SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report Plenary Call #79 7 August 2019 – 14:00 – 15:00 UTC

Review Team Members

Alain Aina, Ram Krishna Pariyar, Denise Michel, Danko Jevtovic, Norm Ritchie, Eric Osterweil, Kaveh Ranjbar, Russ Housley, Laurin Weissinger, KC Claffy, Jabhera Matogoro

Apologies

Naveed Bin Rais, Kerry-Ann Barrett

Observers

Kristina Hakobyan

ICANN Org

Jennifer Bryce, Negar Farzinnia, Brenda Brewer, Steve Conte

Technical Writer

Heather Flanagan

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki here: https://community.icann.org/x/8qqjBg.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance record above. No SOI updates provided.

2. Discuss the first three recommendations in the compliance work document

Denise provided an introduction overview of the text that the compliance 'subgroup' has developed to date and that was <u>shared</u> with the team on-list. Members of the subgroup are Kerry-Ann Barrett, KC Claffy, Scott McCormick, Denise Michel, Eric Osterweil, Norm Ritchie and Laurin Weissinger.

Norm provided an overview of "World SSR Threat" draft <u>text</u>. Denise and Laurin provided an overview of the draft <u>text</u> for the following recommendations: 'Use DNS Abuse definition plus Convention on Cybercrime' and 'ICANN should establish a single complaint portal for all complaints'. Team members shared a few questions and comments.

Laurin suggested that the subgroup may wish to have one more call and pass at the text before sharing the draft final with the team within a couple of weeks. Norm encouraged team members to respond to the text discussed on the call.

<u>Action item</u>: Team members to share feedback on the compliance text discussed on the call. See the text in documents <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>.

3. AOB

Russ noted that some draft recommendations are still missing from the <u>Google doc</u> and asked the penholders who have not input their recommendations to please do so.

Action item: Penholders to complete the actions assigned to them (see email here for list of penholders/actions)
4. Confirm action items / decisions reached Action items / decisions reached were read for the record.
Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes