
GISELLA GRUBER: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. Welcome to the ICANN 65 planning committee call on Wednesday the 8th of May at 19:00 UTC. On today's call, we have Maureen Hilyard, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Joanna Kulesza, Jonathan Zuck.

Apologies noted from John Laprise. From staff, we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Gisella Gruber.

If I can please remind everyone to state their names when speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much. [inaudible] hand it over to you, Maureen. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, everyone. Yeah, it's probably not going to be a long meeting today. As you can see, [Gisella has] still not been able to get the public version of the block schedule, so that hasn't been finalized yet. So I think what we have to do is work on what we've got, and probably just looking at perhaps the possibility of the seven HTI sessions being reduced to four or possibly five. That will give us a bit more time to spend on things that we need to do in this very short time frame.

Gisella, do you want to go over anything to do with the block schedule for a start?

GISELLA GRUBER: Yes. Thank you, Maureen. Can you all see the block schedule?

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Yes.

GISELLA GRUBER:

Wonderful. I'm just checking. It's my first time with – I only have a single screen, so I'm managing the call, and I'm hoping that everyone can see what I can see.

But here we have the block schedule which was sent literally under an hour ago, and it has not yet been made public. But I thought it would at least assist us in getting this meeting moving forward and knowing what we're dealing with.

I think the main changes are the welcome coffee on Monday morning from 8:00 to 8:30. We can then have an early start, and then no further changes in the day until we hit the second afternoon session.

So the cross-community HIT session on Monday is likely to be cancelled. However, that session as well as the last session on Wednesday are the two options, should we have a fifth high-interest topic cross-community session.

We currently have four, which are the darker orange ones, the two on Tuesday and the two on Thursday. And as I said, session one or five will be the alternate for the fifth session, should they decide to have one.

We end Monday with the multi-stakeholder Ethos award running from 18:00 to 18:30. And I believe there may be a general meeting running from 17:00 to 18:00, but I'm not sure which one yet. So you'll see that in our draft schedule, I kept it as a slot not to use, because I'm thinking that it may be a session that our session before the Ethos awards will be

of interest to everyone. And if not, it would only be a 45-minute session to us as we would then need to transition through to the main hall for the Ethos awards.

On Tuesday, no changes from the previous one. On Wednesday, again, we've got the two lighter orange HIT sessions, cross-community sessions, and then on Thursday, the last two are the ones that we are holding.

So I have all this already on our draft schedule, so I'm just going to check if there are any questions in the chat. Yes, hot off the press. Unless there are any questions – I don't see any hands raised – I will now show what I have on our schedule. Just bear with me for a second while I bring this up.

I'll bring up the draft schedule, just bear with me for a second. There we go. So, Maureen, are you okay just for me to run through what we have on our schedule? And then we can go into detail.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes. Please.

GISELLA GRUBER: Wonderful. Thank you. Sunday the 23rd, SO/AC chairs roundtable followed by the reception, no changes there.

Monday, what I've put is the welcome coffee from 8:00 to 8:30, transition 8:30 to 8:45, and I thought we could then start at 8:45 with our session running through to 10:15, which would be a 90-minute

session. We have already secured the ATRT3 session, which will be running from 9:15 to [inaudible].

So as it stands now, we've got a 30-minute welcome, introduction to the week, Maureen, to lay the land for the week, and then we will go straight into the ATRT3.

And running parallel, I know we're going to be dealing with the AFRALO events later, but I just thought that I'd put it there just for your information. Students will be having an introductory session with the AFRALO leaders.

So again, they will make sure to let you know who is attending the AFRALO student session to make sure that they're not missing anything or at least we've got part of them in our introduction session.

We've got the second slot in the morning is the joint outreach session. That seems to have been secured by everyone for At-Large and NCUC, and unless I hear otherwise, I take it is a nonconflicting session as it's only a 90-minute session.

Please note that as we're going through the schedule, you'll see in the GNSO column I've added all the PDPs that we're having. So we can then also decide who will be attending those PDPs who will not be in our sessions at the time.

Continuing with our day, we have a working lunch to be confirmed. As I said, we'll confirm with the catering, so that 60-minute slot if we can still keep it as a TBC for now.

In the afternoon, we've got the ALAC and regional leaders working session for 90 minutes, followed by the ALAC and regional leaders – another 90-minute session, bearing in mind that this session may be the one used for the fifth HIT cross-community session. so we can schedule something there, and we'll hopefully know by the end of this week whether we have a fifth cross-community session or not. And as Cheryl pointed out, hopefully four will be enough.

I've also kept the last slot from 17:00 to 18:30, as I mentioned earlier when we were going through the block schedule, as a non-ALAC session, the Ethos awards ceremony, which is meant to run from 18:00 to 18:30, but I do think that there will be something slipped in before that which may be of interest to everyone. And if not, we can always find a 45-minute session to slot in there.

What I will likely be doing – and I'll confirm that with Tanzania – is to submit a meeting request for 45 minutes to make sure that should that session not be of interest to At-Large, that we've always got option to sneak off and to continue our work.

In the evening, we've got the joint community cocktail and AFRALO networking event. The GNSO have their council dinner, I believe, that evening.

On Tuesday, John Laprise has requested a social media breakfast. We'll then start at 8:30 running through to 10:15 for a 105-minute session. and again, for your information, just on the right, the students will have a presentation on fellows, NextGen by Siranush and Megan NextGen

and fellows won't be with them, it will literally just be the students having a presentation by those two people.

Then we have the joint AFRALO-AfrICANN meeting running from 10:30 to 12:00, which is moved from Wednesday to Tuesday to allow the AFRALO students to attend the session. Also, note for discussion that the GAC have offered us the 11:00 to 11:30 slot, which is only 30 minutes, and Yrjö has said he would really like to push this to 45 minutes, which then also puts a spanner in the wheels as to, do we have the GAC and ALAC session conflicting with the joint AFRALO-AfrICANN meeting, which is absolutely possible, it's not even in the same meeting room, so there's no problem with regards to meeting room space, it's just to know, A, whether we'd like to have it conflicting, or B, there's also an option to have the GAC and ALAC session on Tuesday afternoon.

But let me just run through this again, and then Maureen, we can maybe open it up to start working on these points that I've mentioned here.

The afternoon is an ALAC and regional leaders working session for 90 minutes followed by the HIT cross-community sessions, followed by 60-minute community networking cocktail, and [inaudible] very much looking forward to that.

On Wednesday, we start again at 8:30. Again, if you find that a too early start, we can start with a 09:00 slot. I just thought we would make the most of a 08:30 slot and have a 105 minutes to work in the morning. Again, followed by a 90-minute working session, lunch break, and there we've put the At-Large regional leaders as a 90-minute session. I've just

put TBC. I'm happy to move it anywhere, but at least we have it on the schedule, which is important.

Again, an ALAC and regional leaders meeting, which is – my apologies, that is incorrect. That's not a 105 minutes, it's 90 minutes. And the last session on Wednesday, again, has been penciled in for a possible fifth HIT cross-community session. I think that the GAC will probably say no to that because they're working on their communique. But until we have the final block schedule and the final number of HIT and cross-community session – final list of topics, I'll just keep it in red to make sure that we bear that in mind when we do our planning.

It's ending the day with another 60-minute community networking cocktail, and on Thursday, we have our wrap-up part one in the morning, followed by wrap-up part two. During the lunch, we have the ICANN 66 kickoff meeting, as well as the GNSO council wrap-up, and then in the afternoon, we've kept, as per Maureen's wish, the debrief and planning ICANN 66 session, which is a 90-minute session.

And again, note that the second slot with the GAC has suggested to have our 30-minute session, which may be a 45-minute session. You'll also note that the EPDP runs all day on Thursday, and all day on Tuesday.

Then we end on Thursday with the community wrap-up reception. Sorry, I forgot to mention on the Wednesday evening, we're working on having the At-Large dinner. So as we say, that's all from me. I would like to open it up to discussion on the GAC, please, because we do need to

get that into GAC support stuff so that we can start working on that. Heidi, I see you have your hand raised. Please.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yeah. Thank you, Gisella, for that excellent review of the schedule and the just in general schedule, block schedule. Gisella, how many hours do we have regular unscheduled ALAC and regional leaders sessions that we could use for session updates? Particularly policy issues, given that this is the policy forum. That's my first question.

And then secondly, Maureen, it might be useful if we could schedule already tentatively the sessions that we'd like to have with Cherine, Göran and León, and we may wish to do that together, just bring them in at the same time for 30 to 45 minutes or so for a session. Thank you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Yeah. Just wanted to mention too that Wednesday morning, it was just mentioned today that – it's noted there about the auction proceeds session that we're having, following up on the report that we're putting together. And Alan and I – in fact, the At-Large team that is on this committee, we're probably the best represented in that we've got the highest rate of attendance, surpassing GNSO at this [and we'll] completely understand if all the auction proceeds people actually want to go to that session.

I too wanted to have a look at what the availability of what was left to look at what we can – and we've got a list of things that we want to

squeeze in, and we need to confirm what people are wanting to do so that we can assign sufficient time, as Jonathan feels is appropriate, for the policy sessions, which were such a great success last time, and depending on – after evaluating what we did and how we did it, how Jonathan feels would be an appropriate way of implementing that.

