
CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Good morning, good afternoon and good evening to everyone, welcome to the ALAC Monthly Teleconference Call on Monday the 28th May, 2019 at 1600 UTC. On the call today we have, from the African Region we have Seun Ojedeji and Tijani Ben Jemaa, from AFRALO we have Maureen Hilyard, from EURALO we have Sebastien Bachollet, LACRALO we have Ricardo Holmquist, NARLO we have Javier Rua-Jovet. The Liaisons we have Andrei Kolesnikov, Barrack Otieno, Cheryl Langdon-Orr and Yrjo Lansipuro; and Bastiaan Goslings also just joined as well, welcome Bastiaan.

On the English Chanel the participants we have are Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Adrian Schmidt, Haroun Cherif, Abdoalmonem Galila, Diego Acosta, Vanda Scartezini, Glenn McKnight, Jan Katambi, Abdulkarim Oloyede, Leon Sanchez, Dev Anand Teelucksingh. On the Spanish Chanel we have Sergio Salinas Porto and Alberto Soto. We currently do not have anybody on French or Russian, we're trying to reach out to Ibtissam, we're still trying to get ahold of him. We have received apologies from Holly Raiche, Marita Moll, Jose Lebron.

From Staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Gisella Gruner, Evin Erdogdu, Yesim Nazlar and myself, Claudia Ruiz, on call management. Our Interpreters for today on the Spanish Chanel are Paula and Claudia. From French, Aurelie and Jacques. From Russian, Yuilya and Maya. Before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes and also so the interpreter can identify you on the other language channels. We also

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

have Glenn McKnight on the English Channel. Thank you all very much and I turn it over to you Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you very much Claudia. Welcome everyone, good morning, good afternoon and good evening wherever you may be. First of all, going over the agenda which is pretty packed today. Great to see a good group here. In the standing agenda items of course, we had our policy and membership updates, as well as liaison and RALO chair reports if anyone has anything that they like to raise.

In the discussion section we're going to be looking at the appointment of the CSC Liaison position that we've recently made. There's going to be a brief update on the ATLAS 3 and At-Large Review. Of course, we had our Board Member Leon Sanchez with us and very welcome to have you Leon. Then we'll go onto the planning that we've been working on with the great support of Gisella on ICANN 65. Then Heidi will finish off with some news about elections and the AVR.

At this particular point and time is there any other business that anyone would like to raise, speak up because I can't ...? Nothing. Okay, we can adopt this agenda and start moving with it. We'll start with the review of the action items. I note that there was only a couple of items and they were both dealt with from the last meeting. Nothing further on that I don't think. We can then move onto the policy items.

EVIN ERDOGDU:

Thank you, Maureen. On the agenda you'll see the two comments that were recently ratified by the ALAC, those being the proposed renewal of .Asia registry agreement and the ALAC statement on registry agreement renewals .org .bus and .info and the executive summaries are also posted to the agenda as well as the executive summary page.

There are two public comments for decision, which opened recently. The first is evolving the governance of the root server's system and this public comment closes on the 9th of August. The second being the study on the technical use of root zone label generation rules, which closes on the 30th of June and usually is not a comment they like comments on. There are two current statements being developed and the CPWG will discuss them tomorrow on their call.

The first is evolving ICANN multi stakeholder model and there currently posted and call for comments has been requested, there's Goggle Doc for commenting, which is also linked to the agenda, all comments are welcome. The second statement being developed is process proposal for streamlining organizational reviews and the CPWG also hopes to have a penholder volunteer for that sometime tomorrow. Also, the ALAC may decide -- someone on the ALAC may decide they'd like to contribute to this as well. I'll turn it over to either Jonathan or Olivier, if they'd like to make any comments or if anyone would like to discuss the public comments for decision as well. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Any hands up? No, can't see any there. No questions, no query, no additional comments? Okay. No further comment on that. I assume

that people will be attending the CPWG meeting tomorrow to discuss those two issues.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much, Maureen. I don't know what's happening to my Zoom, it doesn't want to start, I probably have to reboot the computer. I was just going to add; we're going to go to more details tomorrow for all of the statements that are reaching the final conclusion. The one that Marita has put together is going to be discussed in detail, with all of the final points. I just wanted to -- this is an ALAC call and not everyone on the ALAC is on the Consolidated Policy Working Group, I just wanted to recognized all the penholders that keep on getting this group to move forward. It's really good to see so much engagement.

I do invite those people that are not part of the Consolidated Policy Working Group to even just to tend that call tomorrow, as you'll see in action how we reach consensus on some of the points that have been discussed in the past few weeks. That's all, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Olivier. Any other comments? Let's move on then to Evin again.

EVIN ERDOGDU: Thank you, Maureen, thank you Olivier. Review of current ALAC and Individual Member Applications. We have two new ALS's since the last ALAC Monthly and they're both from the AFRALO region. They're listed on the agenda there. We currently have three awaiting advice and

several in due diligence as well, which are all noted on the agenda. For individuals we've had a quite a bit of activity. We now have a total of 109 individuals, the most recently certified, there three from AFRALO, one APRALO and nine LACRALO observers added. LACRALO is developing their individual acceptance procedures, they're right now only accepting observers.

On that note, the AFRALO observers have been requested to formally join as individual members, since those observers were there from before AFRALO had finalized their acceptance procedures. There's currently one other application, actually two as of an hour ago for AFRALO individual memberships. And Mili Semlani was elected as the APRALO individual representative and Alan Greenberg will remain as the NARALO individual representative this year. Thanks, I think that's all from my end.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Evin. Are there any questions or queries about membership issues?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Maureen. Just noticing that it mentions in there that BIC Lab has changed -- it says here, BIC Lab is now EURALO waiting region feedback changed to EURALO, I have not received any details about this, speaking of the chair of EURALO.

EVIN ERDOGDU: Sorry for the confusion on the agenda, this actually for the GSE Teams feedback, by regional it should be more specific, it should say, GSE for feedback, which a few of those are waiting for ICANN Global Stakeholder VP feedback. When that's received, it will be sent to EURALO for feedback.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Great, thank you for that clarification Evin. No other questions or queries? Very good. We'll go onto the reports section and as per usual, unless someone has got some burning issue that they would like to report to the ALAC meeting for the report it will be online and so the liaisons and the RALO chairs, naturally, if anybody would like to read their report, they'll be in the At-Large Report section of the special chart that we actually have on our At-Large pages.

YRJO LANSIPURO: Thank you, Maureen. Just to note that tomorrow, Wednesday 29th, at 1600 UTC, we have ALAC GAC Leadership call to agree on the agenda of our joint meeting in Marrakesh.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Great, thank you very much Yrjo. I see Sergio has his hand up as well. Is Sergio connected to the call?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Maureen, one moment please, he is on the Spanish Chanel, it appears the interpreters cannot hear him speaking.

SERGIO SALINAS: I just want to make some comments. First of all, there has been a significant inspect on individual users in our region and perhaps there may be some other organizations joining, on the other hand as you know, regarding the election process, in the region we have organized the rest of the week we will submit our candidate nomination and we would like to be on time with the whole process. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I can hear a bit of static there. Not quite sure what was happening but yes, I did note that Sergio mentioned the election process, which is currently underway there. But I might have to get back to the text about the other bits that he mentioned. We actually have Andrei and Cheryl with their hands up. Andrei first.

ANDREI KOLESNIKOV: Hi everybody. There were a few letters, few correspondents which I should deliver to you. First of all, most of interesting come to my mind is, registration data services reporting, which I believe I shared with ALAC two weeks ago, something like that. There are very interesting discoveries in this report. The second correspondence was input to issues for consideration regarding the establishment of a standing panel of the independent review process, which is [inaudible]. The last one was a second review of [inaudible] assessment and implementation plan, [inaudible] SSAC review; it's an internal document getting SSAC in better shape.

As for the discussion vectors now, first of all it's an active phase for the preparation for the Marrakesh meeting. Second, it's an interesting discussion about what is actually DNS Abuse. Also, it's an ongoing discussion into work parties, one which is DOH, if you remember what it is, DNS over HTTPS [inaudible], and the second one is IUT impact on the DNS services. The document is almost done and as soon as the work is complete, I believe in Marrakesh, I will deliver it to you guys for your consideration. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Andrei. Yes, we are looking forward to our session with SSAC in Marrakesh. Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, Maureen. I did briefly type into the chat that the GNSO counsel is having an extraordinary meeting, in fact later today and then I realized you probably might even know what the extraordinary meeting is about, unless you're an avid reader of my updating page as a liaison. Today's extraordinary meeting is in fact to deal with two issues, primary amongst those is the GNSO Council discuss the ICANN Board recent action relation to the EPDP process on the temporary specification for gTLD registration data, where the Board didn't adopt two of the recommendations in full. I have to get triggered on the various ICANN bi-laws that have been reading outline with any of you that wished to jump onto my link and read it. We're also going to take 25 minutes to further discuss the IGO INGO issues as well. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Cheryl. Yes, of course, everyone will just back into those reports that people have been writing up, some interesting reading. Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you very much. I haven't looked at Cheryl's link so I suspect she goes into some detail but I think it's important to understand on this issue of the EPDP and the Board not accepting some of the recommendations. The Board doesn't have the right to unilaterally change things, but it does have to flag it for the GNSO for discussion and the GNSO is obliged to look at.

Now, the PDP rules are written presuming that by the time Board acts, the PDP group is dissolved and therefore the issue get raised with GNSO Council and the GNSO Council in a normal situation would probably have reconvene the PDP. In this case, we have situation where the PDP is still running because there were two phases and presumably the GNSO Council will refer to the PDP but that's not clear exactly how it's going to do this or even if it's going to do this.

It should be interesting but the important thing to recognize is, if the GNSO Council refers this to the PDP, that's going to completely alter our workplan because the workplan is currently now being presuming we are looking at what is in phase two, what was carried over from phase one, not to rethink things that were in phase one and finalized. This is likely to perturb the whole EPDP process as well as whatever is going to happen in the GNSO. Just a heads up and we should know more by the CPWG meeting tomorrow. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you very much for that, it's a great heads up.

BARRACK OTIENO: Thank you, chair. From the ccNSO, just a quick update from the meeting that was held on 25, May. That is the appointment of team to spearhead the IDN PDP Review Team. I think some of the members are actually participating on this call but in case there is anyone else that is interested in following the process, they'll be starting their work with immediate effect, otherwise for the rest of the updates, they are available on the wiki. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Barrack. I just wanted to make mention of something that's going to come up later on in ICANN 65 but it's relevant to here. One of the sessions, I think it's about 40 minutes or 30 minutes, sessions that we're going to have, is updates from the external working groups. Something that we don't impose on, we don't put it into our ordinary At-Large report but At-Large is involved in several external working groups and the Community may not be aware of this involvement and what inputs that we're actually having and influence in the outcomes of these particular working group activities.

The GNSO working groups that many At-Large members are participating in, for example the auction proceeds, one has been going for over a year and that's regular meetings and regular involvement by the At-Large Community. I think it's Monday about 2pm, there's a

session on the Empowered Community with Steven and then after that we'll be able to have some short five minute reports from a representative from each of those CCWG working groups, to just inform people about what is actually happening and how we're contributing to those working groups. That's just a side.

Moving on, if there are no more questions or queries related to the report section? Okay. Item number seven is to do with the replacement liaison to the Custom Standing Committee, which was vacated by Mohamed several months ago and we had to wait to find out how we actually went about replacing somebody in the middle of a term.

Anyway, we finally got the okay to do so and we put out several calls, which right up until the last hour, we weren't quite sure if anybody was actually interested but we did get one applicant and Holly Raiche very kindly put in an application, she's very well qualified for this position and amongst the other things that she's involved in, she was really interested in this particular role. She was the only applicant, so we're very fortunate to actually have someone that we can have representing us on this committee.

As the only applicant, the application went the Appointment Selection Committee and as there were no concerns or objections raised, according to our rules, she was able to be appointed to the position. This particular position, it's a little bit different, in that it's really an interim one, up to the end of Mohamed's three-year term, which was supposed to end in October of this year. At such time and Holly has agreed to open up the applications again in case someone else would be interested in taking up the role but if not, she would also be happy to

continue for the subsequent two-year term, which would be the normal process.

This is just a notification to ALAC and the Community that Holly Raiche is the ALAC liaison to the Customer Standing Committee and more information on that in the link somewhere. Are there any questions or queries on that? None.

Moving on to ATLAS 3, just a brief update for those who may not be aware. There are two groups that are working quite industriously in preparation the ATLAS 3 event in Montreal. The Leadership Development Team has been quite busy looking and of course, I have responsibility for that particular good. We're in the process of training the ATLAS 3 Application Selection Committee, they will be involved in assessing the final section of the application, where applicants can explain their involvement and contribution to the work of At-Large. One of the hard criteria was that they actually had been involved in At-Large in some capacity for a period of time. This section is for them to explain how they've been involved and the contributions that they've made.

Now, this training session involving the representatives from each of the RALO's, one representative from each RALO, has been appointed to this Selection Committee and the training session has also involved their writing and agreeing to the assessment criteria, which they will use to evaluate the applications in a standardized and consistent way. They're the ones, the Selection Committee themselves, have actually created the rubric which will be used to assess the applications.

We have our final meeting tomorrow, which we will go over those and for the fact that the applications will close on the 31st of May and Selection Committee will start their work the following week, doing the assessment. The final list of travelers of course, will be known by June 24th, which is of course, the start of the Marrakesh meeting. That's where we're at in that department.

Olivier or Eduardo, is there any update on the Program Working Group? Is Olivier not connected?

OLIVER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I said I was going to let Eduardo take this one but then I'm looking and I can't see Eduardo, maybe he is on the call, I don't know. I was just going to say, the program is coming along slowly, we've shared it. Vanda and Joanna, they've shared this over with their working group and we should be getting some feedback within the next week or so. I don't know whether, is Joanna on the call or not? I can't see Joanna either. They've sent -- Vanda is here, excellent.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes, we have received some suggestions for Dave, the consultant. Then we share with our group. The group responded some of the colleagues responded and Joanna was rewriting the comments they made to share with them and then share with the Leadership Group. I guess we will read for the next call. I guess we all agreed with the number one, that is the topic to Dave to explore and topic one was privacy and about -- what is the other word, I don't know but it's privacy related to security and all

those issues that we believe that we can explore many aspects of a leadership program using this background.

The idea is then given to Dave, this decision and after that, wait for Dave to give us back how they can fix the full week work and how to divide things and then the work will be delivered in this aspects on how we're going to deal with one aspect or other from the leadership, how we're going to divide during the week, the group itself, how about to deal with the language, those kinds of issues. I believe we make a lot of progress, involved all the group and get them feedback. Thank you, that's all, unless Joanna can add something else.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

I'm not quite sure that Joanna's here but thank you very much for that update. I am well aware that there's a lot of work going on in the Program working group. I see Alan and Tijani have their hands up.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you very much. Do we have a count of the number of applications we have so far for ATLAS? I know there are open for another three days and people may be waiting till end but I'm just wondering where we are now.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

I did hear, I think yesterday, I saw there was 39 but I would say that a lot of people are leaving it till the last minute to get all their information in.

