

ATRT3 Review Team Plenary Call Report

Plenary Call #27

28 August 2019 – 11:00 – 13:00 UTC

Review Team Members

Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Pat Kane, Adetola Sogbesan, Daniel Nanghaka, Demi Getschko, Jaap Akkerhuis, Jacques Blanc, Liu Yue, Maarten Botterman, Michael Karanicolas, Osvaldo Novoa, Ramet Khalili Nasr, Sebastien Bachollet, Vanda Scartezini

Apologies

Wolfgang Kleinwächter

Observers

Avri Doria, Berry Cobb, Herb Waye, Everton Rodrigues, Sophie Hey

Guests

Carlos Reyes, Jana Juginovic, Ozan Sahin, Chantelle Doerksen

ICANN Org

Jennifer Bryce, Brenda Brewer, Negar Farzinnia

Technical Writer

Bernard Turcotte

These high-level notes are designed to help ATRT3 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/EaujBg>.

The ATRT3 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance recorded above. No SOIs recorded.

2. ICANN Public Comment Team presentation of planned updates

ICANN org guests presented information regarding the public comment process and planned updates including as part of the Information Transparency Initiative (ITI). The team provided a demonstration of the planned new public comment web pages and answered questions from the ATRT3 Review Team. The presentation slides are available [here](#).

3. Discuss report template and run through the specific criteria that should be addressed/met for each and every recommendation

Bernie presented the report framework and recommendations requirements. See the slides [here](#). The report template is available on the Google drive [here](#). As part of this discussion, the Review Team briefly discussed the review timeline; Bernie flagged he did not think the draft report would be ready for Montreal. Cheryl noted that a discussion on the work plan will take place on the next leadership call and therefore this item can be picked up again next week.

Action item: Review team members to take a look at the slides that Bernie presented regarding the recommendations requirements; digest them and flag and questions as this item will be on the agenda next week.

4. Check in on [ATRT2 implementation assessment](#) items that required follow-up

Pat flagged the ATRT2 recommendations that the team had noted in previous discussions as requiring follow-up. The recommendations flagged during the call as requiring more detailed input from penholders were recommendations 6.8, 6.9, 7.1, 7.2, 9.1, and 11.7. Bernie noted that he will follow up with Demi and Michael off-list.

Action item: Bernie to follow up with Demi and Michael regarding their ATRT2 implementation assessments.

5. Survey status update

Jennifer provided a status update in the number of individuals and groups that have made a start to complete the survey. Vanda suggested that the Review Team members remind their groups of the survey, and Cheryl asked for staff to assist with a reminder to be included in the SO/AC leadership digest.

Action item: Review Team members to remind their respective SO/AC groups of the survey, and staff to facilitate a reminder via the leadership digest.

6. A.O.B

None raised.

7. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Action items / decisions reached were read for the record.

In the interest of time, the following regular agenda items were not discussed:

Action items (new and closed):

- NEW: The leadership team agreed a group response to the survey from SSAC would be valuable even after the close of the survey. Staff is drafting a response to Rod Rasmussen's note to this effect, for Co-Chair's consideration.
- NEW: The leadership team agreed that the ATRT3 should reach out to SO/ACs for time on their agenda in Montreal. Staff is drafting a note to send to SO/ACs on behalf of the team, for Co-Chair's consideration. Where Stakeholder Groups request time with members of the ATRT3, the team will attempt to accommodate. Registry Stakeholder Group has already indicated that they would like time.
- NEW: Staff will send a Doodle poll to the Review Team to ask who is planning to attend the Singapore meeting and the visa requirements of RT members. Staff will work with Constituency Travel to stay updated on travel plans and help with any issues.

Any work party items for plenary attention (Board, GAC, Reviews, Community, IRP, WS2)

- No written updates provided.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes