

# At-Large's Subsequent Procedures Scorecard: DNS Abuse Mitigation

Justine Chew

At-Large Consolidated Policy Working Group (CPWG) Call  
Wednesday, 18 March 2020, 19:00 UTC



**At-LARGE CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES**

Topic/Area:	<b>[1] DNS ABUSE MITIGATION</b>		Priority:	<b>HIGH</b>	Settled On:	
Related:	Global Public Interest [2.3.2]					
Assigned CCT-RT Rec's:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rec. 14: Pro-active anti-abuse measures (high priority for SubPro)</li> <li>• Rec. 15: Amendments to RAA &amp; RA to prevent systemic DNS security abuse (prerequisite for SubPro)</li> <li>• Rec. 16: Support ongoing data collection efforts (eg DAAR) (high priority for SubPro)</li> </ul>					
References:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Working Document_SubPro ICANN67 Discussion Topics, 17 March 2020</li> </ul>					
What has SubPro PDP WG concluded?	<b><u>What will/might SubPro PDP WG recommend?</u></b>	Is this acceptable? If not, why so?	What else needs to be done and by/with whom?			
<p>1. SubPro PDP WG not the right forum to deal with effectively with issue of DNS Abuse mitigation.</p> <p><u>Rationale:</u> WG believes that work within ICAN community on DNS abuse should take place in a comprehensive and holistic manner, addressing both existing TLDs and those that will be delegated in the future. Given that the PDP is chartered to address only new gTLDs that will be delegated in subsequent application rounds, WG does not believe it is in the proper position to address this issue, and therefore defers to broader community efforts on this topic.</p>	<p><u>Recommendation:</u> WG acknowledges ongoing work in the community on the topic of DNS abuse and recommends that the community continues to take steps to address CCT-RT recommendations 14, 15 and 16 through community-wide discussions that address DNS abuse in all TLDs as opposed to dealing with these recommendations with respect to only the introduction of subsequent new gTLDs.</p>	<p>Revisit whether sufficiently addressed in recent ALAC Advise to Board on DNS Abuse of 26 Dec 2019.</p>	<p>Thoughts?</p>			

What has SubPro PDP WG concluded?	<b><u>What SubPro PDP WG will likely omit?</u></b>	Is this acceptable? If not, why so?	What else needs to be done and by/with whom?
2.			
<u>PENDING ISSUES:</u>	SubPro PDP WG reaction	Anything missing?	What else needs to be done and by/with whom?
3.			
Position:			

DRAFT