ALAN GREENBERG: Nevertheless, since we're assuming that we're not blindly going to take everyone that applies, that's a big gap between that and what we're expecting. Maybe we need to send out some reminders in big block orders.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Alan.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much, Maureen. Sorry for the noise. I would like to say that for the program of the summit so far, we don't have any visibility on at least what will be inside. We don't know, we are at an advanced stage I think and normally ALAC should be updated on what kind of content will be in there because I am hearing that things are sharing in their groups, I don't know what groups the things are shared with, I presume that ALAC is the decision making in At-Large and should be updated on all the evolution of the program. If you can at least give us an overview of what will be inside this program, shall it be -- what will it be? I don't know, nothing is clear and I would like to know more. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Tijani. Olivier has his hand up.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Maureen. Let me use kitchen analogy, at the moment we have ingredients but we don't know what we're going to

cook yet in the working group, that's what's being discussed at present. I'm afraid we can't give you the menu. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I think we can all relate to that. I'm sure Tijani can too. I do know that there's a lot of discussion going on and it's into creating a --

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Just to add, of course the moment will have a first draft, then first it will be created in the working group itself, but immediately afterwards it will be shared with the wider and obviously, the ALAC has the last say on everything. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, what I wanted to say, is not to have a program, I am speaking about the ingredients as you call them. What will be inside? Yes, we don't have the menu but we know at least is the orientation? Shall it be a training summit? Shall it be discussion summit? We need to know. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Tijani, for your question.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Thank you. Just a quick answer to Tijani. Tijani, we agree with this [inaudible] that we should have a team to discuss and to use to the team to really go through aspects of leadership skills. That is the main

decision that we have so far. We are working to define the best solutions for the team to allow, explore. There are two aspects, training, exercise and leadership and writing skills, to make sure people can receive more input on how to better participate and become a leader in the ALAC At-Large group. That is the main focus of our work. I can share more with you if you want to. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JAMAA:

Thank you very much, Vanda, this is exactly what I wanted to know. It is clear that it will be more training exercise, more than a really summit because I don't see a summit of At-Large, where we don't have at the end, statements of the whole community of At-Large about the hot topics discussed in ICANN now. If it is only to train people to become leaders, let's call it an onboarding meeting, let's call it a capacity building meeting but not a summit. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Tijani. I think that what you've raised is probably a misunderstanding of what we had actually decided very, very, very, very early on in the piece about what we wanted to have as our major outcome of this particular summit, which is major gathering of our community. I've got -- Vanda, is your hand up again or I did have Alan's hand up first. Alan, first, thank you.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you very much. Tijani may be right that summit is a misnomer for what we're doing but we have spent 10 years driving that word into the

vernacular in At-Large and with the Board to get funding for it. I don't think we ever implied that it would only have a single purpose that matches and exact dictionary definition of summit. Yes, it may be misnomer but so be it.

We decided a very long time ago, that this particular At-Large summit was going to be an integral part of the At-Large Review Implementation, to try to conger up more active and useful workers and I don't think we can change that and I certainly don't think we can change the name right now. If that implies a slight conflict of terms, so be it. We really can't adjust that right now, nor should we change what we're planning to do because of the potential perception that there's something, that word is not quite the right one. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Alan. I think that's a very appropriate view of what it is that we aimed to try to achieve with this particular summit. Vanda, is your hand up again? Do you have something to add? Thank you. Okay, moving on from there because we're running a little bit short of time -- Sebastien has his hand up. Is this about the summit Sebastien, ATLAS 3?

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Sorry for the time to get my line. I think both interventions are important and agree with Alan, that the name is important and we need to keep it. I think we need all too listen to each other. What Tijani has said, it's an important point. I guess Maureen and others, you know my point of view about this summit but the fact that we are in trouble to

have three days before the end of the time to put the application, maybe a question that we can wait for after and do a debriefing on that.

I hope that the people who will be in charge of selecting people will be open and at the end of the day, we will have at least 60 participants in the summit because if not, I guess it will be a shame for us, as we are not able to gather people. The fact that the question of what will be done during the summit, it's also important because we always say the people are -- some people are coming to summit or we're coming to the summit just to travel, to go shopping etc., it seems that this time we have people waiting for wider, will be coming to Montreal, therefore hoping the next three days we will have a lot of applications finalized.

Take that into account and I hope really during the summit, the so-called summit, let's call it summit, that we will be able not just to train people but also to ask them what they think about one point. Yes, we will not be 100 people travelling but if had all the already travelled, we will be locally participating and the NomCom participates, we will be a large number. I that we will be accepting all of it.

We need to try to find also a way to discuss in depth things and not just training because the training was done before and well done, part could be dedicated to that but I hope that it will be also dedicated to the future of the policy and future of the At-Large. Thank you for very much and so sorry for taking so long. Thank you.

MAURENN HILYARD:

That's fine, Sebastien, thank you. I think what was confirmed, the sorts of things that Vanda was saying, that they wanted to achieve within the

Program Working Group. Moving on then. We'll go to the At-Large Review Implementation. I'm going to pass this over to Cheryl because she has had a major role in putting where we're up to now, which is the progress report together. No doubt she will raise what she needs in order to fulfill the reporting requirements that we need to put into this report. Over to you, Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much, Maureen. Unexpected but perfectly happy to grab the ball that you're throwing in my direction. I have no idea if there's any material to display but if it is possible to display the Google Doc, which is the current state of the first interim report, the sixth monthly report that [inaudible] is required to give to the Organizational Effectiveness Committee, then that would be great, if not, I will adlib. I see Sebastien's hand is still hand up, is that ATLAS Review? Okay, thanks.

I just wanted to make sure if there was question, I got it to begin with. The [inaudible] work track has hopefully been beavering away since our last call last week, making sure that the individual topic dashboards and wiki pages are up to date, reflecting the percentages of progress on any of the implementations steps that they've managed to get through so far. Recognizing of course that in our initial detailed implementation plan, we had some start dates that for various reasons have needed to be shifted forward, human bandwidth and other things have been -- not everything started when it was planned at the beginning of this calendar year in January and so there's a few minor adjustments.

The whole purpose of having regular reporting is to show where there are those adjustments in the overall planning. What is particularly hardening is that we can in fact show progress of various degrees on all eight of the implementations plans for the eight issues and the recommendations that go with those. We will have one totally completed by the Marrakesh meeting and we will have, I think from memory and seeing that nothing is going to be displayed, you will simply have to imagine the pretty graphs that are in the report, that there are about four if not five that are between 30 and 50 or 60 percent complete and there are couple that are sitting in the sub 30 level. The report design is one that we're hoping will then act as very simple template for the future six monthly reporting, whereby the graphs are dynamic, so when the percentages change out of the dashboard, that each of the implementation sub plans, it will progress the graphs in the report and so we can then simply show how far we are long in implementations on each of the issues and what if any budget allocations have been spent in regards to that.

We do not need I believe, the ALAC approval for this report but we would certainly seek the ALAC to have not endorse it but to be aware of it, to have read it, to be comfortable with, to have the opportunity to make any edits, comments and suggestions and so to that end in the absence of having anything displayed on the shared screen, perhaps as an action item out of today, the link to the Google Doc with the current state of the report could be sent to the ALAC for comment only, not for edit but just for comment only before we tidy it up for early June distribution to the Organizational Effectiveness Committee. With that, I

think I can answer any questions Maureen but I think that's as updatable as I need to be, especially with actually no visual aids.

MAUREEN HIYARD:

Thank you. You did really well, thank you very much, Cheryl. There will be a meeting of the [inaudible] group tomorrow, to get the final updates, people will be putting them into their dashboard, so that we can finish off this report sometime next week, I think it's June, two weeks away or something.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORRD:

The idea was that we finish it off tomorrow with our self-imposed final draft date being the following day and that will leave a few days then for ALAC to have a look at it, so that they're well versed. It would be, dare I say, I hope an embarrassment to any ALAC member who was approached by a Board member or member of the Organizational Effectiveness Committee in Marrakesh who said to the ALAC member, "Interesting about item nine out of your [inaudible] report progressing so far." And the ALAC member being incapable of knowing what item nine even was. Reading the document for your own edification as well as for any useful input you may have to make on it.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you. No other questions or queries on that because we're going to talk about it tomorrow anyway. We're up to an update from our Board Director, Leon Sanchez.

LEON SANCHEZ:

Hello everyone, thank you for having me again. As you know we're heading for Marrakesh in three weeks' time or so and we will be holding a Board workshop as we do before every ICANN meeting. In this workshop we will have some items being discussed that I think are important and I would like to get your feedback on a couple of those items and I would like to take this opportunity to gather this feedback if I may, Maureen.

Some of the topics that we will be addressing or discussing during the Board workshop are the reviews and recommendations but the topic of prioritization and affordability. As you know we have been discussing with the Community and there is a session with the Community for ICANN 65 on the discussion of how to create a prioritization that is paired with affordability in terms of implementation for the different reviews and the reviews recommendations that are coming out of different reviews that are happening throughout the organization.

This is one topic that we will be discussing and I think it is very important because of course, from my mind it's been a moving picture, a live document that will need updated on a regular basis, as new recommendations come in and a budget is available for of course. This is one of the topics. Of course, I would love to hear what you think on this topic.

We are also going to have session to follow up with Brian Cote on the Evolution of the Governance Model, on the Multi Stakeholder Model with ICANN. As you know, there was a session with Brian Cote in Kobe and I think it was a very constructive session and there has been some follow up between Brian and members of the community on this topic

and we will follow up with Brain of course, to see how this discussion is evolving and to continue advancing the discussion and if possible, improvements to the Governance Model that we use within ICANN.

We're going to have two public sessions so far. One of the public sessions is about Fiscal Year 19 Priorities. This will be the closing session for us to update the Community on how did on our priorities in regard to fiscal year 19. This will be a 30-minute session. It will be happening on Saturday, 22, June. It will be led by Martin. We will also be discussing another topic that I would like to get your input on, the renewal of the registry agreements for .org .info and .Asia, as you know, there have been public comments in regard to these renewals and I would of course like to have a sense of what is your opinion on this potential renewal and the comments that have been published for public comment.

We will have a second public session which will be the Board meeting and this will happen Sunday, June 23rd. It will at 10am local time and it will go for about an hour. The agenda is not yet finalized, so I'm not able to provide you with details on that. Of course, I would invite you to join this public meeting and hear the discussions how the resolution was set.

After that there will be some committee meetings. As you know as chair of the BAMC, I am in charge of a meeting for this Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee and this will happen also on Sunday, June 23rd.

There is another topic that we will begin to discuss with the Board, which will be the next chair selection and I would also like to gather some input of you as to what are the characteristics or the skills or

whatever information you consider to be relevant that the next chair should have as skills and personality, etc.

With this Maureen, I would like to go back to you and of course, open the floor for questions and if you could provide any input on the topics that I just mentioned, it would be of great value to me. Thank you, very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Leon. I'm sure we'll be able to get some feedback back to you. Is there anyone who would like to add something at this particular point in time. Probably not, they're all thinking very hard Leon and they're going to be sending you -- Sebastien has got this hand up.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you very much, Maureen, and thank you Leon for your feedback. I see a big change in the Board, that's great. I think about the first topics, we have some comments, particularly on the [inaudible] maybe it's the best way to get feedback from At-Large. Concerning your last point, I would like to raise or to put to ideas, the first one, as you know and you know well because you are sharing, one of the reasons for that was to be disconnected with the US and particularly with US Government. It was strange for me that first Board after this was empty with the maximum possible people from US and I am not talking about North America, I am talking about US.

It's a very strange way, we give away from one hand and take by the other hand, therefore I would like you very much to take into account

the fact that the future of ICANN here and now, we must show some more independence about US and I know that one fill of the Board is coming from this country but I hope that the next chair will not be coming from US, not because I don't like them but because I think [inaudible] is important. If want to go ahead with ICANN, it will be a very good way to take -- having a US person, even if it's a good one and I am sure that there are good people, once again, I think it's important for the future of ICANN. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Sebastien. Leon, I think you can be assured that there will be comments coming to you on these issues that you've raised and thank you very much for being here and participating in our meeting, much appreciated.

I want to move very quickly because I do need to get this section done. Gisella is posed no doubt to explain what we've got in hand for ICANN 65. It might be beneficial for you take on to the Marrakesh meeting link, so that you can follow on with what she's mentioning, talking about.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

I'm sorry, I did need to say something here, regarding what Sebastien had mentioned. I apologize for a little bit late in putting my hand up. Well I totally understand the meaning of what Sebastien is basically saying, when it looks like for an international organization to have another US based chair might be the wrong image per se, I am quite concerned about statements like that, for the very reason that we if tomorrow say, we do not want to have an African chair or we don't want

to have a Chinese chair or we don't want to have a French chair, that would be complete discrimination and I'm not happy about this feeling of choosing someone based on their nationality.

I think it's -- you have to choose someone based on skills and you have to choose someone based on conflicts of interested and the point that I was making in the chat is, we want to have someone that does not have a conflict of interest. I don't care whether they come from earth or planet whatever, mars, as long as they are competent and that they're not conflicted in anyway and that we don't risk this conflict.

Now, with regards to the image of ICANN, well that's for the Board then to really make a choice as to what extent it is important for ICANN's image to be seen as an international organization but I wouldn't say that this is a primary objective. Thank you.

MAUREEN MILYARD:

Thank you, Olivier. I suspect that there would be comments made and I have two other hands up. If you can keep them short please because we do have to get these other items done too.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you very much. I too have a comment and different from the previous two. Although I understand what Sebastien is saying and I agree with what Olivier is saying, we've seen in the past, both in this organization and others that many of us have been affiliated with, that the chair of the Board had a massive impact of the direct and the tone of the organization. When you pick a chair, yes you have to worry about

optics, you have to worry about all sorts of things but you also have to worry about what they're going to do to the organization and with the organization and that has to be the paramount requirement. Thank you.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: I understand your point of view, both Olivier and Alan. We have two minutes to talk and then I pick one. I can take 10 minutes if you wish but I think of course there are many other issues but the image of this organization, it's really very, very important and even much more than anything else from my point of view. It's why I think we need to have diversity in the chairmanship and if you take the number of years US were chairing the Board, I think we are fortunate we have one from [inaudible] and one from Africa and maybe we can still have somebody from another country, from France and North America. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. I'm sure that Leon's really interested in getting everyone's comments, that would be really good. Sorry Gisella, can we come back to you to look at the program from ICANN 65.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thanks very much, Maureen. What I suggest we do is we're just going to run through the ICANN 65 meeting pages, running through from Monday 24th to Thursday 27th of June. We have over the past few weeks been working essentially the At-Large sessions, making sure that the titles are explicit in order to have them appearing on the main ICANN 65

schedule, so that people can plan their week accordingly as opposed to just leaving a very generic At-Large leadership session. We are still working on the agenda at this stage, the deadline for the agenda is the end of next week, hopefully be able to give you a little bit more insight into what we are preparing for ICANN 65 and what lies ahead.

Please note that the other general sessions have not yet been added to our wiki agendas, we're working on them this week, the final submissions have been made from all the groups, there are no doubt more sessions to appear. I haven't yet got an update on the overall ICANN schedule which is an internal document, however, I do use this to populate our agenda to give you a better overview of what we can expect.

[inaudible] through to Monday the 24th of June, starting off with the welcome coffee and then we have the At-Large Welcome to ICANN 65 and Policy Priorities. You'll be able to see on the agenda that we've already put details in of what's entails. For those who are not aware, the AFRALO will have 35 students attending from Rabat University; this has been organized by Aziz and Tijani and they will be joining us on Monday 24th and Tuesday 35th. They do have a couple of sessions on our wiki, these are closed sessions, for the students and we've put them orange for them to stand out. I will also add the link to their program on our wiki pages for you to see exactly what they're doing and where they're joining us.

