
CLAUDIA RUIZ: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening everyone. Welcome to the EURALO Monthly Teleconference call taking place on Tuesday the 11th of June 2019 at 18:00 UTC.

On the call today we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Annette Mühlberg, Oksana Prykhodko, Sebastien Bachollet, Yrjo Lansipuro, Erich Schweighofer, Leon Sanchez, and Anne-Marie Joly-Bachollet. We have received apologies from Matthias Hudobnik, Jean-Jacques Subrenat, Bastiaan Goslings, and Maureen Hilyard. From staff we have Silvia Vivanco, Gisella Gruber, and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management.

Before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes and to please keep your lines muted when not speaking to prevent any background noise.

Thank you very much and with this I turn it over to you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Claudia. Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking. Welcome everyone to our monthly EURALO Conference Call and we are going to start with first looking quickly at our agenda and asking if there is any amendment to be made. We'll have our review of Public Consultations. We'll then quickly go through Marrakech meeting activities. I just want to turn up the Joint MTS to the At-Large ICANN65 Outreach Session. Then we'll have an update on the Outreach and Engagement FY20 Strategy from Yrjo Lansipuro. Bylaws Taskforce, a quick update. Election Selections and Appointments of 2019. Hot

Topics, and then to any other business from Sebastien Bachollet. At-Large Review and ATRT3.

Quite a number of topics today. Is there anything else that we need to be talking about?

I'm not seeing any hands up. So, the agenda is adopted as it currently is on your screen. Then we'll move then to the Action Items from our last call. There are two remaining Action Items there. The first one is for Matthias to report to IGF 2019 Planning to be discussed for the next ALAC Outreach and Engagement Call. I think that this call hasn't taken place yet so that's why this is not completed yet. We will look forward to hearing some feedback in a future call of ours.

I'm not sure... Staff, do you know by any chance if there is an Outreach and Engagement Call scheduled before the next, you know, ICANN65 in Marrakech?

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Hi, Olivier, this is Heidi. No, there isn't. The next one, given that we're just a few weeks away, that there will be a session in Marrakech.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

In Marrakech. Okay, excellent, so hopefully in Marrakech we will have discussed IGF 2019 Planning over there. Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, sorry. Yes, Olivier. There will be actually, sorry Olivier, there will be a couple of sessions not only in that meeting but another meeting, an At-Large Leadership call that will have an item on the IGF. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, excellent. Thank you very much for this, Heidi. And then the next thing is for Olivier to split the Hot Topics document in two sections, and one side Outreach and Engagement Topics. Well, Hot Topics on one side and Outreach and Engagement document on the other side.

I've actually done this. I've sent the Outreach and Engagement document to Yrjo Lansipuro and he'll be speaking to us in a moment about this. And I've sent the Hot Topics document. Have I sent them to Joanna? I think no, I have not because I sent them to a Last Call, I think, for Hot Topics so I just need to send this over and this can be done. I'll send this. Seeing that there was no further feedback, I'll send this to Joanna and Jonathan Zuck after this call.

Are there any comments on any of the items that we currently have in Action Items? Silvia mentioned that I requested feedback by tomorrow. Okay, thank you Silvia. So, I'm not going to send this document after this call. I'll send it by, yes that's correct, tomorrow lunchtime. 12:00 UTC.

Super. Let's then continue. And next item on our agenda is the review of the Public Consultations. There have been a number of them. There are two that are comments for a decision at the moment. One is the study on the technical use of the Root Zone Label Generation Rules. That has to do with the Internationalized Domain Name, the IDN, and I really have no idea, and I used to follow this quite closely but the Zone Label

Generation Rules are rules in some languages where you can write the same words in two different, or in fact, in more than two different ways. And the question, of course, with those is whether these names, which are written differently but mean exactly the same thing are actually valid or not. Whether you can allow for both of them or you allow for one of them or you allow for the other, and these are sort of all to do with a variance of the Domain Names. So, there is a, currently, a public comment for decision. If you're into Internationalized Domain Names, have a look at this and see if you can respond.

I'm a bit surprised it's actually due in on the 30th of June, which is quite short time. I hadn't seen it before. Okay, that's one. The other one was the Non-Contracted Party House Election Procedures for Board Seat #14. I have a feeling, this is just a hunch, that this is internal Generic Name Supporting Organization stuff that often the ALAC and At-Large Community doesn't get involved with. So, I certainly haven't seen anybody jump up and down and saying we need to write something on this.

Now the ones which are currently in development, statements that the community has felt was important to draft something on, are as follows. There is the Consolidated Policy Working Group Feedback on the NomCom Review Implementation. Now, in fact, in our region we've also provided some feedback on the NomCom Review Implementation. If you saw a few days ago, in fact a week ago and then a few days ago, I was collecting [inaudible] for this with a statement that was sent earlier today to the staff members in charge of the NomCom Review Implementation. This is not a formal public comment but it was a request that was sent to the chairs of the RALOs and also to the ALAC,

and I've also sent a copy of this to Maureen Hilyard and Justine Chew for them to see what we've said in our statement, in our responses. They're not really a statement because they're responses to questions which are being asked. And I think that there is alignment between the responses which have been provided and the responses that we've provided and the responses that are currently being proposed to her for the ALAC.

So that's the first one. Next one is Evolving ICANN's Multi-Stakeholder Model. Big discussion going on. It's strange, on our agenda it says, "Unknown Attachments." I have no idea what that's supposed to be, but, anyway if you click on that you'll go into the Public Comment System which will provide you with further details. There's an extension to the Public Consultation until the 13th of June. It's quite a long, I think, long-winded and long discussion because one needs to know really how far we are interested in providing written comments and then getting involved in the face-to-face discussions that are likely to take place in Marrakech.

The process started, actually, in the last ICANN meeting in Kobe, where Brian Cote led a long session, the big session in the Main Hall. And the idea is not to change the whole model upside-down, but to see what tweaks, what changes could be made to the model, so that's, too, included. Understandably some people in our community think that more should be done and others think that less should be done, and this is why it's taking such a long time for us to come to conclusion on this.

Potentially I'd like feedback on the EPDP Phase 2. That's not a public comment. The way that the Expedited Policy Development Process

works is that there is this sort of work plan that is put together at the beginning of the work, and an opportunity for each one of the component organizations that are taking part in this Policy Development Process, most of them being GNSO Constituencies, to come back to the working group with some kind of feedback saying, “Well, we agree with this. We would like to put an order of event. This should come before that, etcetera.” And so, Alan Greenburg and Hadia Elminiawi, who are our two representatives on that group, are asking for some feedback on this so as to be able to give an idea of which direction we’d like to move forward.

Phase 2 is dealing with many of the points which were not dealt with in Phase 1, so there are some really, really disputed issues. The one that pertains to access by third-parties of the information contained in WHOIS is going to need a big chunk of work and there are some radically opposed views on this.

There’s, of course, the next question is access. Okay, access. But by who? By who? By law-enforcement? By private detectives? By private organizations? By anyone? Big questions so please have a look at this. It’s just counting stage at the moment as the discussion has yet to go but this is setting the scene for the next few months for the work of this Community Development Process.

Project Proposal for Streamlining Organizational Reviews. Jonathan and I are supposed to be discussing the next steps on this. We have several people that are involved with the Organizational Reviews. Now, you know, here we’re speaking about of course the At-Large Review and the GNSO Review and the ccNSO Review. Every component part of ICANN

goes through a Review Process every five years, usually. But what we found is there is a problem in that often the reviewers, who are an external company, come up with some strange, strange recommendations and that then takes more time to further discuss, perhaps negotiate with the ICANN Board and then implement, emend and implement. So, there certainly is a way that... There's a need to streamline Organizational Reviews. The question is how.

And not many people have wished to step forward on this because you could say very little while also at the same time you could say a lot on this specific topic. Please have a look. We're still looking for a penholder. We have a lot of people who are saying, "We're ready to contribute but we definitely do not want to be the penholder on that." Some of them because they are conflicted because they are part of that working group that is currently working on this streamlining of Organizational Reviews.

Next is the Evolving the Governance of the Root Server System. Bastiaan Goslings from our region has volunteered to provide an initial review of the report and to be a penholder on this. It's an interesting topic in that the Root Server System is effectively the 13 Root Servers around the world; the central component parts of the infrastructure of the internet. And until, I would say rather recently, looking at the 30 years of existence or sort of a commercial exportation of the internet and the 20 years of ICANN history, until these days, the Root Server System had absolutely no formalized governance whatsoever. The Root Server Operators were all independent. They were running their own box. They would talk to each other informally. They would certainly have a few rules, by saying, "Well, look, we're all going to follow the A Root and

we're all going to meet from time to time." But they definitely did not have any formalized Policy Development Process of any kind, and until recently, some Root Server Operators even did not want to have anything to do with ICANN, which is a real shame since ICANN is, at the end of the day, the organization that hosts the IANA function through the Post-Transition Identifiers, PTI.

So, thankfully in recent years, there has been a warming up of relationships, suddenly brought in by some Root Server Operators that were very close to ICANN, and now they have, in recent meetings, decided to actually meet physically at some ICANN meetings and they are working towards putting together an overall ecosystem that could be used in order to also do Policy Development, etcetera. And that's really big steps forward.

How do the [inaudible] earn users? Well, certainly by enhancing the stability of the internet because having a good coordination of these actors that are running the internet's critical infrastructure and not letting them fall into the hands of bad parties, whether they be completely 100 percent commercial or parties that would be government run by some government that might use the Root Server System for political reasons is something that is something we should support so Bastiaan is going to look at this and hopefully there's going to be a good thing written out of that along that line; 9th of August so you have plenty of time on that.

I think that's it for the policies at the moment. We are of course open for any questions, comments, etcetera. And anything that I've missed. I might have been completely wrong on some of these. I'm just doing

them from memory at the moment. And this is where I get told that I was dropped out of the call ten minutes ago and I have to start again. I did. I probably did get dropped out of the call.

LEON SANCHEZ: I heard you perfectly over here.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I'm still here. Yes. Excellent. Thank you. Thank you so much, Leon. I believe that was Leon.

LEON SANCHEZ: Yes, it was me.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for reminding me I was still alive and I still existed on this call. Alright, we have a hand up from Sebastien Bachollet.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier and thank you for all the summary of the open comments and I think it will be important that we participate in our own way in the discussion about, we'll say, the different comments because the one on logistical, they okayed, so almost finished but it was an important one; the one on EPDP; long discussion. And I hope that we will be able to put some input here because we are local in [inaudible] I will say in the other as we are in Europe and the EPDP, the GDPR, it's from Europe.

The Organizational Review, I will not comment but it's something we will take into account in the ATRT3 Accountability and Transparency Review Team Members 3, where I am a member of, and by the way, we will include in our reflection the work done by the Non-Contracted Party House about the Election Process. You forget it's 14 because it's something we will review. It's all the Election Processes for all the board members elected or when elected by the NomCom and the [inaudible] also.

And the, finally, the Root Server System. It's very important and you summary well what is at stake and I think it's important that they will be able to formalize some processes. Not that there were no processes before but it was more informal than formal, and what is important is that at the end of the day if we need to change one of the Root Server, how will we do it. There was nothing written on that and it could be important if one day one of the [inaudible] running this Root Server closed how we give this new Root Server to somebody else. Because it will suddenly open quite interesting discussion on why it will stay at the same place, why it can't move in another continent, and so on and so forth. And I really think that it's important for us and for end users that we have a stable internet and a stable Root Server System, and all those discussions are useful to check when it's not a Hot Topic, but a cold topic yet. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for that, Sebastien. Olivier speaking, and I note that Joanna has written in the chat. She's ready to help with the Organizational, Streamlining Organizational Review, so I need to speak to Jonathan Zuck

about this after this call. I'll let him know and we can proceed forward with that. There's still a bit of time on this topic here.

You're absolutely correct with regards to the Expedited PDP. This really is about the General Data Protection Regulation. It's really important that we make sure that the topic that we think need to be addressed are addressed and I do have to let you know the word of warning. Often, the way that at this stage things are done is if you don't like a topic, or you don't want it to be addressed, then you need to launch it into a counterargument, an argument that effectively ends up making the topic either obsolete or too complicated to deal with and then it gets flushed out in a number of smaller topics. It's very political at this stage. It's really important that we look at these and make sure that the subset of topics that are important for end users actually gets addressed.

I realize we have various points of view, even within our own RALOs, but you know, setting the theme is important because once we have those topics frozen, should we say, in the course of action that the Expedited PDP Phase 2 will take, then it will pretty much determine when that will be discussed and given what other things. And sometimes if you have a topic that you divide in three parts, the way that it's designed is sometimes it's easier or better to resolve them in Part 1, Part 2, Part 3, but some people might wish to start with Part 3 so that's to freeze that which then restricts the direction that Part 1 and Part 2 take. This is why it's important at this stage to get feedback.

It's not huge, by the way, it's just a page or two to have a look at. Please have a quick look at it, and you know, provide your feedback on it. You

can send it in the note. You just log in to the Wiki and you can just say a few words underneath.

Excellent. Okay, nothing in the other hands up and I did say hi to Leon, of course, that's Leon Sanchez, our ALAC or At-Large Selected Board Member, so welcome, Leon. Thank you for joining us in our wonderful confidence.

LEON SANCHEZ:

Thank you very much. Thank you, and I will have to excuse myself because, as some of you might know, I'm in the middle of a training course and I need to go back to Sessions now but it's always good to be in your meetings and I wish I had the chance to speak a little bit more to you, but I'll see you all soon in Marrakech and thank you for having me again.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Leon, it's Olivier speaking. Just before you go, in thirty seconds, is there anything that we should watch out for specifically in the run up to ICANN65, in your view from a Board perspective?

LEON SANCHEZ:

Thank you, Olivier. Yes, I think that two issues that are, or three issues, two issues that are very important at this point is the Evolution of the Multi-Stakeholder Model Discussion. I think that is key for the future of the activities within our community. Another important topic is the Streamlining of Reviews, and the third one is of course, as Sebastien pointed out, the Evolving of the Governance of the Root Server System.

So, these three issues, I think, are key to the future of our community in many aspects so I will definitely encourage you to not only comment but to attend the sessions on Evolution of the Governance Model that we will be holding in Marrakech. And of course, give us the benefit of the very useful feedback that you usually provide in the discussions and other than that I think that those would be the three main topics that I would recommend that we follow and that we comment upon.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, well thank you very much, Leon. Thank you for visiting us and good luck with the rest of your training.

LEON SANCHEZ: Thank you very much, Olivier. Thank you everyone and see you soon.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Alright, let's then move on. I'm not seeing any other hands up at the moment so let's go to the Marrakech Meeting Activities. That's not that far away, and there was one specific topic which we, I can sort of point out, and that's the one which is the Joint NCUC At-Large Outreach Session that is taking place on the, I believe, Monday morning and that is called, "Policy at ICANN Demystified". We've done a number of common work with the NCUC and the NCSG and the NPOC also, in some occasions. It really depends on the calendar of how things work out.

This one is going to be a little shorter. It's ninety minutes in length. It's going to be talking about the usual topics of NCUC. It's Governance At-Large. How is it governed? And then explaining the differences between

NCUC, which if you don't know, is Noncommercial Users Constituency in the Generic Names Supporting Organization, and the At-Large Community that you well know, and what can we do together. What topics are we aligned on and can we work on.

And then there's this. A discussion, only 50 minutes, because unfortunately we're a bit pressed for time there, on three topics. The Expedited Policy Development Process, obviously, where there are some differences in views and it's good to explain what it is quickly and then point out the differences in the views between the NCUC and the At-Large Community. There's also some alignment on some views on there, so that's important. One on Universal Acceptance and I think everyone is aligned on this one. Universal Acceptance is about mostly Internationalized Domain Names, so non-Latin character set Domain Names, and also the new Generic Top-Level Domains. The likes of ".store" and ".web" and dot something else in the usual .com, .net, .org, and so on.

In fact, it's called Universal Acceptance because that's actually what's missing at the moment. There are many places where, you know, the webform that they make that you have to fill out and it says, "Please enter your email address." You type your email address using one of these new Top-Level Domain Names or using an Internationalized Domain Name and the system rejects it and says, "Nope! That doesn't work. That's not valid." And that's a big problem, so Universal Acceptance is a big discussion at the moment.

And then third one is about the Subsequent Procedures and Geo Names. Geo Names are the geographic names. That's a huge, huge,

story and that's been brought to life by the previous round of creation of Top-Level Domains that brought to life Patagonia is one of them with a .patagonia and the applicant actually withdrew but there's another one which actually had an applicant that did not withdraw, and that was Amazon, for .amazon, where it's still to this date a difference in opinion relating to the .amazon application that involves in countries of Brazil and I think Columbia and Peru and Bolivia, neighboring countries, or countries that somehow border the Amazon region or in which there is a part of the Amazon region.

Anyway, that's just one example. There's likely to be a lot of new questions. What if you have dot, I don't know, .ethiopia? Well, I mean country names are protected and some town names, but yeah, of course, you've got the .london and you've got the .berlin at the moment. These are allowed, but there might be some rules that change on these. I have no idea, really.

There's also the whole question on 2-character and 3-character Top-Level Domain. A country code Top-Level Domain; that also comes into it, I guess. Very complex. So, the big issue, I probably have spoken more than fifteen minutes about it, but hopefully the people that will speak about it will make more sense than I do.

And then of course there's a little section about Policy of ICANN. How to get involved, etcetera. We're always looking, by the way, for suggestions on how to make these things better so they will be transmitted remotely if you're not in Marrakech, and Marrakech is good because it's about the same sort of time zone as Western Europe so if you are interested in seeing how this goes, just tune in and then send us

your feedback and of course if you're there at the time, no need to send us your feedback. Just tell us your feedback.

So that was just a bit of a long announcement for what it was. Next after that is the update on... And I'm not seeing any hands, so I'm assuming that if anybody has any questions please put your hand up on this, or a comment. There are a lot of other activities in Marrakech, by the way. Can I ask Staff to send this to the overall Marrakech schedule for At-Large because there is a special At-Large Marrakech schedule that is the one that you need to look at if you want to be updated on this.

At the moment, it's quite easy to find. If you go to atlarge.ICANN.org, you go to the bottom of that page, on the left-hand side, you will have a link and I just did that, and you'll have a link which is the link that I just put in the chat here. ICANN65 Marrakech Meeting. And that provides you with links with also the daily meeting agendas and you'll have Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday. Four days. But please have a look at those. Everything is remotely accessible and there are some really interesting discussions going on in there.

Sebastien Bachollet.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Yeah, it's Sebastien Bachollet speaking. Thank you, Olivier. I wanted to take this opportunity to talk about what was one of the aim of the meeting in, was supposed to be, in the place where we are not, where ICANN is not able to come with a big troop and now, we are coming back to Marrakech. That's good for Marrakech but not on what we wanted to do as a strategy for the meeting, and once things were

conflict to the [inaudible] and I guess it will be missed in Marrakech is to try to organize what was done in South Africa to go to some places to help the local people and to give back something that we are able to give.

I recall that it must have been some people were going to paint a school and I don't think it will be done in Marrakech but just to let you know that I get a call yesterday and I will be working with some local people in Montreal to do a, to help local things and maybe it would be a good and positive for At-Large and EURALO joined this force to do something locally. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much for this, Sebastien. It's Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking. In fact, I understand that the local At-Large Structure, ALAC Morocco, is going to arrange for some students to come in from visit from Rabat, from one of the local towns and they will be coming for at least a couple of days. There is a... Well, AFRALO, an African Regional At-Large Organization, has put together a number of things to supplement. So, there was this Joint Session, NCUC At-Large, but there are also some AFRALO Capacity Building Sessions that are involved there.

But you're quite correct. The intent of this meeting, it started everywhere as being a Policy Meeting but originally, or the intent of it, was supposed to be Policy and Outreach in a smaller location that would be able to accommodate less people because it's a smaller meeting and it doesn't have all the big whole marketing thing with the big halls and the big gala and all that stuff.

Now that being said, the, you know, the At-Large Community, I think that we pretty much stuck to this and done a lot of work for Outreach. I do have concerns. This is just my personal opinion. I do have concerns about other parts of the community, perhaps the GNSO and the ccNSO perhaps not doing this as much as they should. There is a time every morning from the 8:30 'til 9:00 that should be reserved for Outreach by all of the communities, all the different Component Organizations and I'm not quite sure how much is being done by others. I haven't looked at their detailed schedules, but we're doing as much as we can on our side.

We definitely will. Roberto Gaetano is asking, is saying, as an initiative to the students in Marrakech, how can we make sure that we have a good contact with them and get them interested in At-Large? I would say, ask is there... Let me just ask Staff, is there an organizing team from AFRALO that is dealing with this?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Hello, yes, hello, this is Silvia from Staff. There is an Organizing Committee. The leader is Aziz. I think basically they named a contact in terms of the students. He arranged this visit from the students and of course Mohammed and Sarah, and AFRALO leaders that are involved in there with our support.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much, Silvia. And so, it'll be a speaking, so yes, Aziz Hilali would be the person to contact. I gather you have his email address or of course the AFRALO leadership so Mohammed El Bashir or Sarah

Kiden would also be able to point you in the right direction. And Gisella has also put a link in the chat that explains the students' program. Great.

I'm super excited when we go to such places and are able to get people to come in from the community, from the local community. They'll all be wearing orange ribbons. The Rabat students will be wearing orange ribbons so that's really excellent. Cool.

Thank you so much. Thank you for bringing this up. Let us continue because time is not waiting for us. We now have to quickly look at the Outreach and Engagement Fiscal Year 20 Strategy. List here things that had to move a little earlier. So, in order to be able to apply for Community Regional Outreach Program travel slots that are effectively slots that ICANN pays to get a community member to go a place outside of ICANN, or to go and perform Outreach on behalf of our community and then of course we're running the whole thing but ICANN pays for it.

In order to be able to do this, and to also participate in the extra budget request that we have, discretionary budget request that we are able to file, there needs to be an Outreach and Engagement Strategic Plan done. And we happen to have an At-Large Taskforce on At-Large Structure Engagement and that's run by Yrjo Lansipuro and so this year, the baby, should we say, or the birth of the baby was passed on to Yrjo for his group to be able to put something together. I know there's a call coming up later this week but let me just hand the floor over to Yrjo for him to explain to you what's happening. Yrjo Lansipuro.

YRJO LANSIPURO: Yeah. Thank you, Olivier, this is Yrjo Lansipuro speaking. Yeah, sometime ago Olivier reminded me that FY20 is virtually, it's around the corner and the ALS Taskforce Engagement Taskforce should do something about it, so what I did actually today is that I tried to find out from various sources the, about various events that could be used for Outreach, using Corp money or other money. I sent out an email to the Staff today and I just wonder whether you could actually display that email on the screen.

Well then, maybe not. It was an email, nothing on the Wiki. Anyway, I just tried to list the usual things. That is to say the ICANN-Studienkreis in September. The IGF, of course, is in November. The Ripe 79 is also October, and then next year we'll have [inaudible] in Berlin. We have EuroDIG. Officially, I don't think that the place and time have been announced yet... And of course, [inaudible] with EuroDIG, SEEDIG, also those. So that's, we have again a lot of events that, and we have to make sure that if we want to send people to those events for outreach, that we make the funding applications, funding requests, in time. So, we have a meeting, this Taskforce has a meeting, later this week, and we'll try to put things together. Thank you. Over to Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Yrjo. Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking. May I ask when is that meeting please?

YRJO LANSIPURO: It's Doodle is out.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: The Doodle is out. So, it hasn't been... okay. So, it's either Thursday or Friday. I've seen the Doodle.

YRJO LANSIPURO: Yes.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: It's either Thursday or Friday that this will happen, and that Doodle has been sent to the At-Large Structure Engagement Taskforce Mailing List and I note that there are quite a few people on there. If you'd like to take part in that meeting, should people just email you, Yrjo, and then you'd be able to let them know of the date of the meeting, or the time of the meeting, the details?

YRJO LANSIPURO: Yes, or rather, Staff, of course, with cc'd to me.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Super. Thank you so much. And I note that Oksana has made some suggestions, too, for various things going on in Ukraine including the pens idea. Ukraine, and also the RIPE NCC Meeting from the 23rd to the 26th of December. I remember the first Ukraine IETF and it's great to see countries that have really blossomed in terms of internet governance and in terms of the meetings that are now taking place in the Eastern parts of Europe.

Okay, and I'm not seeing any other hands up so we can move to the next agenda item and that is the EURALO Bylaws Taskforce. Wow. How many years has it taken to rewrite our EURALO Bylaws? A very, very, very, very long time. Where are we now?

Well, the bylaws are pretty much finalized. We had many, many different chances, and I did ask the EURALO members, we had many chances to make some amendments. First, the Bylaws Taskforce itself had a look at I don't know how many dozens of different versions and so on. We finally managed to resolve all of the points and now I've forwarded this final document to Silvia Vivanco, our At-Large Staff Member who is in touch with ICANN Leaders to find out if there's any part of our bylaws that actually conflict or contradict either ICANN bylaws or the At-Large bylaws. Silvia, I gather this is very recent, so you probably haven't got off it right away.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Hello, yes, Olivier, this is Silvia. I have sent it to you that department, and I still don't have any feedback on this but I'll make sure I follow-up on this and thank you very much for your recommendations from finalizing this important document. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks very much Silvia. It's Olivier speaking. I look forward to announcing that this thing's moved forward. By the way, the next steps are going to be very simple. So, once we get the feedback from Legal and hopefully, we don't have it too late any big changes and hopefully everything's fine, then we need to get this, the bylaws document

ratified by the EURALO At-Large Structure. So, there will be a vote that will take place. I'm hoping that we can do this before the start of the summer. I'm well aware that come the 10th, 15th, of July a lot of people are on holiday and it will be very difficult to get those ratified. So hopefully within the next couple of weeks we've got an answer from ICANN Legal and then we can proceed forward with a vote before the summer break.

In which case, once we've got those bylaws ratified, then we need three quarters of our community to vote positively. It's a super majority that's needed. Once we've got a super majority, then we can close this chapter, thank Florian Huber enormously for having being the main, should I say, not even the main, the penholder. Florian is from Austria and he was recommended by Erich Schweighofer. He did an excellent job, so thank him. Also thank Mikhail Medrish who led the early work in this field. Mikhail was from Russia. He moved on from the ALS that he was with and therefore retired from activity in At-Large but he certainly kicked this into motion.

So, let's hope that by the next EURALO meeting we've got a set of bylaws that's done and if the set of bylaws is voted, then what we can do is to move on and start with our procedures. So, things that are not effectively bylaws but they just explain the different processes by which we do things. How to run an election, how, you know... There's certainly some work in minimum participation requirements for At-Large structures, and maybe we need to define participation by EURALO Officers; Chair, Vice-Chair, Secretariat, etcetera.

So, we'll have to see this, and Board, of course, what am I saying. So, we'll have to see where we go from there but let's get through those bylaws first.

Oksana, I noticed that you wanted to comment on something. I didn't quite know whether you wanted to comment on the bylaws or did you want to comment on the previous items? Oksana Prykhodko.

OKSANA PRYKHODKO: Hello?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, we can hear you.

OKSANA PRYKHODKO: Thank you very much, Olivier. I would like to comment on our account of IGF-UA. Just on Friday, the round table at the Diplomatic Academy of Ukraine devoting to the integration of Ukraine into Digital [inaudible] Market of Europe and issue for internet governance. And it was decided on an official level that we would like to invite ICANN conference, [inaudible] or even IGF to Ukraine, and they would like to support the integration of IGF-UA and Youth IGF-UA into [inaudible] official or organizer of our Youth IGF-UA, if you remember? And we'd like to continue to strengthen this corporation thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this, Oksana. Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking. As I said earlier, I'm really thrilled by the development in Ukraine. I hope that we can get a lot of equally exciting development in many of the other countries in the area and I have no doubt the feeling that this will happen sooner rather than later. Just looking at the trends that we're seeing at the moment with all the movement that we're seeing.

Okay, next we have to look at the EURALO Election Collections Appointment 2019. This is just a report that, so we had a number of members that were connected by acclimation because there was only one candidate. Certainly, the ALAC member from EURALO will be Matthias Hudobnik who was selected by acclimation, replacing Sebastien Bachollet. In fact, Sebastien Bachollet will replace me as the EURALO chair, also selected by acclimation. And then when it came down to the EURALO Secretary, the third position that was up for renewal, there were two candidates. The first was Wale Bakare, our current Secretary, and the other was Natalia Filina, who volunteered to stand in this election and the election took place and the majority of people voted for Natalia to take over the position.

