Zoom Chat Transcript
ATRT3 Plenary #24 – 7 August 2019

15:55:34   From Vanda Scartezini : hi everyone...

15:55:53   From Vanda Scartezini : hi Brenda, Negar, Pat...

15:56:27   From Vanda Scartezini : hi again Cheryl...

15:56:57   From Brenda Brewer : Hi Vanda! Hi all!

15:59:24   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : Hello Vanda

15:59:29   From Bernard Turcotte : hello

15:59:38   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : Hello all you other people

15:59:57   From jaap : Good evening all

16:00:10   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : Good evening

16:00:21   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : Yeah - KC is recovering

16:00:27   From Wolfgang : Hi everybody

16:00:46   From jaap : KC had is mending from an accident. Broken bones and stuff like that

16:01:11   From Vanda Scartezini : wow poor Kc...

16:01:13   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes do wish her well and a speedy recovery for us Jaap

16:01:18   From Bernard Turcotte : biking and SSAC is not a good mix?

16:01:59   From negar.farzinnia : Jennifer will be joining us shortly.

16:02:02   From negar.farzinnia : Hello everyone

16:02:17   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : What about Biking and ATRT3

16:02:24   From Ramet khalilinasr : hello all

16:03:12   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : Biking, ATRT3 and SSAC has a strong definition here

16:03:31   From Sebastien Bachollet : Is it me or the sound is not good?

16:05:13   From Brenda Brewer : Cheryl is a bit choppy with her sound.

16:05:22   From Brenda Brewer : Still understandable

16:05:39   From Vanda Scartezini : we can follow

16:08:53   From jaap : Questions now or later?

16:09:17   From Jacques Blanc : good evening Guys . I am on the road so sorry in advance if I am cut off ...

16:11:04   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : So you will see a theme of question development style here

16:13:17   From Vanda Scartezini : that is the problem if you use google doc ...

16:14:20   From Maarten Botterman : Happy to ask a question when the time is there

16:16:00   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : no you have it

16:24:16   From Wolfgang : To have the transition (2016) as a starting point for the evaluation makes sense in my eyes

16:24:48   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : But KC we know that the WS2 recoendations are all yet to be implemented

16:25:19   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we re after the unknowns (as opinions) not the knowns from this survey

16:26:10   From Kimberly Claffy : hmm ok maybe i need to understand more about context ..

16:29:25   From Maarten Botterman : @Vanda: this is even true for the Board's role ... people assume, but that assumption is not necessarily based on what the BYlaws say.

16:30:05   From Vanda Scartezini : YES

16:30:07   From Wolfgang : Do you plan a specoal outreach to get as many as possible responses to the questionnaire from individual ICANN members? What would be a minimum number of responses to have a sold basis for conclusions?

16:30:37   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Wolfgang we will discuss that shortly

16:31:00   From Tola : @wolfgang: +1

16:31:45   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : everything ws covered though some may not be verbatim, the purpose is all covered

16:32:13   From Michael : Oh - that's it.

16:33:56   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes preface will be added

16:34:28   From Vanda Scartezini : may be not necessary for the AC/SOs they know better about GAC's role

16:37:14   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : audio?

16:37:16   From Vanda Scartezini : i am not hearing

16:37:26   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : Bernie?

16:37:29   From Vanda Scartezini : good not just myself..

16:39:42   From Vanda Scartezini : do we intend to get feedback from RALOS as subdivisions of ALAC and at the same concept from each constituencies under GNSO?

16:39:56   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : was 10.10 Accountability not Transparancy

16:42:12   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I hear you @Martin but much of this has been already effected by previous RT's or processes

16:42:32   From Wolfgang : Maarten, this is a good idea. This enables to measure progress.

16:42:40   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we can look at if these need to become baseline products

16:44:12   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Well noted re the catagorising

16:44:52   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Why only ask a question about or too 1 AC out of the AC/SO pillars @Michael ?

16:45:19   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : especially as the last ALAC Review is only being currently implemented?

16:45:29   From Michael : Well - we have a ton of questions about the GAC...

16:45:56   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : asking the question(s) of all of them gives more information and we hope still gets to whatever your WP desires to 'get at

16:46:33   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : GAC is soecified IN the requirements for an ATRT GAC and Board GAC Interactions etc.,

16:46:55   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : if it was not I would be saying the same to that as an AC along with the other AC's

16:47:12   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : there are 4 of them after all

16:48:33   From Vanda Scartezini : Liu is traveling those weeks working around but hi was suppose to give us how GAC wants to receive those calls

16:49:00   From Michael : I mean. I wouldn't be opposed to specific questions on each SO/AC and perceptions on whether they play their role effectively.

16:49:28   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : Evolution is an interesting aspect of its own

16:49:47   From Michael : But - I think the reason to include ALAC specifically is that it relates directly to the questions around community support, community engagement… and the discussions we heard at the ALAC session on differing understandings of that.

16:50:34   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the question posed is about the AC or SO Community support and engagement so that is we beleive being captured

16:51:37   From Michael : Except, by broadening it out in that way, it's unlikely to get specific input that the original question was driving a.

16:51:42   From Michael : *at.

16:52:07   From Michael : So - it's not being captured. It shifts the focus.

17:00:58   From Vanda Scartezini : GAC and board are different animals

17:00:58   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We do need to come back to the Sub Parts of the GNSO and At-Large responding as opposed to the AC/SO per se Bernie if needs be on the list when we finalise any extra questopn

17:01:10   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Very different indeed Vanda

17:02:21   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We are not just checking boxes previous ATRTs have specifically looked at improvements in GAC and GAC - Board

17:04:00   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : So your wanting to ask non GNSO perspectives about GNSO or GNSO Council buy in of their COmmunities

17:04:35   From Michael : "So your wanting to ask non GNSO perspectives about GNSO or GNSO Council buy in of their COmmunities" Yes - sure.

17:04:50   From Sebastien Bachollet : Can we see the questions under discussion?

17:05:13   From Vanda Scartezini : agree DANIEL

17:05:17   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : 5 on screen is the proposed text

17:05:26   From Michael : The original wording was: Do you feel that ICANN's mechanisms are sufficient to generate policies which are acceptable to the global online community?
If not, where do you think these shortcomings lie, and how could they be improved?
What role should ALAC play in fostering buy-in from the global online community to ICANN's policy-making?
Is the ALAC currently playing this role, and if not how could it be improved?

17:05:56   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Bernie may be able to cut and paste the question from the WP but I thought you had attended the last WP call when this was proposed

17:09:06   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : The questions are not restricted

17:09:17   From Vanda Scartezini : yes

17:10:09   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : But it is time we need to spend unfortunatly this is time critical as we 8have* to get this finalised and sorted ASAP to give time for responses

17:10:47   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : When you drill down to the RALOs you are going down to a very specific target

17:11:41   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : The specific target will be subject to deep analysis which will affect the recommendations to target a specific group

17:14:52   From Vanda Scartezini : I do not believe we need to change the general question to send to them. just address to allow people discuss inside the RAlos and Constituencies..

17:15:03   From Maarten Botterman : Why not offer the opportunity to ASO and CCNSO? For them to handle

17:15:15   From Maarten Botterman : (and I agree ASO will probably not doing that)

17:15:29   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : They will but along predictable lines

17:15:52   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : some of us know the ccNSO very well '=_

17:16:23   From Vanda Scartezini : yes

17:18:00   From Maarten Botterman : Sorry - quick bathroom break ;-)

17:18:37   From Vanda Scartezini : do we have such time?

17:19:01   From Maarten Botterman : I just took it. Executive decision ... but seemd to have missed the last question

17:20:01   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Pat you ok with that?

17:20:22   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : _/ it is good with me.

17:20:31   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks Pat

17:20:44   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : i was parsing the question

17:20:52   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : questions

17:27:16   From Maarten Botterman : This call was planned for 90 minutes, right?

17:27:48   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Pat can you finish this call if it goes past or to the hour please, as I need to join my next call at the top of the hour

17:28:04   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Correct Maarten

17:29:10   From Pat Kane (VRSN) : i can yes

17:29:33   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Bye for now then I need to go chair another call

17:30:13   From Maarten Botterman : Thanks Cheryl

17:30:37   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : Thanks all

17:30:38   From Bernard Turcotte : Bye all

17:30:46   From Maarten Botterman : THanks all - happy to answer any questions I may be able o help with

17:30:48   From DANIEL K. NANGHAKA : Bye all

17:30:50   From Chokri Ben Romdhane : Thank you all

17:31:02   From jaap : bye all