As far as At-Large goes, we then go on to 10:30 to 12:00, we have the Joint NCUC At-Large Outreach Policy ICANN, again the agenda is work in progress and everything will be added there in due course. We have a

small working group which is the ALAC GAC Capacity Building Focus Group Planning Meeting, it has just been added there and it will be invitation only for those part of this focus group. We do have a lunch on Monday, this will be the At-Large Development of At-Large hot Topics Document.

We then go onto the At-Large Introduction to the Empowered Community and CCWG Reports, Maureen did mention this earlier on in the call. We'll be having Steven join us and then we will be joining on to the CCWG Reports and Services. We'll then go onto the At-Large Review and Summit 3 Updates and Reports from Regional Chairs, note the agenda there is still in progress.

The last session of the day is At-Large Outreach and Engagement Sub Committee Meeting. [Inaudible] will be held from 1800 to 1830. We then have one hour of community network and engagement, which will also be joined with AFRALO networking. We will have entertainment there and there is one hour of community cocktails, which is held during the policy forum actually every evening from 1830 to 1930, to allow everyone to engage in one area at a social event.

Going on to Tuesday. One Tuesday we start with the At-Large Policy Workshop, DNS Alternative Transport Protocols. My apology, I haven't checked if there any hands raised, so please let me know, I'm trying to work off two screens here. We then at the same time have the AFRALO students who have their session and they will then go onto the joint AFRALO African meeting for the second half of the morning. The afternoon will be an At-Large Capacity Building Workshop, the Introduction to Policy Development at ICANN. We then have the two --

actually here the HIP session, which is DNS HTTPS and the Future of Multi Stakeholder Model of Government. The evening again, a one-hour community networking cocktail and followed by details to be confirmed.

Going on to Wednesday. Wednesday, we start with the At-Large Universal Acceptance, kick off the session with John Laprise will be running. Going on to the ALAC and GAC meeting. There's a little bit of flexibility here, as it's currently scheduled for 30 minutes, the ALAC and GAC meeting but if we do run over, we've allowed for not only the meeting run over but also to allow for the transition from the GAC room back to the ALAC meeting room and they are two sets of buildings. We'll then have a 30-minute session which is yet to filled.

We have a lunch session that day, which will be an At-Large Capacity Building session, Current Issues in Cybersecurity. In the afternoon At-Large Regional Leader Meeting, which we have at all our ICANN public meetings, followed by an Introduction to ATRT 3, a 30-minute session there which is still be confirmed but we have a couple of topics which we will be inserting there. We end the day with an At-Large workshop on the [inaudible] will be joining us, gain, ending the day on community network and cocktails.

Now, on Wednesday, we are planning an At-Large dinner, we haven't yet been given details, as we're finalizing the logistics for this. The menu, the pricing, the payment details, everything that goes into organizing one of these dinners. In the next 24 hours you'll be receiving and RSVP for Wednesday, 26th of June for an At-Large dinner, it will be held at the same restaurant we did last time, which is onsite [inaudible].

Going onto Thursday, that's pretty much what we call our wrap up day. We will have the At-Large Leadership Wrap Up of ICANN 65, followed by the At-Large Policy Debrief, what impacted At-Large this week. That follows on from the Monday morning session, where Jonathan will be briefing us on the Policy Discussion Talking Points, this is to follow up on the Monday session to wrap it up. We have the afternoon session with At-Large Review of ICANN 65 and Planning for ICANN 66, which went well last time. We then have two cross community sessions in the afternoon, which are listed here under community wrap.

Just in the interest of time, that's all from me and I will now hand it over to Maureen. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you very much for that Gisella, that gives you all an overview of what will be happening for us in Marrakesh. I do want to thank all those, Jonathan in particular because he has got a big chunk of our At-Large sessions, really pushing the policy development. I really do appreciate that people have put their hands up and are taking sessions which are going to be interactive and interesting. If the last meeting was anything to go by, it will make it interesting to be part of those groups. Are there any questions or queries at this point in time?

I guess there is still work to be done with regards to getting the agendas a little bit better organized and there's some descriptions. The descriptions given for each of the sessions really did attract a lot attention, we did have quite a few participants coming who would probably would not have been there had it not been for a little bit more

explanation about what it was that we were doing and they found it interesting enough to join us, which was great.

If there are no questions about, we go on Tijani to give us a brief run down on the AFRALO activities.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you, Maureen. As Gisella just said, we will have students from Rabat University, to come to our meeting and to be with us for two days, Monday and Tuesday. They will arrive on Sunday and they will come to the venue on Monday morning to have a welcome coffee and then same time, make the registration of the students. After that, you will have a session of one hour and 15 minutes of briefing with the students about the activities during the ICANN meeting. Also, a briefing about the local community engagement on Tuesday at Marrakesh University.

Finally, the breakout into small groups, physically done there and designation of mentors. Each small group will have a mentor and they will follow them to the end of the two days. After that, the afternoon we will have another session with the students to give them the program for each group, so that the group will try to navigate inside the meeting of ICANN to see what the ICANN, how things are going on. Of course, each group will make a report after their attending of the meetings.

On Tuesday, we will have in the morning a briefing on the next gen and the fellowship program by Siranush for almost one hour, one hour and 15 minutes for the students. They will be more informed about the next gen program because they are students in the field of technology, so

they can apply and they become next gen members. The afternoon we will go to the Marrakesh University and have full afternoon discussion with students and teacher about everything they will discuss, especially everything related to the ICANN mission of course. You know that every time you want to speak with people about the internet, they speak about content, etc., so we will try to limit all the discussions about the unique identifiers, about the mission of ICANN, not go out of the mission of ICANN.