Bearing in mind the position is starting from the Annual General Meeting. So, the changes are not happening now, they're happening at the end of the year. In fact, not even at the beginning of that meeting but the end of the Annual General Meeting, which will take place in Montreal in November of this year. So, until then, Wale will still continue working as EURALO Secretary, I'll still continue as EURALO chair, and Sebastien as ALAC member, or hopefully the three of us will continue.

So that's how it is and I just wanted to thank first all of the people who have stood forward for positions. I think it's really great. It's good to see people that are ready to volunteer their time. That said, you're not doing it for the money because there's none of it. I'm not sure, I don't think they're doing it for the travel because the amount of work that gets into this, they could actually do lucrative work out there and pay for a trip to those locations or even perhaps more [inaudible] positions for next year. You'll note that I'm connected for anything. I didn't sign up for anything. I'll still be around, don't worry. You're not going to get rid of me. I'm still considering what to do next, but my hands so full with other stuff at the moment at the same time, but I felt it would maybe be good to take a little breathing time to get back on one's two feet.

Then we have EURALO Hot Topics 2019. Now that's another one. It started out as 2016, 17, 18, well okay. We did publish one in 2017 but then we had the Hot Topics 2018 and it took so much time it turned into 2019 and I'm glad to announce that we finally finished those Hot Topics. They are ready. They have all been finalized.

You can, if you click on the agenda, you'll find a link to these final documents, EURALO Hot Topics 2019. It's both in PDF and in DOCX. And I'd like to thank everyone who has been very helpful and have worked on these Hot Topics. I know we've had... One of the reasons why it took so much time is because we had so many suggestions on this. A number of Hot Topics are renewed from last year or from previous years, and they're still hot. They're still happening. They're still things that need to be addressed and that our community is interested with a number of those topics are new ones and I'm thinking in particular about the one that was based on the speech by Emmanuel Macron. So, we've got six

Hot Topics that we have now, and the sixth one basically is the Multi-Stakeholder and Multi-National levels of Internet Governance based on the follow-up to President Macron's speech at the 2018 Internet Governance Forum in Paris. That's quite a fundamental discussion about the break between the, I guess they called it the [inaudible] internet versus the Chinese internet. [inaudible]

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Hello, Olivier? Sorry for the interruption but it sounds... Olivier?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Hello, yes?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Okay, there. That sounds better. It sounded like you were underwater for a second. Much better.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Really? Okay, that's strange. When did I start drowning?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: It was only a few seconds.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Only a few seconds, okay. So, I'm sorry. I should soon be drinking while talking at the same time. So, I wasn't. Anyways, so that's the Hot Topic document. It's there for your perusal. It's done. The next steps for this is

for these to be sent to Joanna Kulesza and to Jonathan Zuck, I believe. And they are going to be taking this and they have an unenviable task which is to take those documents from each one of the Region At-Large Organizations and work together to produce an ALAC Hot Topics.

Now, the ALAC Hot Topics might actually not have any of our Hot Topics included. They might be completely independent but seeing that our ALAC Members, two, well three ALAC Members from our Region on the ALAC, it would be highly sufficient if the ALAC Hot Topics were completely different from our own Hot Topics. So, hopefully we'll see the results soon. I'm not sure what the exact timing is for this. I know Joanna is on the call. Sorry for putting you on the spot but when do you expect that you'll be able to produce a proposal for ALAC Hot Topics? You might be muted, Joanna Kulesza. Did we just lose her? Joanna? I think her microphone is not working, unfortunately. It was working earlier.

JOANNA KULESZA: Is this working now? Can you hear me now? Hello?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Now, we can hear you. Welcome to us.

JOANNA KULESZA: Brilliant. I was talking to myself. So, there is a Session devoted to the Hot Topics in Marrakech, if my memory serves me right. It is on Monday morning, where we will try to present the work that has been done in the Regions on Hot Topics. And then we will move along to the feedback

so I'm very much looking forward to Marrakech where will get the feedback from the Regions on the Regional Hot Topics. We will be using the resources coming directly from AFRALO as they seem to be leading the way when it comes to drafting a Hot Topics document, and taking all of those experiences on-board, we will try to produce a uniformed document as you indicated post-Marrakech. So, I'm not, I don't think we have a timeline at this point but it's definitely Marrakech that should push this work forward. I hope that was audible. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Joanna. It's Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking. And so, yes, this is your advanced notice. You mentioned the AFRALO document. You're about to be hit by the EURALO one, too.

Great, thank you, and I'm looking forward to discuss this at the Monday morning meeting in Marrakech. Now, seeing no further hands, we can move into any other business and we have two items submitted by Sebastien Bachollet. The first one is At-Large Review. Over to you, Sebastien. You might be muted.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Hello, it's Sebastien. Yeah, I was muted. That time I was on mute. I was not too much willing to talk about this. You know better than me, on this topic but I think it's important to share with EURALO Members what is happening with your At-Large. My part was about the ATRT3. Just to say that the work is still going on. There will be a one-hour meeting with the ATRT3 Team with At-Large at Marrakech and that it's important if you are participating either in person or remotely, if you

have questions, to prepare them or if you have inputs to give to the ATRT3 people about ICANN Accountability and Transparency. But, you know, one of the review that's done every five years and that third one, that the first one after the [inaudible] Foundation and it may happen to be an important one. Therefore, the inputs of end user and of EURALO at this point is important. Thank you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sebastien. It's Olivier speaking. Is there any specific topics that you are focusing on in this ATRT3?

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier. It's Sebastien speaking. We have four work parties. One is about the vote and including that question of election and selection of Board Members, but other things about transparency implication, and so on. The second team is about GAC and how the GAC keeps working. The third one, it's about community and the role of the community, how it's organized, and so on. And the fourth one, it's specifically about the Reviews and how the Reviews are working. One of the reasons for this part will be in connection with the open comments on the [inaudible], the radio. I guess it's a work of ATRT3 to do that, so we will do this part of the work.

Really, it's, for me, what is important is that I hope that we will be having a general view of ICANN, even for and by ATRT3. And there is no specific topic, I think, we may want to discuss except one. We've already had a discussion about the Multi-Stakeholder Model. It's how many

members from At-Large selected by At-Large. And I think this is a topic that we will be taking into account in most groups. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sebastien. Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking. Thanks for the update on that. Now, you mentioned the At-Large group. Thank you, and I can certainly say a couple of words on this. As you know, we had this, I'm not even going to use the word to describe what happened to the At-Large Review itself, but anyway. The final recommendations were accepted by the ALAC and by the At-Large Community and we went through this implementation process and we've got here, so... Sorry I'm writing and I can't write something differently from what I'm talking about.

Here is the link in the chat to the At-Large Review Implementation Wiki Page. It's all very transparent. What's happened is there are a number of issues; 16 issues in total that were raised and there are Work Track Leaders for each one of these. And you can see a Dashboard and Progress Chart for each one of those issues. So, the first one. The quality versus quantity of ALAC advice. The next one, At-Large has struggled to reflect the current end user opinions. Various individual participation. Perception of unchanging leadership groups. Number three, staff is all so disproportionately concentrated on [inaudible] support. Staff should have greater capacity to support preparation of policy advice.

If you read this, the criticism that was raised there just puts you down. But anyway, this is all for the good and for improvement and so they've all been taken up by someone and we're working on it and there is.

Implementation and little changes being made to the way our community works.

So, I would invite you to have a look through. The Dashboard and Progress Charts are self-explanatory and yeah, if you have any questions, I'm part of the group. I'm not leading any of those processes, but I am part of the group following closely so if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to email me after this call or to get in touch with me, and I'll be glad to explain what's going on.

I can't say I'm delighted by the whole Review Process, but sometimes I think that we kind of saved the inherent important part of our community from having some changes implemented on it that would've destroyed the community rather than actually helped it develop further. My personal opinion, but I've certainly heard it reflected by a number of other people.

And with this, is there any other business? I'm not seeing any hands up. So, the next meeting that we are going to have, this is just before closing off, the next meetings are going to be changed time wise because the third, usually we meet on the third Tuesday of the month. The third Tuesday of July is going to be the time of the European Summer School of Internet Governance, and I'm usually in my [inaudible] during this. And I think that the Tuesday evening happens on a boat. It's particularly difficult to run a EURALO call from a boat on the Elda. The reception isn't that great, but usually the drinking that goes on around the boat and the noise and all that doesn't make it too easy. I have followed some calls. I've not chaired them so far.

So, we'll have to move this meeting one week forward to the second Tuesday of July, 20:00 European Standard Time, so we're looking at 19:00 UTC and yeah, so that's when we have our next call. And then for the month of August, the option is not to have a call in August due to the break that we usually have and we'll have to just follow things up by email.

We're lucky in that this time we've gone through the Election Process earlier rather than later. There used to be a time when the Election Process was taking place in July and August, and it is lucky thanks to our concerns and complaints about this that the process has been advanced until, for it to be complete by June.

And that's it. So, thank you very much everyone. I hope this was an interesting call for all of you. I certainly learned a few things. I'm really glad. Don't forget the call on either Thursday or Friday regarding our Outreach Activities, to define our Outreach Strategy. I think it's particularly important to work early on at this stage because when we then submit requests for funding to go and attend whatever meeting it is that we would like to go and attend and send someone to, then we need to be able to go back to this Outreach and Engagement plan. If there's not the Outreach and Engagement Plan, it's a lot harder to convince ICANN that this is something that is work funding.

Thanks everyone, and apologies for the eight minutes late, being late, but it's not as dire as usual so, quite pleased that we're finishing nearly on time. Have a very good evening everyone, and speak to you in July and for others who are traveling to Marrakech, see you in Marrakech. Bye-bye.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you, Olivier. Thank you, everyone. Bye-bye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Thank you all for joining the call. This meeting is now adjourned. Please remember to disconnect your lines. Thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]