

ATRT3 Review Team Plenary Call Report
Plenary Call #21
17 July 2019 – 11:00 – 12:30 UTC

Review Team Members

Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Daniel Nanghaka, Tola Sogbesan, Wolfgang Kleinwaechter, Vanda Scartezini, Jaap Akkerhuis, Sebastien Bachollet, Demi Getschko, Maarten Botterman, Ramet Khalili, Michael Karanicolas, Liu Yue

Apologies

Geoff Huston, Pat Kane, Osvaldo Novoa, Erica Varlese

Observers

Sophie Hey

ICANN Org

Jennifer Bryce, Brenda Brewer, Negar Farzinnia

Technical Writer

Bernard Turcotte

These high-level notes are designed to help ATRT3 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/UaCjBg>.

The ATRT3 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance recorded above. No SOI updates recorded.

2. Action items review (closed and new)

Cheryl noted this as a new agenda item to be added to each call to ensure a closed loop on items discussed at plenary and leadership meetings.

Maarten noted that, as he shared in [email](#) to the RT list, he has a concern about his assignments to assess the state of ATRT2 implementation. He noted that this assessment is an important role for the community-appointed review team, and he feels it is not appropriate as a Board member for him to perform the assessments. Other review team members agreed. Maarten's previous assignments to assess ATRT2 recommendations 5, 6.5, and 6.9 were assigned on the call to Michael, KC, and Daniel respectively (see the complete assignments list [here](#)).

3. Any work party items for plenary attention (Board, GAC, Reviews, Community, IRP, WS2, ATRT2)

Work party leads provided an overview of work progress to date:

- **Board** – No updates provided by work party members. Jennifer noted that some of the work party requests have been provided in email; others that are outstanding are in progress.
- **GAC** – Vanda noted she had posted draft questions for the survey in to the [Google doc](#). Work party members continue to have individual discussions and will regroup after the holiday period.

- **Reviews** – Daniel noted that he is awaiting a response regarding the cost of reviews. Jennifer noted this response should be provided in the coming days.
- **Community** – Michael provided a summary of the work party meeting that took place on [16 July](#). He noted that a revised document based on the discussion on the call will be circulated in the coming days.
- **IRP** – Cheryl noted there is nothing new from last week. Bernie suggested that he could start to work on draft text for plenary discussion.
- **WS2** – Cheryl noted the WS2 Implementation Team has been formed but not formally convened, and suggested this is another topic that Bernie could draft some text on.
- **ATRT2** – Cheryl encouraged team members to continue to work on assignments.

Action item: Bernie to work on draft text re: IRP and WS2 for plenary review in an upcoming meeting.

Action item: Team members to work on ATRT2 implementation [assignments](#) for discussion on next week's call.

4. Topic A: Community survey (use [Google doc](#) for draft questions)

The team looked at the questions in the Google doc and discussed the timeline for the survey. Cheryl encouraged review team members to input questions to the Google doc in the coming days and make any suggestions for edits. Cheryl asked for volunteers to help progress the survey development outside of the plenary for bringing back to the group for review. Tola and Daniel volunteered.

Action item: Team members to input draft survey questions in to the [Google doc](#) and note any suggested edits, additions, deletions.

Action item: Tola and Daniel volunteered to work with Pat, Cheryl and Bernie on the survey. Staff to set up a Skype group to assist and any other RT members interested to volunteer in the coming days.

5. Topic B: IRP

Nothing further was discussed as this agenda item was covered in item 3 above.

6. A.O.B

The team looked at the ICANN [accountability indicators](#) dashboard. Cheryl encouraged review team members to look in to the dashboard as the team will discuss in a future call.

Action item: Team to have a look at the accountability indicators dashboard on ICANN.org and make some notes, as this will be a topic discussion on a future call.

Bernie asked if item (C) of ATRT items to review in the [Bylaws](#) should be addressed at plenary. The team discussed and agreed that this should be an item for plenary discussion.

Decision reached: Public comment, item (C) of the Bylaws, will be addressed at plenary level.

Action item: Staff to note the following two resource requests:

- ATRT2 implementation report states for 7.1 that "Staff is establishing a multi-department team to investigate further evolution of the organization's community input capabilities and processes with an eye toward interfacing with future community review efforts (e.g., ATRT3) as needed in the future." Does this group exist, if yes who is on it, if so have they produced anything? Can they provide a report to ATRT3?
- Has the Public Comment Summary Report Inquiry <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/report-inquiry-2014-10-21-en> ever been used - if so in which PC's can we see this?

7. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Action items / decisions reached were read for the record.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes