12:57:53 From Natalia Filina : Hello everyone!
12:59:58 From Lianna Galstyan : Greetings from Armenia
13:00:13 From Michelle DeSmyter : Welcome!
13:00:30 From silvia.vivanco : Hello all welcome
13:03:50 From Joanna Kulesza : +1 on hot topics discussion, thank you OCL
13:13:30 From Joanna Kulesza : Also Aicha Abbaad volunteered in Marrakesh to help with this comment, with Sebastien's support.
13:15:00 From Michelle DeSmyter : Joanna Kulesza has her hand raised
13:16:35 From Wale Bakare : Please, can staff dial my number out?
13:16:49 From silvia.vivanco : AI: Check if Aicha Abaad has been added to the CPWG
13:18:23 From silvia.vivanco : Welcome to this call Leon
13:23:53 From jjs-mac-2 : Thanks Leon for the explanation. I will go along with your advice. And I'll wait for further information in order to properly represent ALAC.
13:24:58 From jjs-mac-2 : Olivier, I'm aware of all those arcane considerations concerning the ccNSO (when serving on the Board, I chaired the ccNSO Review…)
13:25:21 From León Sánchez : that is exactly the challenge Olivier
13:25:50 From León Sánchez : thanks so much Jean-Jacques. Much appreciated
13:26:46 From jjs-mac-2 : Colleagues: I'm not Sir Kim in Washington, so I don't mind stating things publicly: it's OK, I'll wait for things to happen.
13:27:18 From jjs-mac-2 : Olivier, deadline 26 July noted. Thanks.
13:31:28 From silvia.vivanco : Consultation mentioned by Wale:
https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=111385928
13:32:47 From silvia.vivanco : E-book linked in the Agenda
13:33:48 From silvia.vivanco : Agendas are posted :
https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/ICANN65+-+Marrakech+Meeting+-+June+2019
13:42:17 From silvia.vivanco : https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/At-Large+Meetings+-+Monday%2C+24+June+2019?preview=%2F109482974%2F111386958%2FALAC+Position+for+ICANN65.pdf
13:42:34 From silvia.vivanco : ALAC ICANN 65 Talking points link above
13:43:30 From Yrjo Lansipuro : Talking points are an excellent invention. They are also a prerequisite for talking to other groups, like the GAC. They want to know approximately what ALAC thinks, not in individual opinions
13:44:27 From Yrjo Lansipuro : …not interested individual opinions
13:47:33 From Sebastien Bachollet : Sorry to be late. Time difference :(
13:48:36 From jjs-mac-2 : Thank you Yrjö, that is encouraging.
13:48:40 From Lianna Galstyan : no audio
13:48:56 From Lianna Galstyan : it's back
13:48:58 From jjs-mac-2 : Yes we can hear you Olivier.
13:50:44 From Michelle DeSmyter : One moment
13:51:35 From jjs-mac-2 : Joanna, you bring up an interesting question, about convergence/divergence between At-Large and NCUC, and also both with GAC. My personal analysis is that, in the longer term, it may be in the interest of At-Large to select a (wide) range of topics where ALAC, NICUC, NPOC and GAC may be able to adopt common positions. What's your view on this?
13:52:58 From Roberto Gaetano : Convergence is necessary as a strategic objective, but we

might be aware that on specific issues our approach might differ

13:53:07 From Joanna Kulesza : Thank you Jean Jacques, I strongly support that viewpoint. I would love for AtLarge to be the consensus building plaform for all individual/non-commercial interests. Any tips on how best to achieve it are most welcome.

13:53:44 From jjs-mac-2 : Roberto: agreed. That's why I'm asking Joanna what topics/areas are worth exploring for a wider/convergent approach…

13:55:07 From Joanna Kulesza : I strongly feel it's about individual rights at this point, esp. privacy, FoE and national cyber/security. I strongly believe there is consensus to be found and AtLarge could be its testing ground, just not sure how to explore it.

13:55:18 From jjs-mac-2 : Roberto, would you agree to create an online consultation, within our Individual Users' Association, about the subject brought up by Joanna and myself?

13:55:22 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : NCUC and GAC do not appear to get along with each other as many in NCUC see governments as not an ally

13:55:33 From Joanna Kulesza : I can sense that inviting both: GAC and NCUC to talk to us would be useful.

13:56:03 From Roberto Gaetano : Ukraine events are 23-26 September

13:56:22 From Matthias Hudobnik : yes roberto

13:56:47 From Joanna Kulesza : +1 OCL. But if we don't attend to this challenge governments might get really fed up with the MS model - something we might want to avoid.

13:57:06 From León Sánchez : dear all, I have to leave the call now. my apologies for not staying till the end. thanks for having me and the opportunity to listen to you and share updates.

13:57:07 From Joanna Kulesza : Apologies for the side topic all, happy to take this off line.

13:57:10 From León Sánchez : see you all soon!

13:57:18 From Joanna Kulesza : Thanks Leon!

13:57:23 From Yrjo Lansipuro : @OCL re NCUC-GAC you're right. NCUC approach is much more ideological, our more pragmatoc

13:57:29 From jjs-mac-2 : Leon, nice to have ''seen'' you!

13:57:30 From León Sánchez : thank you Joanna!

13:57:33 From Roberto Gaetano : Back to NCUC-GAC, the point is that governments take care of the users' interests, but also about the commercial interests of the companies in the country, including contracted parties

13:57:44 From León Sánchez : thanks so much Jean-Jacques!

13:59:01 From jjs-mac-2 : Roberto: of course. But for governments to be attentive to the views of national commercial interests does not exclude listening to the public interest, also called user communities.

13:59:06 From Roberto Gaetano : the difference btw us and NCUC is that we are not a party in an organization (the GNSO) where other competing interests are represented, so we are far better placed for collaboration with the GAG - plus, we are both AC, not policy SO

13:59:21 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : At-Large & GAC align together much better than NCUC & GAC

14:00:15 From Roberto Gaetano : GAG => GAC

14:00:27 From Natalia Filina : O&E region events: We may look at the opportunity to present us there http://meeting.cctld.ru/en/about/?p=about (at least remotely)

14:00:28 From jjs-mac-2 : Olivier and Roberto: I'm aware of those theoretical alignments. But that should not exclude exploring all the topics where wider cooperation might prove possible.

14:00:41 From Yrjo Lansipuro : @Roberto: big contracted parties are found in rather few

jurisdictions of the 178 governments that are members of the FAC

14:01:15 From Yrjo Lansipuro : GAC

14:01:25 From jjs-mac-2 : (Yrjö: FAC = Foreign Affairs Community? ;-)

14:01:28 From Roberto Gaetano : JJS +1 but some ground rules have to be made clear

14:01:38 From jjs-mac-2 : Roberto: of course.

14:02:45 From silvia.vivanco : @ Olivier- checked and CROP allocation is 4 days 3 nights

14:03:06 From Joanna Kulesza : We might look to exsting international law as those "ground rules";)

14:03:39 From Roberto Gaetano : @Yrjö - correct, that was an example. OTOH, very few of the 178 GVMTs do not have commercial companies with their interest to be taken into account - including for the end goal to benefit the users - and this creates a conflict with NCUC and even the whole NCSG, but not with us

14:04:50 From silvia.vivanco : CROP wiki under travel allocations: https://community.icann.org/display/soacabout/CROP-FY20#content--1936590917

14:06:15 From Roberto Gaetano : Here is a provocative proposal (as usual 😬) - in Montreal a session on users with NCGG-ALAC-GAC

14:06:32 From Joanna Kulesza : +100 on that Roberto :D

14:07:19 From Joanna Kulesza : I'm a strong believer in communication :]

14:09:49 From Roberto Gaetano : Me too - however, to have "real" communication we need to challenge the established routine, otherwise we will have just a sequence of yes-folks saying the same things - maybe I am exaggerating, but you get the point

14:12:47 From jjs-mac-2 : Olivier & All: I take the liberty to share with you something which was posted on NCSG about the use of the ''Zoom'' platform we are currently using. Maybe you should look at this.

14:13:02 From jjs-mac-2 : Hey - remember when ICANN switched everyone from Adobe over to Zoom as a way of enhancing information security and data privacy?

"A vulnerability in the Mac Zoom Client allows any malicious website to enable your camera without your permission... This vulnerability allows any website to forcibly join a user to a Zoom call, with their video camera activated, without the user's permission. On top of this, this vulnerability would have allowed any webpage to DOS (Denial of Service) a Mac by repeatedly joining a user to an invalid call. Additionally, if you've ever installed the Zoom client and then uninstalled it, you still have a localhost web server on your machine that will happily re-install the Zoom client for you, without requiring any user interaction on your behalf besides visiting a webpage. This re-install 'feature' continues to work to this day."

Read more here: https://medium.com/@jonathan.leitschuh/zoom-zero-day-4-million-webcams-maybe-an-rce-just-get-them-to-visit-your-website-ac75c83f4ef5

14:13:06 From Joanna Kulesza : Now still being a relative newbie to the group Roberto, I tend to take these old challenges on with fresh enthousiasm;) but I am equally eager to learn from past mistakes.

14:14:27 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : @Jean-Jacques -- Dev Anand Teelucksingh forwarded news of this security problem with Zoom yesterday. There has been no response from ICANN Tech so far.

14:14:47 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : Dev sent it to the At-Large Technology Task Force (TTF)

14:15:12 From jjs-mac-2 : Thanks Olivier. Probably ALAC and RALOs should follow this independently.
14:18:21 From Roberto Gaetano : I recommend lids that cover the laptop camera - being Italian and technology challenged, I welcome mechanical solutions
14:18:40 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : @Jean-Jacques, I have just asked the TTF for it to ask ICANN for an URGENT enquiry into this vulnerability.
14:19:06 From Yrjo Lansipuro : @Roberrto: let's take one step at a time. To get ALAC-GAC dialogue to the present level has required a lot of work. At the same time, ALAC-NCSG/NCUC dialogue has started. I think it's a bit too early to try to get tripartite cooperation going.
14:19:54 From jjs-mac-2 : @Olivier: thanks, looking forward to further advice from ALAC-TTF;
14:20:07 From Roberto Gaetano : @Yrjö understood - but nevertheless we need to look at the long term strategical view
14:20:37 From Yrjo Lansipuro : @Roberto: Sure, agree
14:21:33 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : I'll say it again - be careful into briging NCUC in. On matter of Geo Names for example, some NCUC leaders have asserted that countries were stealing names that people should have the right to use freely. Harsh words.
14:22:06 From Roberto Gaetano : The NCUC-ALAC attempt to collaborate is decades long - I still see at joint meetings some basically nasty - and unnecessarily so - comments by some, as if we were not partners but competitors. I think that we need to have a deeper discussion on this than just a chat exchange
14:22:47 From silvia.vivanco : Thank you for this comprehensive update Joanna
14:22:56 From jjs-mac-2 : @Olivier, @YrjPo, @Roberto: yes. But my suggestion was not a systematic alignment on GAC and NCUC, but a topic-by-topic choice, depending on the interests of At-Large.
14:25:42 From jjs-mac-2 : @Joanna: thanks for a good, crisp answer.
14:27:33 From Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond : @JJS strangely enough there is more alignment between ALAC & GAC than ALAC and NCSG on most ICANN topics. One of the reasons is that NCSG focuses primarily on domain name registrants whilst GAC & ALAC on Internet users, the vast majority of which do not hold domain names.
14:27:43 From jjs-mac-2 : (sorry, I meant @Yrjö)
14:29:24 From Joanna Kulesza : @OCL We might want to focus on the individual rights issue where states differ tremendously among themselves and AtLarge should hold a uniform front on users' behalf?
14:30:00 From Joanna Kulesza : Both Ioana and Mate have been ICANN Fellows before.
14:30:11 From jjs-mac-2 : @Olivier, I would like to add a very brief point under AOB, by suggesting an Action Item, ''EURALO Chair to request a status report from ALAC on the IANA Function Review''.
14:30:23 From Joanna Kulesza : They are now organizng the CCE IGF.
14:30:45 From Joanna Kulesza : *CEE
14:32:27 From Matthias Hudobnik : you are right joanna. i think they were fellows multiple time!
14:32:33 From jjs-mac-2 : @Sébastien, that looks a bit like Monopoly, with a ''jail'' spot on the playing board! ;-)
14:33:09 From Siranush Vardanyan : Ioana is a three time fellow and Mate was a fellow twice
14:33:18 From Siranush Vardanyan : just for clarity :)

14:33:32 From Matthias Hudobnik : thx siranush :-)!
14:33:58 From Roberto Gaetano : Máte is also one of the co-organizers of IGF Hungary
14:34:12 From Michelle DeSmyter : Gisella is not on the call at this time, she has dropped
14:34:21 From silvia.vivanco : she left
14:34:37 From Matthias Hudobnik : roberto, both of them they are quite motivated!!!
14:34:54 From Roberto Gaetano : I have met Máte and he is willing to contribute - and enthusiastic about that
14:35:15 From Matthias Hudobnik : definitely!
14:35:22 From silvia.vivanco : AI: Chair to request a status update from ALAC on IANA function review
14:35:24 From silvia.vivanco : Noted JJS
14:35:45 From silvia.vivanco : yes
14:35:45 From Michelle DeSmyter : Yes ;)
14:35:47 From silvia.vivanco : I am
14:36:45 From Roberto Gaetano : @Matthias, I believe you, I am just passing an additional information that I have on one of them - not at all to mean that Ioana is less motivated!
14:36:57 From silvia.vivanco : AOB: Happy Birthday Olivier!!
14:37:09 From silvia.vivanco : THANK YOU for chairing the call on your special day
14:37:37 From Siranush Vardanyan : Happy birthday, Olivier, a hard worker even on own special day :)
14:37:49 From Sebastien Bachollet : Yes Silvia
14:37:51 From Roberto Gaetano : No call in August - does that mean that the September call will overrun the timing even more?
14:38:01 From Sebastien Bachollet : HB Olivier
14:38:11 From Joanna Kulesza : HB Olivier :)
14:38:20 From silvia.vivanco : Bravo Olivier!! WARM BEST WISHES from staff
14:38:28 From Yrjo Lansipuro : Happy Birthday, Olivier!
14:38:39 From gisella.gruber : Joyeux Anniversaire Cher Olivier
14:38:42 From silvia.vivanco : :)
14:38:54 From Erich Schweighofer : Happy Birthday, Olivier!
14:39:15 From Matthias Hudobnik : byeee thx!
14:39:15 From Siranush Vardanyan : bye all