Based on the survey results, EPDP Leadership proposes the following order for upcoming calls:

EPDP Team Meeting	Topics
1 August 2019	Group 2 - First reading continued:
	Investigation of criminal activity where domain names are used. Typical
	specific example: phishing attack (SSAC 3)
	Special Control (St. 1977)
	Group 4 - First reading:
	Online buyers identifying and validating the source or services/ Internet
	users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect
	themselves (ALAC 1) (Note that SSAC2 scored marginally higher, but due to
	unavailability of SSAC reps for this meeting, the leadership team decided to
	commence with this use case)
2 August 2019	Member to submit edit/view/proposals for SSAC 3 and ALAC 1 in writing
6 August 2019	Use case authors, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated SSAC
	3 and ALAC 1 use cases, incorporating input received
8 August 2019	Group 2 – Second/final reading:
	Investigation of criminal activity where domain names are used. Typical
	specific example: phishing attack (SSAC 3)
	Group 4 - First reading continued:
	Online buyers identifying and validating the source or services/ Internet
	users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect
	themselves (ALAC 1)
9 August 2019	Member to submit edit/view/proposals for ALAC 1 in writing
13 August 2019	Use case author, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated ALAC 1
	use case, incorporating input received. Final version of SSAC 3 use case to
	be distributed by use case author, with staff support as needed, and posted
15 Avenuet 2010	on wiki.
15 August 2019	Group 5 – First reading:
	Providers requesting access required to facilitate due process in the UDRP and URS (IP 5) (Note, this use case was tied with BC6 but as this use case
	was also discussed during phase 1, the leadership team recommends
	starting with this one)
	Starting with this one)
	Group 2 – Second/final reading:
	Online buyers identifying and validating the source or services/ Internet
	users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect
	themselves (ALAC 1)
16 August 2019	Member to submit edit/view/proposals for IP 5 in writing
13 August 2019	Use case author, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated IP 5
-	use case, incorporating input received. Final version of ALAC 1 use case to
	be distributed by use case author, with staff support as needed, and posted
	on wiki.

15 August 2019	Group 3 - First reading:
15 August 2015	
	When a network is undergoing an attack involving a domain name, and the
	operator(s) of that network need to contact the domain owner to
	remediate the security issue (DDOS, Botnet, etc.) (SSAC1)
	Group 5 – Second/final reading:
	Providers requesting access required to facilitate due process in the UDRP
	and URS (IP 5)
16 August 2019	Member to submit edit/view/proposals for SSAC 1 in writing
16 August 2019	EPDP Team to identify which use cases, or aspects of use cases, in each
	category are significantly different from use case already reviewed and
	need to be considered further.
20 August 2019	Use case author, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated SSAC 1
	use case, incorporating input received. Final version of IP 5 use case to be
	distributed by use case author, with staff support as needed, and posted on
	wiki.
22 August 2019	Group 3 – Second/final reading:
	When a network is undergoing an attack involving a domain name, and the
	operator(s) of that network need to contact the domain owner to
	remediate the security issue (DDOS, Botnet, etc.) (SSAC1)
	Territariate the security issue (\$5.55) bethet, etc., (55.161)
	Group 1 – first reading, second use case
	(to be determined based on input received by 16 August)
23 August 2019	(to be determined based on impactionally 10 hagues)
29 August 2019	Group 1 – second/final reading, second use case
25 / (05030 2015	Group 1 Secondy mai reduing, second use case
	Group 2 – first reading, second use case
	(to be determined based on input received)
End August / Early	Leadership team to share draft policy principles / recommendations
September	derived from use case review for review and discussion during F2F
	meeting, incl. proposed schedule to continue review of use cases, if
	deemed necessary.

Use Case Categorization

Group 1: Criminal Law enforcement/national or public security	LEA 1, LEA 2, IP 2, IP 3
Group 2: Non-LE investigations and civil claims	BC1/2, BC 3, BC 5, SSAC 3, ALAC 2, IP 1, IP 4
Group 3: Need for redacted data for a third party to contact registrant	BC 7, SSAC 1
Group 4: Consumer protection, abuse prevention, digital service provider (DSP) and network security	SSAC 2, BC 9, ALAC 1
Group 5: Registered Name Holder consent or contract	BC 4, BC 6, BC 8, IP 5

LEGEND

LEA 1	Investigation of criminal activity against a victim in the jurisdiction of the investigating EU LEA requesting data from a non-local data controller.
1542	
LEA 2	Investigation of criminal activity against a victim in the jurisdiction of the investigating
	EU LEA requesting data from a local data controller.
SSAC 1	When a network is undergoing an attack involving a domain name, and the operator(s)
	of that network need to contact the domain owner to remediate the security issue
	(DDOS, Botnet, etc.)
SSAC 2	Determine "Reputation" of domain name and/or elements associated with domain
	name registrations.
SSAC 3	Investigation of criminal activity where domain names are used. Typical specific
	example: phishing attack.
IP 1	Trademark owners requesting data in the establishment, exercise or defense of legal
	claims for trademark infringement
IP 2	Investigation of criminal activity against a victim in the jurisdiction of the investigating
	LEA requesting data from either a local a non-local data controller. (criminal
	trademark)
IP 3	Investigation of criminal activity in the jurisdiction of the investigating LEA requesting
	data from either a local a non-local data controller. (criminal copyright)
IP 4	Copyright owners requesting data in the establishment, exercise or defense of legal
	claims for copyright infringement
IP 5	Providers requesting access required to facilitate due process in the UDRP and URS
BC1/2	Initial investigation of criminal activity against a victim and/or secondary victim where
	domain names are used in the commission of the crime
BC 3	Identify owner of abusive domains and other related domains involved in civil legal
	claims related to phishing, malware, botnets, and other fraudulent activities
BC 4	Maintaining the domain name registration by the Registered Name Holder
BC 5	The establishment, exercise or defense of a legal claim involving a registrant of a
	domain name
BC 6	M&A name portfolio due diligence or purchase of domain name from bankrupt entity
	or other seller
BC 7	Contacting the Registrant to resolve a Technical or Operational Issue with a Domain
	Name
	l

BC 8	Help a certification authority determine and validate the identity of the entity associated with a domain name that will be bound to an SSL/TLS certificate
BC 9	Search Engines, Messaging Services & Social Media Platforms seeking to confirm the authenticity of businesses advertising or Posting News on its Platform
ALAC 1	Online buyers identifying and validating the source of goods or services/ Internet users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect themselves
ALAC 2	Consumer protection organizations