cannot transfer responsibility to a new manager ac-
- **39** cording to its standard process. This set of circumstances would create a deadlock

³The procedures and process related to the removal of a country code are excluded, as this is determined by ISO.

⁴The removal of a (cc)TLD by the IFO is excluded from the policy, as this outside the remit of the policy scope of the ccNSO.

- 40 situation which would prevent the IFO from ever removing the retiring ccTLD from
- 41 the Root Zone. To avoid such a deadlock, and only under these specific conditions,
- 42 this policy allows the IFO to proceed with a transfer of responsibility for the retiring
- 43 ccTLD to establish a functional manager and insure the ccTLD can be retired. Such
- 44 a transfer should follow the standard IFO process where possible.

45 4 Retirement Process

46 4.1 Expectations

- 47 There is a good faith obligation for both the the IFO and the Manager of the retiring
- 48 ccTLD to ensure an orderly shutdown of the retiring ccTLD which takes into consid-
- 49 eration the interests of its registrants and the stability and security of the DNS.
- 50 Note: Given the importance and exceptional nature of the ccTLD retirement process
- 51 the IFO, prior to sending a Notice of Retirement, should contact the ccTLD Manager
- 52 and confirm who the IFO should be dealing with regarding the retirement process.
- 53 The person or role identified by the ccTLD manager to deal with the retirement pro-
- 54 cess is referred to as the Manager in the remainder of this document.

55 4.2 Notice of Retirement

- 56 Once the IFO has been informed, and confirmed, that a country code has been re-
- 57 moved from the ISO 3166-1 list and that the IFO has also confirmed that the ccTLD
- 58 has a functional manager, it shall promptly notify the Manager of the ccTLD that
- 59 the ccTLD shall be removed from the Root 5 years (Default Retirement Period) from
- 60 the date of this notice (Notice of Retirement) unless a Retirement Plan (see follow-
- 61 ing sections for details) which is agreed⁵ to by the Manager and the IFO stipulates
- 62 otherwise and is in accordance with this Retirement Policy.
- 63 The IFO shall include with the Notice of Retirement a document describing the rea-
- 64 sonable requirements (Reasonable Requirements Document) it expects of a Retire-
- 65 ment Plan and that the IFO will make itself available to the Manager to assist in the
- 66 development of such a plan should the Manager request it.

67 4.3 Time Span for Retirement

- 68 The IFO cannot require that a retiring ccTLD be removed from the Root Zone less
- 69 than 5 years from the time the IFO has sent a Notice of Retirement to the retiring

⁵Failure to reach agreement between the Manager and the IFO on a Retirement Plan as specified in the policy automatically implies that the ccTLD will be removed from the Root 5 years from the date of the Notice of Retirement

- 70 ccTLD Manager unless this is mutually agreed by the ccTLD Manager and the IFO.
- 71 Extending the removal of the ccTLD from the Root Zone beyond the Default Retire-
- 72 ment Period If the Manager wishes to request an extension to the Default Retire-
- 73 ment Period it must request this from the IFO as part of a Retirement Plan.
- 74 The IFO must remove a retiring ccTLD from the Root no later than 10 years after
- 75 having sent a Notice of Retirement to the ccTLD manager (Maximum Retirement
- 76 Period).

77 4.4 Retirement Plan

- 78 After receiving a Notice of Retirement the Manager must decide if it wishes to re-
- 79 quest an extension to the Default Retirement Period..
- 80 If the Manager of the retiring ccTLD does not wish an extension to the Default Re-
- 81 tirement Period stated in the Notice of Retirement it is expected, but not mandatory,
- 82 that the Manager produce a Retirement Plan for the ccTLD which would typically in-
- 83 clude:
- Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop taking registrations that exceed the
- date of removal from the Root Zone.
- Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the renewal of existing
 registrations that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.

- Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the transfer of registrations that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- Date the ccTLD is expected to be removed from the Root Zone.
- Details of the communications plan to advise the registrants of retirement of the ccTLD.
- 93 If the manager of the retiring ccTLD wishes to request an extension beyond the De-
- 94 fault Retirement Period stated in the Notice of Retirement it must produce a Retire-
- 95 ment Plan which is acceptable to the IFO and is in accordance with the conditions
- 96 listed below.
- 97 Granting an extension to the Default Retirement Period is at the discretion of the IFO
- 98 but shall not be unreasonably withheld. The Reasonable Requirements Document
- 99 that the IFO will have included with the Notice of Retirement will describe the factors
- 100 it will consider when evaluating a request for an extension to the Default Retirement
- 101 Period.
- **102** A Retirement Plan which requests an extension shall include:
- The length of the extension requested (a maximum 5 additional years) including the proposed date of the removal of the ccTLD from the Root Zone.
- The reasons for requesting an extension
- An impact analysis which supports the reasons for making the extension request.

- Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop taking registrations that exceed the
 date of removal from the Root Zone.
- Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the renewal of existing registrations that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- Date the ccTLD Manager is expected to stop accepting the transfer of registrations that exceed the date of removal from the Root Zone.
- Details of the communications plan to advise the registrants of retirement of the ccTLD.
- 115 If the ccTLD Manager wishes to produce a Retirement Plan it must do so within 12 116 months of the IFO having sent the Notice of Retirement to the Manager of the re-
- 117 tiring ccTLD. The IFO at its discretion can extend the 12 month limit to a maximum
- 118 24 months total. If the IFO grants such an extension it shall promptly notify the
- 119 Manager of this.
- 120 If the ccTLD Manager submits a Retirement Plan to the IFO, the IFO shall provide a
- 121 definitive response to the Manager regarding the request for an extension within 90
- 122 days of such a request being received by the IFO. The response by the IFO, if positive,
- 123 shall state the length of the extension which has been granted. If the response is
- 124 negative, the IFO shall include the specific reasoning for the refusal⁶.

⁶The WG anticipates that if the request for an extension is rejected and the ccTLD Manager feels that the rejection is inconsistent with the rules it will be able to use the review mechanism that will be developed in part 2 of the ccNSO PDP 3. To avoid any misunderstanding, ICANN's IRP process is not

- 125 If the Manager of the retiring ccTLD and the IFO cannot agree on a Retirement Plan
- 126 within 12 months, or up to a maximum of 24 months if the IFO has granted an ex-
- 127 tension, of the IFO having sent the Notice of Retirement to the Manager, then the
- 128 IFO shall promptly advise the Manager that the ccTLD shall be removed from the
- 129 Root 5 years from the IFO having sent the Notice of Retirement to the Manager of
- **130** the retiring ccTLD.

131 4.5 Exception Conditions

- 132 If the Manager becomes non-functional after a Retirement Plan is accepted the IFO
- 133 can use the same procedure outlined in the Requirements section to transfer the
- **134** ccTLD to a new manager.
- 135 If the Manager breaches the Retirement Plan the IFO should work with the Manager
- 136 with the objective of re-instating the Retirement Plan. If this is not possible the IFO
- 137 can advise it will return to the initial 5 year retirement period.
- 138 IDN ccTLDs
- **139** ISO3166-1 Exceptionally Reserved entries

applicable to decisions pertaining to ccTLDs.

140 5 Oversight



141 References

142 [1] POSTEL, Jon: Domain Name System Structure and Delegation / RFC Editor.
143 Version: March 1994. http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1591.txt. RFC
144 Editor, March 1994 (1591). - RFC. - ISSN 2070-1721. - http://www.rfc-editor.
145 org/rfc/rfc1591.txt
146 [2] WIKIPEDIA: Request for Comments. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
147 Request_for_Comments, Last Accessed: 2019-02-08