So I think that what Gisella has done is actually really tried to sort of fit in, and using some of those HIT sessions, freeing those up. But I think too that for example the GAC-ALAC session – I certainly would not like to use the end of the week, doesn't seem to make much sense, if we could get the GAC-ALAC meeting earlier on in the week. And if – granted, I kind of appreciate that if we use another one of the AFRALO times, it means that for that particular AFRALO-AfrICANN session, most times for the GAC and ALAC meetings, it's generally the ALAC leadership team that is primarily involved, although we do invite the rest of the ALT Plus to attend the GAC session if we can.

But if they can give us 30 minutes then, I think that that would be a more appropriate time. It would certainly fit in, for me anyway, but I'm open to discussion. I see Jonathan's got his hand up.

JOANNA KULESZA:

Yes. Thanks, Maureen. I guess a couple of things I wanted to raise. One is that I agree with Maureen that these At-Large-GAC meetings aren't particularly productive unless there's something particular we're trying to report or do, and we were talking in our last call about doing a leadership to leadership meeting, a much smaller meeting rather than having the At-Large as a whole meet with the GAC as a whole. I don't

think that meeting was particularly useful in the last session, and that we could set a tighter agenda and get more done if you've got the ALAC leadership and the GAC leadership together strategizing about working together in the future or something like that. So that would be my recommendation, rather than trying to replicate what we did in the last meeting.

As far as policy, I think structurally, it would be very interesting that at the beginning of the week, we are going over the talking points, and also going over the schedule of what's going on in the meeting as a whole and take volunteers out into the world and participate in those other meetings. So [inaudible] a session like that to happen fairly early, [inaudible] people with ideas about things they might want to mention to the meetings that are going on around – since it's a policy meeting, we don't have to necessarily close our doors to have policy discussions. And then have some meetings towards the end where we hear back from these people about what happened in those meetings and whether their points were well-received, etc., and what follow-ups we might need to do. I'd love to look at the meeting somehow with this effort to get the regional leaders and other participants out into the meetings that are taking place around the building.

And as far as our own policy meetings with the EPDP meeting, it might be worth asking Hadia and Alan what they would like a discussion about prior to going in. One of the things that came up with the NCUC meeting in our last policy discussion was about law enforcement and how to understand – since we're trying to look forward and looked at a unified access model, how does that look, how do we actually make value judgments about who should get access to the data and who shouldn't?

That feels like it could be a pretty lively conversation inside the At-Large prior to the meeting of the EPDP. So those are just some thoughts.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Jonathan. This is exactly what this meeting's for. Just talking about the ALAC-GAC – and I've suggested the small group thing, and I have a colleague, Pua Hunter, who is on the GAC, and she's part of their capacity building team which is working with our capacity team, with Joanna in the lead, and when I mentioned we were just thinking we might just have a small group and provide some feedback within the small group, and she said that the request for the ALAC, from the large group, is that – she says that it's the large group that the request is coming from for ALAC participation, especially in light of the fact that their group is actually becoming involved with us within this capacity thing.

but they were really surprised for example in the meeting in Kobe when we were there – and I was late – that it was actually almost like a full house, and even the GAC leadership was surprised at the number of people who were there for the ALAC session. And they were really interested in what we had to say, and particularly with respect to the session on the introduction to the capacity building where Pua gave an introduction and then Joanna responded and other people [participated] in various ways.

So I guess it's sort of like finding out in discussion with Yrjö and the rest of the GAC team, we do need to have a session with them to find out what it is that they would like. And I know that they're planning another

intersessional group meeting with Joanna and the capacity building working group on looking at moving forward after their very first meeting which they had while I was traveling somewhere.

So Joanna, just on that, do you have any feedback or do you have any ideas? There is a capacity building session, which I've put Jonathan's name beside it because there was some discussion about that, but perhaps the capacity building session could be used with the GAC. I'm not quite sure what came out of – Pua did explain it to me, but from your perspective, is there anything that you see that would be something that could involve the GAC in that particular session?

JOANNA KULESZA:

Thank you, [Maureen.] I think it might make sense to use the opportunity in Marrakech to work [and plan] together with Jonathan. I'm happy to hear back from him on what the session should contain, but the initial ideas exchanged were very promising.

At the same time, I think it might make sense to use the opportunity in Marrakech to talk directly with Pua and her team and try to figure out what would be the best use that we could make out of this collaboration.

So the initial call we had was really promising. As I tried to note here in the chat box, we seem to have some GAC folks joining the webinars. GAC seems to be doing similar things to the ones that we are planning, and in that sense, it seems highly advisable to join forces. They might be willing to help us out with helping our community to understand how the GAC works. And on the other hand, there's been a high interest

from the GAC in following the work that we are doing. So my initial understanding would be that we might want to proceed with the capacity building sessions in Marrakech as initially discussed. I see Jonathan's hand up, but I'm happy to hear his feedback. And at the same time, to use the opportunity for the joint GAC meetings in Marrakech to try to figure out specifically what it is that we could do together in terms of capacity building. All the ideas that I took the opportunity to share during the call were well accepted, both in terms of the tangible resources, PDF files, PowerPoints, etc., as well as in terms of live online resources like webinars.

So that would be my take on that. I'm happy to answer questions and I'm happy to listen to Jonathan and your feedback. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

I do know that Pua recently sent out the message to the GAC members about the webinars [inaudible] and there was feedback coming back already from GAC members about interest in the webinars. So yes. That's going out there, and just letting them know what's available. But again, Jonathan, do you have any further to add to that? Or is it something else?

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Sure. Just before I forget, one of the session that we want to make sure we have on the schedule is John and Don's presentation to the regional leaders, tasking them with the universal access outreach experiment. So I want to make sure I keep mentioning that until it's hardened into the schedule. But it occurs to me that that's another possible area of

collaboration with the GAC. I think that they have a high interest in universal acceptance because of IDNs, and it's a very end-user-y issue, so it might be that figuring out how we can partner with them on that issue could be a point of discussion with the GAC as well.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah. [That sounds good.] I must admit, when I was actually looking at – because we had the UA topic in there before I think, and then it came up as a potential for the high-interest topic, so I think that we can still do it ourselves anyway, and we'll - [inaudible].

JONATHAN ZUCK: But remember, we're not discussing the substance of universal acceptance in our session, because we already did that. This is literally a presentation on how the At-Large immunity can be helpful on the topic through outreach, and republication of blogs and things like that. And then basically, having John assign responsibility to the regional leaders, to the RALOs and down to the ALSes, get them to get engaged on social media and elsewhere and track it, and how we'll track it and things like that, to show that the At-Large has this region [inaudible] community. So that's not about what is universal acceptance, etc. it's [inaudible] really how At-Large [inaudible] engaging in this outreach effort.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I sort of heard that. you were just starting to a get a bit strange in the connection. But I definitely hear that, and it's perfect, because this is that whole thing about it's not just that we're talking about it and it's a

HIT session, but in a more practical sense, how we're actually implementing ways in which – as the grass roots community, organization of ICANN to be implementing and using our human resource capacity within the regions to make it happen, make it work. And I'm sure that Don will be very appreciative of getting that support.

So yes, it's in there, so we'll have to put a big priority on that one.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Yeah, and I think it's as much about us as it is about universal acceptance. That's the part we don't want to lose sight of, because if we do this trial, we can use it elsewhere like we could have used it with the KSK rollover, etc. But once we have these lines of communication going and we see who's willing to participate, it can also be a feedback loop on policy, which is one of the other things that we're trying to address as part of our reform, which is interesting ways to get more input into our policy development process and things like that. So our UA session is in a way inconsequential that it's UA. It's an excuse to exercise our community muscle.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Definitely, and it adds to the sort of work as far as the At-Large review, I can sort of hear that it's going to be really valuable for Daniel's issue, that whole thing about outreach and the purpose of outreach. So that's an excellent [inaudible]. Yes, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So that was my thinking as well. So with this session, with AJ and Don, would that be during an ALAC and regional leaders session? Would that be a regional leaders session only, or would that be in the outreach and engagement subcommittee session?

JONATHAN ZUCK: I think it's with the regional leaders, because we really want to hold them accountable to –

MAUREEN HILYARD: [inaudible]

JONATHAN ZUCK: – passing responsibility down to the ALSes and then following up with them acting as managers of these ALSes to see, “Hey, have you done the thing that you said you would do yet? Can you reflect it back to me?” Etc., so that we've got this kind of tiered system of communication that we're trying to work out.

So I think it's John Laprise and Don Hollander making a presentation about what we'd like to see happen, and then John basically laying down the law and saying this is what we expect of the regional leaders to get the lines of communication open to the ALSes.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So John, we'll go ahead and propose that item for the agenda for the regional leaders meeting. I'm wondering also, this is the meeting where

they really need to make forward movement on their outreach strategies for the next year, fiscal year 20, and that's something –

JONATHAN ZUCK: No, that – I don't –

MAUREEN HILYARD: But that's not –

JONATHAN ZUCK: Sorry, we talked about this on the last call. This isn't meant to replace, this isn't meant to be the agenda of the regional leaders meeting.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Of course. No. It would be one item.

JONATHAN ZUCK: This is a meeting with them. No, it's a long thing. It's not on their agenda. I guess I misunderstood your question, because we'd already discussed this. They have their own agenda-building process. This is a meeting where we want them in a room with John and Don, separate from their regional leaders meeting.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Do you also want the ALAC leaders there? Some of the RALOs, their leadership team consists of the ALAC members and the regional leaders.

