CLAUDIA RUIZ:	Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. Welcome to the EURALO Monthly Teleconference Call on Tuesday the 12st of May 2019 at 1800 UTC. On the call today we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Anne-Marie Joly Bachollet, Erichh Schweighofer, Matthias Hudobnik, Roberto Gaetano, Sébastien Bachollet, Wale Bakare, Yrjo Lansipuro, and we have received apologies from Annette Mühlberg and Bastiaan Goslings. From Staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, Gisella Gruber, and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management. Before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes. Thank you, and with this I turn it over to you, Olivier.
OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:	Thank you very much, Claudia, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. Welcome everybody to this monthly EURALO call which is going to be a little bit different from the usual calls, because today's we've got candidates for our election process that will be speaking to us in the second part of this call. We've got actually the majority of this call will be about the candidates so we can move swiftly through the first part of our agenda. I know that there was some thinking that maybe we could put the candidate discussion first and then move forward, but then maybe in the interest of having as much as possible for our candidates, we could just go through our first part of the agenda.

So, first we'll have Review of Public Consultations and then a quick review of the Hot Topics, EURALO Bylaws Document, and Update about CENTR, the discussion with the CENTR, Fiscal Year 2020 Budget Process,

and Additional Budget Request Preliminary Decisions, and then the EURALO 2019 Leadership Elections. Is there any other business or any other top that anybody wishes to add to this agenda today? I'm not seeing anybody putting their hand up. I do note this is the first time we use Zoom in the EURALO call, and thankfully there have been a number of features added to Zoom, which make it much more workable than it was a few years ago. So, I'm able to see the hands, we're able to have several hosts, et cetera, et cetera, many different things. Okay, I am not seeing any hands up, so the agenda is adopted as it currently is on your screen.

And we'll look at the Action Items from our last call, the one from the 16th of April. There are two action items still to be completed. One is for Maureen Hilyard and Heidi Ullrich to raise the item on the 2019 IGF Planning on the next subcommittee on outreach and engagement call. I don't think that there has been a call of the subcommittee on outreach and engagement, has there? I believe this would be the EURALO subcommittee on outreach and engagement, maybe we need to know that. That's not actually subcommittee, that's the ALAC-wide subcommittee on outreach and engagement. Has there been a call of this committee? I'm turning to Claudia, or maybe Matthias, of course, you're part of that group. Matthias Hudobnik.

MATTHIAS HUDOBNIK: [AUDIO BREAK] The next one should be I guess in one or two weeks...

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Matthias, I think you were muted for the first, for the beginning of your report, of your intervention here, could you please repeat what you just said?

MATTHIAS HUDOBNIK: Hello, Matthias Hudobnik speaking, can you hear me?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, now we can hear you, before you were partly muted.

MATTHIAS HUDOBNIK: Ah, perfectly. Okay, I just want to clarify, the next subcommittee on outreach and engagement call should be next week or in two weeks, if I am correct. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Matthias. I guess, is it possible to make you come back to us afterwards? I'm sure this discussion will come up and it would be great to then have feedback from you during our next call.

MATTHIAS HUDOBNIK: Yeah, actually, of course, yeah, because we still have also not discussed issues of the booth, as you're aware. I filed the request, but we need to finally decide then if we will be together with ICANN or just join a different booth; this is still in discussion.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this Matthias, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. You're right, there is a problem, for those people that haven't been in the discussion, there is a problem with the booth, there were so many requests, not all requests were satisfied, and therefore EURALO is on a waiting list, or the RALOs are on a waiting list for a booth. We might find an alternative in sharing a booth with other organizations. And work is ongoing on that, so we'll have more details on this shortly.

Next is the last action item here, also, Olivier to send the last call for EURALO Hot Topics 2019, I'm going to be talking about this shortly here today, and propose that we just proceed forward with sending this and finalizing it. So, we'll deal with this shortly in this call. Nobody else with comments on the action items, so we can swiftly move on to the next part.

We've got currently, well one public comment is up for a decision and it's the Study on the Technical Use of the Root Zone Label Generation Rules. That's something to do with the internationalized domain names IDNs, and I believe the IDN working group will probably come back with a comment on whether the ALAC should draft a comment on this. There are currently two statements that are being drafted. The first one is Evolving ICANN's Multistakeholder Model.

In the last ICANN meeting in Kobe, there was a session that was led by Brian Cute, a public session of all of ICANN, actually, in the main hall, that discussed the topic of evolving ICANN's Multistakeholder Model, and this is a followup, effectively, that is there to obtain some feedback on a number of questions that are being asked. So, we're not looking at

an open call of saying please come up with all your ideas about how to evolve ICANN's multistakeholder model.

There are a number of questions that are being asked here in Section 1, and it basically asks to offer prioritizations on the issues that are currently listed in an Issues List, which is being shared here. There is a Draft Strategic Plan, there is an Issues List, and there is a link to the ICANN64 session. If you're interested in this, please listen or watch the ICANN64 session, it's amusing, it's got some interesting parts inside it. There is also a reference from one of our recent consolidated Policy Working Group call a little presentation that was made, and the input effectively is to be added on the wiki at the moment.

The discussion has taken place on the ALAC mailing, actually primarily on the consolidated Policy Working Group list. And of course there is all sorts of intermediate ideas from people saying, well, everything should be changed, to others saying, well, we need to focus on a specific point. But at the moment, I see there is no draft, yet in t the wiki. If you have comments, it would be good to file them earlier rather than later, if you have any specific points you would like to make that should be included on the draft, and then once that is taken, the assignees for this, Marita Moll, Greg Shatan, Judith Hellerstein, and Abdulkarim Oloyede will be taking this and drafting something out of it.

The second comment at the moment is the Process Proposal for Streamlining Organizational Reviews. Here we don't actually have an assignee penholder. If you are interested in holding the pen on this, helping the group hold the pen on this, then please do so. The deadline for this is much later, it is the 15th of July, so there is about a month left

until the deadline. We have various people in our community that have been involved in reviews and in the review process, but some of them are still involved in reviews and the review process, and it's difficult for them to, well, they definitely cannot be penholders as such.

They will be able to contribute and comment, but they do not wish to be penholder. Hence the reason why we haven't got a penholder for the time being. But many people have stepped forward and said, I'm happy to contribute once the first draft is up. So, please have a look at that. Let me open the floor for comments, if anybody wishes to add to what we have here. Leon Sanchez, welcome Leon, you have the floor.

LEON SANCHEZ: Hello, Olivier, thank you everyone for having me here again. These two public comments are essential for the future of the organization as I see it. First, you may have noticed that in the draft strategic plan for fiscal years 2021 to 2025 one of the priorities of the ICANN Board is exactly to evolve the governance model on ICANN. So your comments on this public comment period are very important and will be of course taken into account when considering repeating the thinking process on how to evolving this multistakeholder model.

And on the second topic which is the Process Proposal for Streamlining Organizational Reviews, this is also very important because what we are looking to accomplish here is to have healthy streamlining of organizational reviews that can avoid excess volunteer fatigue and overlapping of different reviews. So your input on this process, too, will be very valuable for the Board and for the organizational committee.

So, thank you very much for putting your comments on these processes. Thank you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Leon. Very helpful for you to be here and explain this to us. Is there going to be a followup to this? Well, at least the Evolving the ICANN Multistakeholder Model? Since I note that the end of the public comment closes the 4th of June, is there likely to be a followup at the next meeting in Marrakech?

LEON SANCHEZ: I believe there is already a session of this scheduled for Marrakech. I don't remember the programming for the meeting, but I surely believe that there will be a followup on the comments.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, that's great. Thanks very much for this. Alright, I'm not seeing any other hands. So, these are the two policy topics currently on the table. Let's move to Agenda Item #4, and that's the Hot Topics 2019. I'm surprised that after all this time they're still hot topics. I guess we did start in 2017 and then 2018, and if you notice in the header of the actual Google doc that is linked from the agenda, it says, "A new document will be drafted from the comments received. Goal: To agree to a new Hot Topics list by January 2019."

Now you might have noticed, we're way past that date, it's now May, but there has been a lot of work done on this document and it seems to be a lot more streamlined now, well, not streamlined, sorry, wrong

word, more expanded than it was before. What I was going to suggest is just one thing. Because this document as it currently stands, has got two parts to it. One is the actual Hot Topics part, and for some reason Part B was the Outreach and Engagement Activities in Europe.

What I was going to suggest then is to split those two documents so as to just have the hot topics on one side and we'll just file them and send them to the ALAC and on the other side having the Outreach and Engagement Activities in Europe as a living document that would be in our At-Large Structure engagement wiki section, which could then be updated as time goes on, because there are always new fora being decided and so one, and this list could then be used also when we start working on our outreach strategy for next year. I believe that the Outreach and Engagement working group is supposed to be looking at this, and so it would be good to have this information at hand. So, I now open the floor for any comments or questions on this. Heidi Ullrich?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you very much, this Heidi. I just wanted to let you know that we have followed up on an action item from Kobe, and that was for Joanna and Jonathan to start the ALAC hot policy topics, so they are doing that and they hope to have an interactive event in Marrakech to go through that and get some feedback on that, which two things, your hot topics will be able to feed into that, but you may also may find that that document feeds to this one. So it might be useful for you to keep this in a draft form through Marrakech and then you can move forward on that one. The aim of the ALAC hot policy topics is to again get feedback in

Marrakech, then they will likely see if the RALOs would like to have Joanna and Jonathan come out to RALO monthly calls during the summer, and then as we head into ATLAS III, perhaps our timeline will work with the ALAC and then we can get some documents printed or an electronic form for the participants of ALAC.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this, Heidi. Very helpful, and that's a good thing moving forward then. So, I'm not seeing anyone being opposed to splitting the document in two. So what we can do is effectively split the document in two, put the Outreach and Engagement activities on one side in its proper location on the wiki. As far as this is concerned, take a snapshot of it as it is today, send it over to the ALAC and to Joanna and Jonathan Zuck, so they can then have the EURALO input to their work, and then at least they've got a snapshot of what EURALO has got. And as you've mentioned, they will be able to discuss this in the forthcoming Marrakech meeting and then afterwards come back to us for the feedback. That would be great. Excellent.

Okay, I'm not seeing anybody with their hand up and no objection to this way forward, so we can move to the next thing in our agenda, and that is the Bylaws document. Now, there is a link in your agenda to the Bylaws draft. It's been updated very recently, so if it doesn't have a link at the moment, please just reload your agenda page and you will see the link. And that is the latest version of the Articles Of Association, the EURALO Bylaws. It has incorporated all the point which have been made by pretty much everyone who has commented, starting with the work of the EURALO Bylaws Task Force no acute disease then

afterwards the EURALO, well, we could say the General Assembly, EURALO members on the call and in writing. There is just one thing that still stands out, if you scroll down to Page 7 in one of the last reviews, Clause 8.2, so Article 8.2.6.3. stood out, and no one has come back to say why this has come up. It says as follows, well let's just take it, 8.2.6.2 says, "A Simple Majority is reached by fifty percent plus one vote of the delivered votes."

Now this whole thing about majority and so on was discussed for a long, long time in the group, and we reached that, which makes sense. But the next one says, "Decisions and resolutions in a General Assembly in form of a "Funded Face to Face Meeting" require two-thirds of the delivered votes." So, what we're looking at here is a super majority required for a vote, irrespective of what the vote is about. Which would not be something that's required if we have an online vote or if we have a face-to-face general assembly that is not funded by ICANN.

And it's somehow a little strange to see something like this in there as a clause. I wasn't quite sure what was the reason for this, and I asked the mailing list, and there was no response. In fact, I asked the EURALO Bylaws Task Force mailing list, and Roberto Gaitano suggested that we just delete this, because it seems to be somehow odd.

So, I'm opening the floor, if anybody has any reason why this should stay there. And Silvia asks whether this was a Swiss law requirement, no this was not a Swiss law requirement, the requirement for majority in Swiss law is usually 50% of the vote plus one person. So, yeah, that's a bit strange. Erich Schweighofer.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: Erich Schweighofer speaking. As far as I remember there was some idea at both meetings that we should have a higher requirement for funded meetings. But to the whole decision making process, it doesn't make much sense, and I would support the deletion of this stipulation.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much for this, Erichh. So that's a good point. I think at the time, so it's Olivier speaking, I think that at the time it might have been that we wanted a higher participation of the funded face-to-face general assembly, but that would probably mean a higher quorum, and then after that we launched into a, I think it was several months of discussions regarding quorum, should it be higher, should it be lower, because there is no right or wrong answer on this. Should we lower the quorum when we're not face to face, or when we're face to face, et cetera.

So anyway, we managed to reach consensus on the quorum, but we ended up with this article which didn't make too much sense. So, if I take it from you, you agree that this could be deleted. Any other comments or thoughts on this?

OKSANA PRYKHODKO: Olivier, Oksana Prykhodko.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Please, go ahead Oksana, you have the floor.

OKSANA PRYKHODKO: Thank you very much. I was against this position one year, two years ago, and I am now for deleting of this point.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much, Oksana. Good to hear your agreement on this.

OKSANA PRYKHODKO: I would like to delete this position because it's necessary to have common rules for all voting procedures in EURALO. And of course, maybe the participation in funding face-to-face meetings has to be subject to more strict rules, but voting has to be the same.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Oksana, understood. Thank you for putting this to the record. And I'm not seeing any other hands on this topic, so with three people having spoken in favor of removing this, I shall ask, because again, it's one of these things, I will ask one last time on the mailing list, remove it, and the next step is to then put this whole text up for adoption by EURALO as a whole.

And I think that there is just one thing that needs to be changed also, which will be signature of the keeper of the minutes in accordance with paragraph blah, blah, blah, I think it's just the signature of the Chair that is required for this. Then we can proceed forward with passing this by EURALO vote. And on this, we will need a super majority since it is a

change of the bylaws. So it's particularly important that we proceed forward.

Now there is only one small question which will be under what bylaws do we proceed forward with the current election process? And the answer is we will continue with the current bylaws until the election process is completed and then we can proceed forward with adopting. Once this is done then we have our new bylaws that we can vote and that come into effect. But in the meantime there is also another last, I wouldn't say a hurdle, but a check, and I think that Silvia Vivanco might be able to speak to us about this. Silvia, you have the floor.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, thank you Olivier. Yes, the last check will be by ICANN concerning whether or not the consistency of this document ICANN Bylaws. So with that focus in mind, they will review the document. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Silvia, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. So, please make sure that one, the version that we send will have 8.2.6.3 removed. Alright, let's then move on. Thank you for this. So, the next thing is the update about CENTR, and that's a little bit more of a difficult situation. So, CENTR is the Council of European Top Level Domain Registries, so we're dealing here with an organization that coordinates, that has members which are country code top level domain registries, so we're talking .fr, .uk, .de, .it, et cetera, et cetera.

Sébastien Bachollet immediately after the Kobe meeting traveled over to Bordeaux for the annual general meeting of CENTR. I don't know, Sébastien do you want to quickly summarize what happened? You went there and we were expecting that EURALO was going to become an associate member of CENTR and then it didn't happen, much to our surprise, and much to your surprise, I think to everyone's surprise, in fact. For technical reasons which are not really EURALO's fault at all, but more like organizational issues within CENTR. Sébastien, are you able to speak?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: If you can hear me, Sébastien Bachollet speaking.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: You sound perfect, so you have the floor.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay, thank you very much, Olivier. Yeah, I went to Bordeaux to the general assembly of CENTR and we were the first topic on the agenda. And it happened that at the last meeting they had trouble with voting and they decided to change between the two meetings, and in fact the new rules said that you need to have majority of the members, not of the participants and some member are not participating, therefore it would have been necessary to have yes for all the participants and maybe more, and there was some absentia and that is why we were out of the game.

I guess one of the main points if we are to go back in this situation to be observatory member candidate, is to tell them what they can gain from us to be members, as we need to know what we can gain to be part of this organization. And I think it's something we need to prepare more in the future. But in fact we are victim of the battle around .su and other ccTLDs participation or non participation of some big actor in the generic top level domain, participating in the CC world too, and we were in the middle of this game, and we didn't get through.

In fact, as you now, we have already a memorandum of understanding and we are able to do things with them and I think it must be the next step. It's not to wait to be observer to do things like that if we come back for vote in the general assembly of CENTR it will be easier to show them that we have things that we can do together and they can win others as we have advantage to be with them. Thank you very much, Olivier.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this Sébastien, it's Olivier speaking. So, as a followup to this, Sébastien and I had a call with Peter Van Roste the CEO of CENTR to find out first what happened and to get a better and bigger understanding of what was going on. And then to try and find out, well with this being costified and the fact that we're not an associate member, what are we able to do together?

In Kobe, there was a meeting of the outreach and engagement working group, the At-Large wide outreach and engagement working group with a different RALO Chairs that were there, the RALO leaders and we all

had a meeting with our regional internet registry but also with our regional TLD, top level domain organization and with the regional Vice President. So, in Europe we had both Adam Peak that was there and we had also, I think it was Alexandra Kulikova who was there, as well. I'm just doing this from memory can't quite remember the whole meeting, sometimes an ICANN meeting feels like a very long tunnel.

But anyway, we had everyone that was there, also Chris Buckridge from RIPE and Paulina who was coming in from CENTR. Now there was a discussion as to what could we do to work better together, and one of the ideas was to have a general assembly that could take place either at a RIPE meeting or at a CENTR meeting. Now, the problem we have in Europe is that both of these meetings are not free, and not only that, the CENTR meeting is restricted to members, the RIPE meeting is not strictly restricted to members, but of course, you have to pay.

So if we were going to bring all of the people from EURALO, that would be a significant sum, and waiving this would also be a bit of a problem. Now what we reached was an idea of having a future general assembly taking at EuroDIG European Dialogue in Internet Governance, and we followed up with Peter Van Roste about this topic and I think that at the end of the day this has been very positive.

Peter is really saying that they're happy to relay so if we have a future general assembly at the EuroDIG, not this year, of course, because that's only one month away, but maybe a year after, so we're talking about 2020 or 2021, wherever that may be, then they are happy to relay this over to their members and we can invite CENTR members to our general assembly and you can invite member of RIPE to our general

assembly as well, so that we can have more extension collaboration on this.

A topic for discussion and something which we might wish to address, and I think that Peter was very positive on this, was DOH, DNS over HTTPS, technical topic, it's something which CENTR has knowledge about and which they could be happy to discuss or talk to us about it. That's essentially maybe one first topic of discussion that we could have where we invite people from CENTR both from the leadership and perhaps members of CENTR to come and talk to us about this. Sébastien, you were on the same call as I was, have I covered most of the points, or what have I missed there?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you Olivier, Sébastien Bachollet speaking. We had discussion on one hot topic for CENTR and I guess for us it was DNS over HTTPS. It was quite interesting that we had discussion about one topic and it was really the main question raised during the general assembly of CENTR about what we can discuss together. That's one point that I hope that Peter will bring to the members and we will be able to follow up with them that we have things that we need to discuss, first as end user in Europe, and then as a registry of ccTLD in Europe. And then for the rest, I concur with you. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sébastien. Olivier speaking. And I realize this was supposed to be a 3 minute update, so unless there are any questions on this topic, then we can move to the next agenda item.

And by the way, if you're interested in DNS over HTTPS, yes, I do note that Glenn has put in the chat that NARALO did an interview with Michael Casadevall on DNS over HTTPS, a video recently. So it's an interesting topic and I would suggest you have a look at his YouTube channel. Thank you. And Oksana is asking does she understand we will vote today? No, no, the vote will not take place today regarding the EURALO bylaws.

Next we have our next agenda item and that is the one about the fiscal year 2020 budget process and additional budget request preliminary discussions and our representatives on the ALAC Finance and Budge Subcommittee are Olawale Bakare and Bastiaan Goslings, and today we have Olawale Bakare who is going to be able to speak to us about the decisions that were made by ICANN Finance in response to the request made by the ALAC and others. Olawale, you have the floor.

OLAWALE BAKARE: Thank you, Olivier, this is Olawale Bakare speaking for the record. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I think I can hear you, I'm hoping we don't have problem with the microphone, but please go ahead.

OLAWALE BAKARE: Thank you so much, Olivier. I am Olawale Bakare for the record again. The very first point I would like to make is this, the good news is this partial approval or not recommended for approval fiscal year 2020 to

ICANN Board. The good news is that there is one of the ICANN Board members Leon Sanchez is here, in case you have any questions with regard to this approval. So that's good news on that. But the next thing I would like to talk about, the timeline and the delivery for the ICANN FY20 budget process started in 2017 which was in November and the process ended on the 3rd of May 2019.

So, one of the decisions recommended to the ICANN Board summarize on the slide in front of you on the screen. The ones that are very pertinent are the ones to the At-Large community and ALAC are just only two proposals. So, on the sheet, we have the first column. We have SO & AC - Description of Request. Then in the second column we have the Recommended and the Amount. On the fourth column we have Partial Approval and the fifth column we have the Amount for those partially approved, and surprisingly enough, we have about 18 proposals that were not recommended for approval for the ICANN Board.

ALAC which is representative of At-Large got 2 proposals. One of the proposals is the request for support in terms of transcription, up to 10 hours, by switching from Adobe Connect to Zoom. So, that proposal was recommended to the ICANN Board, and the amount is $18,000, written there. Then the second proposal for the At-Large and ALAC that was partially approved, and that was $20,000 and that proposal is meant for Outreach and Engagement Activities for RALOs across the regions, anyway. So, these are the two proposals that were recommended to the ICANN Board for the At-Large communities.

So, the rest of the proposals were approved, one of them is Commercial & Business Users Constituencies, that proposal was meant for Leadership Development of $10,000 and the next one is also Commercial & Business Constituency of Outreach also $10,000. That was also recommended for approval, as well.

The Internet Service Providers (ISPCP) for Outreach proposal, that is also recommended for approval, $10,000.00. NCUC, that is the proposal, the request is meant for Communications Support and that is also $10,000. And the proposal for GAC - Capacity Building Workshops, that is received with partial approval to the ICANN Board, and the amount is $40,000.

Then the GNSO FY20 Strategic Planning Session, the proposal is $65,000, that is also recommended for partial approval. Then GNSO Travel Support for PDP Leadership to ICANN Meetings, that amount is $20,000 and is also recommended for partial approval the ICANN Board, as well. Then the GNSO proposal again, this is meant to Develop Consensus-Building Training, this proposal is approved and was recommended to the ICANN Board for $30,000.

Then the Internet Service Providers (ISPCP) that is also for Travel Support and the amount is also recommended for approval for $10,000. Then the next one is NCUC Policy Writing Training proposal and that amount is $5000, and it was partially recommended to the ICANN Board. But I don't know what that partial approval means to the ICANN Board, maybe Leon Sanchez will be able to answer questions on that.

The next proposal is for support for registry at 2020 GDD Summit. That amount is $20,000, that is recommended for approval to the ICANN Board, as well. The one for the registrar Support for 2020 GDD Summit, that is also same amount to the registry, $28,000 as well. So, like I said before, the rest of the proposals one from ALAC, Travel Support for At-Larg Policy Leaders, so the proposal was rejected, not recommended to the ICANN Board.

So we don't have the amounts for all the proposals that were not recommended, that is the reason why I left out some of these proposals. We have three or four older EURALOs. Just a second, of the want to get the one, yeah, NARALO proposal rejected, NARALO also had proposals that was not recommended. Therefore for registries and registrars that were also rejected, as well.

Funny thing to note, we have two proposals from Security and Stability Advisory Committee and the request was mainly for travel support to an outreach event. That was rejected. Then the second proposal from SSARC request for additional travel support to the ICANN meetings, that was also rejected, as well. And we have mentioned the two proposals form NARALO, one from LACRALO, one from APRALO, and the other proposals were from nonprofit organizations and constituencies the proposals were rejected, as well. So basically, the message is now for the ICANN Board to come up with their own approval, and that will happen later on. The date for that, we don't know yet. If you have any questions on this, you can ask me or direct your questions to one of the ICANN Board members. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Olawale. Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I don't know if Leon is part of the Board Budget Committee, but these are recommendations, I guess, to the Board and then the Board will meet face-to-face in Marrakech and that is where they will proceed forward with finalizing the budge as such. I see Heidi has put her hand up, Heidi Ullrich, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you, and thank you Olawale for all that detailed information. I wanted to let you know that the request by the ALAC for a policy writing workshop, that was rejected, however, as I am told, the ATLAS III organizing committee, we found some funding within the ATLAS III budget for that, so we are working with Maureen and others to determine when best we should hold that. And again, it would be along the lines like what was proposed that might be adapted to what the needs either pre ATLAS or post ATLAS would be, but just to let you know that that course will likely be implemented at some point in fiscal year 20. Thank you.

OLAWALE BAKARE: Thanks for that.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for that. Any other comments or questions on this? I'm not seeing any hands up, so thanks for this update Olawale, and let's see what the ICANN Board comes up with when they meet face-to-face. No doubt lots of organizations, lots of component parts of ICANN requiring

additional budget, and yet there is a limited fund that is available. So these are very tough calls that one has to make. So, let's move on. We now have the star event of today, the EURALO 2019 Leadership Elections.

We've got all the candidates on the call. What I was going to do, it starts with 25 minutes total, candidates to introduce themselves and share their visions or state their visions and respective positions. There is one position that has two candidates, all the other ones have a single candidate, but it would be a good thing to hear from each one of them and get to know them. I know we have a number of "new" faces, well actually, new faces, they've been around for quite some time already, but certainly new names. And so without any further ado, I was going to introduce Matthias Hudobnik who is the sole candidate for the ALAC Member. Matthias, if you could provide us with a 5-minute intro of what prompts you to be interested in the ALAC?

MATTHIAS HUDOBNIK: Yeah, sure, Matthias Hudobnik speaking for the record. Yeah, first of all I want to thank Sébastien Bachollet for the nomination. As ALAC member for our region it's a great pleasure and also great honor to run for this leadership position. I will just quickly give you some insights about my ICANN governance participation.

I started my journey in March 2017 and has a participant in the NextGen ICANN program in Copenhagen where I focused on the role of individual internet user and joined them subsequently the individual user association of EURALO and internet society and in 2017 I was also

selected as candidate to attend EuroDIG and the summer school in July. Then followed by the selection as a NextGen ambassador in ICANN60 in Abu Dhabi. And then the last even was in 2017 the nominations for the EURALO Board, but I was not able to get into the board, even though I attended the meeting in Geneva and supported EURALO there, as well.

So, 2017 was quite a crazy and intensive year, but I really enjoyed. And then between 2017 and 2018 I served a full term in the NextGen as ICANN Selection Committee and I really strongly support this program, recently presenting there during ICANN meetings, amid the context of engagement opportunities within ICANN and tried to get new people into the policy process. Then in 2018 I was again selected to represent EURALO as a candidate to attend in Berlin, and here again I focused on outreach and engagement of the video users and was again selected as the fellow to participate in the internet governance forum.