This is almost all about the special activities that we will have in Marrakesh with those students. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Tijani, sounds great. Next, we have Outreach and Engagement Activities. I know Olivier has already mentioned the [inaudible] unless you'd like to raise something else, Olivier, but also for Daniel to mention what's important for the Outreach Engagement.

DANIEL NANGHAKA:

The activities have been mentioned but I would want to repeat some of the activities that have been mentioned by Tijani. That is that shows great progress of what is happening regarding Outreach and Engagement. Also, leads into the respective strategy of reaching out to student's universities that they can better understand ICANN. Also, I would like to highlight we're going to be having an Outreach and Engagement face to face meeting, whereby we shall the different co-chairs present their updated versions of the objective of their respective RALO original strategies.

Also, I am going to add for the different representative of the different regions, to notify their respective RALO leaders, that the deadline for approval of their strategies has been set to the 17th of June. The final presentation will be happening in Marrakesh. A part of that, I'm also happy share that Olivier is also working on the respective NCUC At-Large Outreach, probably Olivier can expand more on that.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Daniel. I think Olivier has mentioned that earlier, probably as part of the program. Can we just move on? Is there anything else you want to add quickly?

DANIEL NANGHAKA: I think that is pretty much everything. Looking forward to have a good engagement and outreach session.

MAUREEN HLYARD: Olivier has got his hand up.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Maureen. I think I was double muted or something. I managed to totally mute myself so much I couldn't speak about it. Thanks for this Daniel. There's a lot of course going on in preparation for this, we're still in early age or early time for it. We'll see. I think that there's plenty to do regarding the outreach in Marrakesh, there's plenty to do regarding the outreach. I think I might have lost the track here. Are we already with in what's going on for Paris, for the IGF?

MAUREEN HILYARD: No, we're not on Paris.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Not Paris, Berlin.

MAUREEN HILYARD: You're very confused Olivier, it's okay. I'll just stop you now before you put another foot in the mouth. That's okay, you're forgiven.

DANIEL NANGHAKA: The booth in Marrakesh is going to be shared together with ICANN information. So probably a booth [inaudible] has been setup and shared I think in the link; Lynn has shared the link. For those who will be available they can be able to stand up respectively during their free time.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Closed, outreach and engagement. Gisella, do you have anything to add to the social events? I know you already mentioned them already.

GISELLA GRUBER: Nothing more to add, thank you, at this stage, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you very much. I'm going to have to close the discussion now on the ICANN 65 because we're already over our time. We've got two --

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I am sorry. I forget to speak about the booth. As AFRALO, we are committed to have one AFRALO member in the booth for the breaks, all the breaks. We have now a spreadsheet for people to sign up if they want to help, if they want to be in the booth during this meeting. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: That's great, I hope people do volunteer to spend some time at the booth promoting At-Large of course. Heidi, can you do your last two things in two minutes?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, very quickly. Just wanted to mention where we are with the 2019 At-Large Elections. As you may realize, we have four of the RALO's, all except LACRALO that are at the end of their process. All four of the RALO's that did run their elections had at least one position that was contested, so they are not all currently in elections, ending Thursday the 30th. We'll know more at that point.

I can announce that we do have two new confirmed ALAC members and those Maureen, who has been returned and we also a new one in EURALO and that is Matthias Hudobnik, and he will be replacing Sebastien, who is going to be the new EURALO Chair. We'll keep all the

other positions for when we announce all of the winners later this week. Any questions?

The next item would be going into fiscal year 20, again keep in mind that begins first of July, we're almost at the end of fiscal year 19. Staff has set up the fiscal year 20 additional budget requests implementation work page. I'm just putting that into the chat. ALAC submitted I believe four additional budget requests, two of which were approved, one is for the RALO discretionary funding. The request was for \$30,000, \$20,000 was approved.

The current amount in fiscal year 19 and we have already spoken with the RALO leaders, the regional leaders about that, congratulations on that. The other additional budget request was for RTP, Real Time Transcription and that was approved for up to 10 hours per month for At-Large and Judith will be leading that with Staff. I know that there is call being planned in the near future to discuss that.

The two others that were not approved, three others I believe. One was for a Armenian IDN workshop, hopefully GSG might be able to cover that one. Another one was for Policy Advice Writing Course, put in by Glenn, however I think that there might some funding from ATLAS for something similar to that, either prior to or during, most likely after ATLAS for people to take part. That will be very much community driven course. LACRALO had an event put in for capacity building and I'm hopeful that GSG in that area will be able to help them a little bit on that one. So that's where we are on that. Thank you.

MAURENN HILYARD: Thank you, Heidi. That's rounds off our meeting. I'm very appreciative of the fact that I didn't realize that at one stage 58 people on this call. Very impressed, very impressive. Thank you very much for your participation. There's been some great chats going on. Also, thank you very much for your contribution to the call today. I hope you all have a really great day, morning, evening, wherever you are. Enjoy your day and thank you again. Bye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Thank you all for joining the call, this meeting is now adjourned. Please remember to disconnect your lines. Thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]