So I think this looks like something that would be one of our regular session where both ALAC and the regional leaders are there.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Sure. Definitely.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Heidi, can I just say one thing? This is to do with the At-Large working sessions, and I'm expecting ALAC members and anyone who's ALT Plus, anyone who's related to At-Large goes to these meetings anyway.

But just as Jonathan's saying, it's not an outreach meeting, it's not a regional leaders meeting, it's an ordinary working session which we want everybody there, and that includes the regional leaders in particular. And I know for a fact that like for example in some of our sessions, the regional leaders didn't come, but this is very specifically aimed at a way in which we can use and trial an outreach thing. I'm sure that Daniel will really look at pushing for this, but at the same time, it's a means towards getting better quality outreach, but looking at a specific purpose. And I really like it. So yeah. Anything more on that one? I think we've got it.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, I'll put the AI that I've captured in the chat just to confirm. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. [Yes, rampant agreement.] Where are we up to? Were we going through these topics? Oh, that's right, no, it started off from –

DANIEL NANGHAKA: Daniel [inaudible].

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry? Oh, Daniel's got his hand up. Okay, Daniel, go.

DANIEL NANGHAKA: What I wanted to say is that currently, we have still a challenge of hierarchy of [communication.] We have [inaudible] proper communication strategy of how we are going to communicate between the different RALOs or the different regional leaders. I think this would be something that would be considered for discussion, and also, a key point point [inaudible] communication strategy [inaudible] may come up in a [later] document.

As far as an outreach meeting [inaudible], this is a general view of how we can be able to enhance collaboration within the RALOs, how far have they gone with their strategies, [how] the regional leaders are contributing to the [inaudible], because one of the key challenges [inaudible] FY is that the regions don't have – [there's a rush for creating] strategies, and [inaudible] they cannot be able to apply for the respective outreach activities that take place.

So I think we should see how we can be able to capture this. Some of them may not come directly into the outreach meeting, but they could

probably come into the leadership meeting. That would be [inaudible].
Thank you. Back to you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. Sorry, I didn't quite get all of that, Daniel, but there was a bit of a static. I think it must have been on my side. But can you put a little note in the chat so we can keep the chat and so I get the full story of what it is that you said?

DANIEL NANGHAKA: Yeah, I wrote a few points in the chat. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah. Thank you. Appreciate that. Okay, one of the things that I wanted to point out is that some of these sessions, for example [inaudible] on the impact immunity, the ARIWG updates and the ATLAS – they're part of the update session that we will have. We'll probably have at least a couple ALAC sessions which look at process and that kind of stuff.

But I think that everything else is covered. But what I wanted to make sure about is that we do offer some opportunity for other – the policy sessions. But I just wanted to get an idea from Jonathan in relation to for example in the CCWG meeting tomorrow, I'd really like to get some confirmation from the group as to if you had to choose four, which four would it be, and of the suggestions that have come through already, and I was really pleased and surprised at the conversations that were started by people talking about the topics to take to the SO/AC chairs meeting.

But when it boils down to the policy sessions, what would you be aiming for as far as topics for – I really like the idea of what is being put forward to do with the UA, because it is something different, and we are actually targeting the people that we really should be making work for us in the region.

So how do we do the same thing for actual policy? How can we use the sessions to discuss policy but also to get that message out to the grass roots? Any ideas? Is Jonathan still with us?

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I am, sorry. I'm on a phone, so navigating the Zoom screen to get from chats back to the microphone is quite a process.

so I was trying to respond to Daniel in the chat and I was listening to your question. And I'm not sure I completely understand. I gave some ideas for what might be policy discussions. One of them has to do with how to identify people that would be good for access to undisclosed registrant data. So given that the next phase of the EP is about a mechanism for universal access – or unified, sorry, unified access model, there were concerns that were raised in our panel discussion about groups that we might think normally are easy to have be a part, like law enforcement. But there might not be. There's certain law enforcement areas where we don't want them to have that data because it gets misused. And how are we going to handle that? So that feels to me like it could be a good conversation both internal to the At-Large and potentially with the GAC if it's not too incendiary.

So those issues about how do we actually categorize the people, and the other one that's controversial within the At-Large, even though I don't think it should be, is like IP owners and stuff. So how do we categorize the people that should be able to use the unified access model and deal with bad actors and things like that? Feels like it could be an interesting discussion of policy to have internally.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Okay. And then you actually mentioned that feedback from regional leaders and that kind of stuff. Is that another session? I know that's something that I would include into the briefing session at the end of the week.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

That's what I was getting at, is that if we could bookend the conference with two internal sessions, the first one would be, "Hey, Bob, you need to go take these talking points and participate in the auction proceeds meeting." And then at the end of the week, "Bob, how did it go when you brought up the talking points? How did people respond? What support do you need? What follow-up is necessary?"

So that's what I meant by feedback, is that we send people out into the world to try to represent the At-Large, to speak in the name of the At-Large, and then we want to find out how that went and how it could be better, and what follow-up we need to do. So that's why there would be two sessions, one very early on in the conference and one quite late in the conference so we could have these bookends to kind of understand how participation in the meeting went, because this meeting being a

policy meeting means that having – and I know Cheryl would be happy to hear me saying this, is that actually having folks out there engaged in the pd processes that are happening at the policy meeting could also be powerful, and if we empower and educate the At-Large participants to be real participants in the process and speak on behalf of consensus in the At-Large, then we’re a more credible organization within the community as well. So that’s what I was getting at when I was talking about feedback.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Alright. That’s good. Were you contemplating starting the week with a session on the talking points, or you want to do that before we go? Or how do you see that?

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I want to socialize the talking points before we go, but maybe have a session where we’re getting volunteers, or getting people kind of psyched up to go participate in the meeting. We can try to get as much of that done before we go, but I feel like it would probably still be worth having a face-to-face meeting where we’re pointing at people, looking them in the eye and saying, “You’re going to this session, and at the end of the week, [inaudible] hear back from you on how it went.”

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Right. What kind of length of session do you want? So we know whether we give you a session that’s an appropriate time. 90 minutes?

JONATHAN ZUCK: Yeah. I think it could be 60 or 90 minutes, either one probably.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. Right. Gisella [will have that written down for me.]

JONATHAN ZUCK: I don't have a lot of faith in people doing their homework, so the extent to which we can get people spun up during this meeting, it would probably help us.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Right. Okay. So you will have those talking points prepared in draft form, and then present it, and then they can fill in the gaps.

JONATHAN ZUCK: That's right. And I think we'll actually talk about them prior to the meeting, this month. Once we know – so Evin and I have been having this conversation about knowing what policy discussions are going to be taking place during the meeting, and having that nailed down, whether it's the multi-stakeholder stuff, the EPDP, auction proceeds, etc., then we'll make the talking points about the discussions that are going to be taking place at the meeting, and we'll socialize those in the CPWG and then mail them out to everyone, but then we'll probably go over them again in this early discussion. That's what I envision, I guess, to be the most effective.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah, I think that's a great idea.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Alright.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, so I think we've covered all the bases here. Do I have people with their hand up that I'm missing? Yes, we have. Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Oh, you do. You've got Heidi and me. Heidi may as well go first. I've been incredibly patient for most of the call, so no need for me to change now.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. Make the most of it, Heidi. Go for it.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you. As my new Mac has just gone with a black screen. But Jonathan, can you just double check the text that I put into the chat? Those were basically the action items for the session, basically reviewing and assigning action items, talking points, and then at the end, just having a feedback and evaluation on how they did and what could be done better, etc.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Yes. When I make my way back over to the chat, I will take a look at that.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: I can't look at everything at once on the phone, unfortunately. But I'll go look at it.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Is that it, Heidi? Can I hand it over to Cheryl?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. Sure.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Excellent. Thank you very much. Thank you, Maureen. Yes, Jonathan's correct. One of the reasons I put my hand up earlier was I was going to encourage this radical concept of actually getting people out in the sessions which really do the policy, novel as that may be. So he has my absolute support on that approach.

The other reason I've put my hand up is to share with you something that may help you manage your ridiculously large number of things that need far too many minutes than you have available. Certainly, you're going to have anyone stick their nose outside a room that is labeled

ALAC-At-Large leaders, just add it up, you're going to be there for another two days.

The GNSO – and I mean, after all, they're running policy – and under normal circumstance, as the managers of the PDP process, one of the long-standing and traditional things they've liked to do is have updates for the GNSO council on where policy is going, what's happening with the various PDPs.

They're not having any of those meetings during Marrakech, because there isn't time to do it. We're doing pre-Marrakech webinars to brief the GNSO council on updates. And I would strongly encourage you to consider the same as a benefit to some of your time management.

ATLAS, ARIWG, other sorts of updates, why are you wasting valuable face-to-face time at these very compressed meetings in particular when you've got all this other great stuff that could be being done and is best leveraged face-to-face?

Updating all of this other stuff, honestly, can be done on a single-purpose call or a specific webinar. Certainly, that's something that GNSO's found the wisdom of. Just saying.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Yes. An idea. In fact, I always [start] putting things together, [that'll probably be the first draft.] But it was mentioned, and so I put them into the list.

Oh my gosh. [inaudible] it was going to be a short meeting and we're nearly at the end. I think we've gone through the list and we've got

some great ideas coming through, and it just means that we'll be able to start slotting some things into the various sessions.