Then in October 2018 I participated as ICANN fellow in the ICANN63 in Barcelona and also as a RIPE fellow, the RIPE 77 to get a better understanding of the work of RIPE and also joined [inaudible] which was before the RIPE meeting. And then this year I was honored to represent EURALO at the [inaudible] Forum in Bucharest and also tried to meet some LSCs and also tried to engage new people into our outreach in our region. S

ince 2018, I am EURALO's Co-Chair of the ALAC subcommittee on Outreach and Engagement and try to foster outreach and engagement in our region. This was a little bit about my involvement during the last two years. Yeah, and I would say the experience already have had within the different programs and position also as EURALO Co-Chair of

Outreach and Engagement makes me an appropriate candidate for the ALAC position, and this position is also a great opportunity for me to get deeper involved in the development process of ICANN and the important role of the At-Large Advisory Committee, and moreover I also have the chance to shape future issues and bring some fresh blood and years into the activities of ALAC.

Yeah, previously I strongly supported the outreach and engagement activities within our region since my participation as NextGen as I already mentioned, but I think it's also time now to see new areas more related to policy.   Yeah, I'm currently my master's thesis which is also related to WHOIS, I also gained insights related to the internet and the history of the internet, as well as ICANN's important role in the internet ecosystem which also will be helpful when I will be an ALAC member for sure. Yeah, at the end I can just say I'm looking forward to your support and I'm eager to share my experience, but I'm just as eager to learn about fresh ideas and gain new insights related to my position as an ALAC member.   Thank you very much and I'm more than happy to answer questions.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much, Matthias, Olivier speaking.   Let's then go to the candidate for the EURALO Chair, again a single candidate for this, and that is somebody you might know, Sébastien Bachollet. Sébastien do you have a few words to say why you would be interested in the EURALO Chair position?

EN

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Hello, Sébastien Bachollet. Thank you, Olivier, for the floor. I will not take five minutes. I wanted just to say that I will, I wanted to try to give back to EURALO what EURALO gave me during the past year. As Olivier decided not to run again for position of Chair, I was thinking that it could be a good idea for me to be in this position for the next two years. It is important that we keep some history on where we are.

As you may know, I was one of the founding members in EURALO in 2007 and I was a member of the first EURALO one year and then two years, and then I joined the Board for four years. I took one year leave of ICANN and then I come back in the ALAC I think ALAC is becoming more and more, unfortunately from my point of view, a place where it's very difficult to be here. I hip that people like Matthias will help to shape the future of this to be more open.

But I will say at the European leve we can do things and following what some of you have done, we try to engage more and more policies and people willing to serve in the definition of the policy for Europe. The point of Europe in different topics, because I am not sure for example that we get the same points of view. And that is why I think we need to do some work at our level, and that is something I will try to do. I think it's important that we work as a team and I would appreciate if you can support the one I have nominated to help this teamwork to be done in the future. Thank you, it's an honor to have accepted to be part of this. We will not just work with the people who are on this ballot today, we have two other members of ALAC we will work with and this will also be an important step forward. I guess I will stop here.

I would like to thank you again for your confidence and I hope to be able to work with all of you in the future. And as a last word for today, but I will repeat that later on, I would like to thank Olivier for the work he has done and his involvement. Once again, thank you Olivier, and thank you for listening.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Sébastien, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I might not renew, but I'm still going to be around, you won't have gotten rid of me just yet. EURALO Secretary position has two candidates that have stepped forward for it. The first one is Olawale Bakare and the second one is Natalia Filina. And so purely by alphabetical order, Owale, you have the floor.

OLAWALE BAKARE: Thank you, Olivier. This is Olawale Bakare for the record. The reason why I'm putting myself forward again to run to manage the EURALO Secretariat is basically based on my experience and knowledge in terms of the EURALO with regard to the issues around the internet governance to work with EURALO members and the Board and the committee as well in order to be able to steer EURALO forward and to align with other RALOs around the world.

Just a bit of my experience within the ICANN community, I've known ICANN for a long time, but I started my involvement in ICANN community back in 2011. I was fully part of the ICANN CCWD from 2011 until 2014. I fully participated in the process and I contributed a lot to INS transition. Then my first ICANN meeting was ICANN50 in London. I

self sponsored myself. Since then I have been part of the ICANN committee, but I am very new to the ICANN community leadership.