We are going to need another meeting sometime soon. The meeting forms are due – I think it's the 16th now, isn't it, Gisella? We've been given some extension. Considering that we haven't had the block schedule finalized yet, we've been given some extra time. Gisella.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yes. Thank you, Maureen. The forms were due in by this Friday, but we've been given an extension for next Thursday as we don't have the final block schedule yet. However, we need to really submit, and other groups have started submitting the sessions that they know will take place, and then we can rejig.

We've got then until the 24th to finalize our sessions, and by that, I'd like to say that we need the overviews, so that is the description of the session, as well as the title – or I should say first the title and then the description. And then with regards to tweaking the agenda and speakers, etc., whatever is not published on the main schedule on the website, we can still continue tweaking internally and publish it on our Wiki pages.

But yes, next steps by Thursday the 16th. All the sessions need to be in. So what I wanted to suggest, as I said earlier, is I will already submit them as ALAC and At-Large regional leaders working sessions. The joint outreach session, joint AFRALO-AfrICANN meeting, the At-Large regional leaders session, and in that way, I know that our room is secured at all times.

I don't know if you would also like me – I think that we'll have time, once we know what the HIT cross-community sessions are, if we feel that we still need our meeting room for whatever reason, I'll still be able to [block it in,] but just let me know if that's suitable to all.

I'd also just like a final on the GAC and ALAC meeting, as I know that GAC support staff are waiting for that.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Okay. So when you're talking about meeting forms, you're actually talking about each of the sessions and the sorts of information that we had to provide for each of those sessions, like as you say, the title –

GISELLA GRUBER:

Maureen, for now, if I just submit it as an ALAC and At-Large leaders working session, I've put in there – for each slot and each session for each slot, I submit a form, I put the title, that we need interpretation, transcription, etc., and then we've still got until the 24th to tweak the titles and the description. And I'll then follow up with everyone to make sure that we've got an overview for each session so that when people go into the ICANN schedule on the website and they click on last time we had policy discussions, it was great, Jonathan gave us the titles and a brief overview of what we're going to be discussing. People had more than just ALAC and regional leaders working sessions.

[They would then] have to go onto a Wiki page to click to see what was happening, but they had it all already on the main schedule, if it's possible by the 24th of May.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes. And as you said before, having that information there and available to everybody on that main schedule really did make a difference to the number of people who actually turned up to our sessions, which was really positive as well.

Okay. So when's our next meeting?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, Maureen?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Just really quickly, on the At-Large dinner, Gisella, can you just give us an update, do we have the restaurant confirmed now? I think we said last time that we had – we were going to need to share with the full restaurant. When do we need to give them numbers?

GISELLA GRUBER: Yes. We're looking at about 45. Again, we'll confirm who we're opening this dinner up to, but we usually open it up to the At-Large travelers, so usually a few people are joining and also partners.

The person I'm dealing with hasn't got back to me yet on a final on the restaurant, but we will have the restaurant, Pasta Cosy, the one that we

went to last time is available, and we will, as I said, need to share it, but as we're still working on the fact that we will have the inside to ourselves.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Perfect. That's great news. Did you need to start [letting people know Save the Dates] for that?

GISELLA GRUBER: As soon as she gets back to me and says "you can have the place on the Wednesday evening," I will send the Save the Dates, and I'll confirm with you who you would like to send the Save the Dates to. And it will be the format where we will hopefully cover the drinks and possibly the starters depending on the price, because we've also got to take into account that it is June in Marrakech, and last time we went, it was March. So I need a confirmation – and it's a few years down the line, so a confirmation on the price.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, perfect. Thank you very much. And Maureen, just one last – I haven't seen your response. Are you okay – maybe we can just take this offline, but to start scheduling one session with Göran, Cherine and León?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah. Sure.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Perfect. I'll note that. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah, because we have to decide when they're free, whether it's going to be at the beginning or the end of the week sort of thing. I just hope we don't have the same situation that happened last time, so we won't have anything too controversial to discuss.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Just on scheduling, Maureen, did you finalize the GAC session? Because your preference was the one earlier in the week. I heard no arguments to suggest the one at the end of the week was a good thing. So, can staff get back to the GAC support staff now, and we tie that one in?

MAUREEN HILYARD: I think Gisella's actually working on that at the moment anyway, but yeah, it's sort of reliant on the discussions that they're having about the amount of time that they would like, and also, if they can fit it in.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: But they offered us 30 minutes. If Yrjö wants to [force them to] 45, surely, it's still going to be in that same time slot, just 15 minutes longer.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah. I'd prefer it in the beginning, really.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Why don't you just get Gisella to respond back and say "We'll take the first option, thanks," and let Yrjö fight for the extra 15 minutes?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. We're having that meeting with Yrjö soon anyway, so we'll do that, discuss it with him.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Fine. It's just if you don't get in that slot, then someone else will. So then we get left with what you may not prefer. Because everyone is trying to [inaudible].

MAUREEN HILYARD: I think they would get priority. I think one of the things that Gisella's doing is actually making sure that they're one of the first ones that we will deal with after having already slotted your one in. So that's good. Okay? It's alright. No, honestly, it is. It's a priority for us, that session, and [inaudible] and with him as well.

GISELLA GRUBER: Maureen, sorry, I'll take that offline with you, but I can then confirm that I can then pick up on the e-mail trail that we had, which was the 11:00 to 11:30, and then Yrjö saying that he would prefer 45 minutes. But we can already –

MAUREEN HILYARD: [inaudible].

GISELLA GRUBER: We're going to have to take that out of our schedule, as in the coffee break is from 10:15 to 10:30, and then we have a slot from 10:30 to 11:00. We wouldn't even have a slot, because if we go to the ALAC meeting at 11:00, we won't be able to have a session between the coffee break and the GAC and ALAC meeting. So it's also then [also to] make sure that the joint AFRALO-AfrICANN meeting can be scheduled in the ALAC room, because we need interpretation. So that's a little bit of a tricky element for me.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. So we'll work on that offline. Yeah, thank you.

GISELLA GRUBER: Okay, great. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, so there'll be a meeting next week sometime.

GISELLA GRUBER: I'll Doodle out if you're okay tomorrow morning. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. That would be awesome. Thank you. I think we've got a lot to work on, so if you can leave that with us, we'll get back to you. I forgot

to ask, but did Olivier have any concerns, questions or queries? Or did he turn himself off?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: No, I'm still here. Thank you, Maureen. I've been listening and watching. I've even been on the Zoom as well. No, I'm absolutely fine. There is a session of the cross-community working group on Internet governance, a face-to-face session. I'll work with Gisella directly. I think it might be just another one of these lunchtime things. I think for the third year running, the second meeting of the year, we don't have two sessions, we just have one. So we're kind of used to it. And it should be a 60-minute session, I think, so it'll just be like an update and a discussion with the board working group on Internet governance. And that's it.

And I didn't quite understand the capacity building session with the NCUC. Did we touch on that? I didn't see it in the agenda.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Just that it was going to be one session when we were going through the schedule. Yeah, [that's the only thing that we mentioned.]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So one thing that you should be aware of is that the NCUC is somehow lukewarm about this because they've been told by the GNSO that they need to do a lot more policy. They're being pressurized. So they have, I think, a policy discussion at the same time as our joint session, and they've got a number of other things clashing, which is a bit of a problem.

Now, they said, “Oh, perhaps it could be a good idea maybe not to have a joint session there and have the next one in Montréal,” and I was rather – well, I responded I was rather disappointed by this, because this is a policy and outreach meeting. And the outreach component part is being completely squeezed out, basically. So what we’re basically ending up with is Africa and – well, any developing country is not going to have any outreach anymore, which is ridiculous. Kind of breaks the whole idea of having a smaller second meeting so that you can go and visit those places that you can't visit with a large A or C type meeting.

But anyway, I haven't had a response to that yet. I would suggest that if we can't make it a joint session with them, we'll just continue and make it an outreach session and open to everyone. And I'm sure we can find enough people on our side to fill the room as far as [telling of] our experiences. And I do note that AFRALO will have a number of people that they are bringing over and that would benefit from such a session. That's all. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah. That'd be great. I'm sure that if we had to do it on our own, regional leaders and people who can let people know what's happening – okay. It's a bit of a shame, but –

HEIDI ULLRICH: Maureen?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. I'm sorry, I don't think I'm in the Zoom room at the moment. Olivier, I wonder if it might be useful to consider doing a joint one-hour session with the NCUC, and then a second session of outreach be that combined session for the briefing of the AFRALO, the students coming from Rabat, if that might be useful.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I thought there was only one session. We don't have two. Not for this meeting. We've only got 90 minutes.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes. The joint session is only one, but if you wanted to, along with Daniel, come along and join that briefing group with the AFRALO students, that's something that you might wish to do as well.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Happy to do that.

MAUREEN HILYARD: If we've got the time.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, if we do. Exactly. I don't want to squeeze more time out from other stuff, and I do note that time comes at a great premium. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. Thank you. Alrighty, so now that's even more things to think about, so that's good.

Okay, so it looks like it's going to be an interesting meeting anyway. So let's call it a day for this meeting, because we're well over time, and thank you, everyone, for coming and for everyone's input. It's been brilliant. I really do appreciate that, and I'm sure Gisella just loves to hear all these ideas so that she can just wrap her head around what we need to do to fill those gaps in our schedule.

Thank you again, and have a nice rest of the day, whatever you've got planned. Thank you. Bye.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thanks very much. Bye.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: See you.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you, everyone. The meeting has been adjourned. Audio will now be disconnected. Please remember to disconnect from your side, and thank you very much for joining today's meeting.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]