I want to continue to be involved in ICANN policy development in issues that are very pertinent to the internet development and sustainability. I am presently the ICANN Secretary and I have been working with the present EURALO Chair, is Olivier and also EURALO Board members and representative to the ALAC team, as well. I'm also part of the Individual Users Association. I was one of the pioneer members of the Individual Users Association and I am a Board member, and I was the Vice Chair of the Individual Users Association.

The second ICANN meeting I attended was in Abu Dhabi in 2017. I was sponsored, I was EURALO's nominated member to the IDS 2017 in Geneva. I got involved in so many internet debates where I contributed, such as IoT, DNS, and some other important issues that I got involved. Presently what I'm thinking my vision and my objective to participate, why I am putting myself over again as the Secretariat is because I want to bring my innovative ideas to the EURALO Board and to also work with the new EURALO Chair to redefine the approach throughout EURALO.

That is one, I'm trying to come out with a draft, kind of strategies, to align with ICANN mission, that is one thing. Then the second thing I'm trying to being in as a kind of innovative approach, is EURALO hot topics. I also would like to see how EURALO committee members would like to redefine the EURALO hot topics as well as the policy development discussion within ICANN community. Then the third part of my strategy is to ensure that EURALO community members also redefine our partnerships, to have a monthly webinar on topics that are pertinent to

ICANN itself.   So without taking much time, whoever has a question to ask me, and I will be happy to answer your questions. Thank you so much.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Olawale.   Next for the Secretariat position is Natalia Filina. Natalia, you have the floor.

NATALIA FILINA: Hello everyone. I would like to say this, it's a real honor to be nominated for the secretariat position.   Thanks a lot, to Sebastien for this nomination and that's Roberto for the support in this moment.   As Olivier said, I'm a new face, a new member of the community since last year. I would like to suggest you to look at a positive moment because as we know, new people may have a new look at the work, may find a new way or opportunity have lots of new ideas.

We new people, we are new blood and sometimes we have maybe brave or crazy suggestions or ideas and sometimes because lack of knowledge maybe about procedures or some part or corners of ICANN users.   I think we need all this new way for positive changing and development is maybe for our evolution. If I start to say about my plans and my future visions and work. I want to you to know that it is not the start because it's just a continue of our current work with the addition of a new function and deeper participation.

For example, we are already working individual participation to increase the enrollment of our members. To increase [inaudible] to each other's

activities and plans to create and we are going to create a guidebook for beginners. I think now we can see, now is high time to put outreach and engagement strategy on the floor. For example, ICANN [inaudible], during the future IGF with Daniel, Matthias and [inaudible]. I also want to take part in creating the communication strategy. I strongly believe in our cooperation and our common joining forces. As I said in my statement of interest, it's really nice to watch not just the work of your colleagues from other RALOs and learn from the best practice and experience.

I think it's the great time for our collaboration with our small organization and our [inaudible] organization. We will have a continued and we need to put into the reality of decision and our ideas. I am going to participate if you allow me, in the work of additional documents define the procedures and the details of the EURALO members participation in our activities. Maybe we may define some function for some leaders' positions for clarification and maybe for control of us, of this position. Each specific point, I see just role and our new steps I the future, not just activity. I hope and I believe have a clear look and strong organizational skills for this work. I have to say that I still have some gaps in my ICANN universe knowledge of course but all of you, everyday are helping me a lot and I'm very grateful for this.

I'm looking forward to attempt at least one ICANN meeting, to learn, to work with you and see all of you. I want to say that EURALO for me is a family. I'm going to work a lot hard to make our community better and give more wider in our general activity. I think everyone, as I am, wants us to put many, many new energies and fresh into work. Of course, your decision will depend on what you already know about my current

role and my ability to be responsible in roles, to be useful, to be communicate, not depend on what I can say now.

Wale, I know that you are way worse to be on this position but if I have got this amazing chance and opportunity, I would like to show you this. I can be good on this position too. Thank you very much for your attention and for your trust. Whatever you will decide, I'm going to work a lot with you and for us. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Natalia. And now we have the last position in the list, and that's the position of the ALAC delegate to the non-com. There are five delegates that the ALAC can put on the non-com, one coming from each one of the regional At-Large organization and in this case it's different from the others where we conduct full elections. Here we make a recommendation to the ALAC for a candidate. This year was Erich Schweighofer and Erich is standing again for reelection. So, Erich, if you would like to share a few words.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: Thank you, Olivier, I can make it very short. Thanks, Sebastien, for the nomination and for this recommendation. My profile is that I'm a professor to university and being engaged in ICANN activities for a long time, mostly from the scientific point of view. My whole activities as from scientific work is on civil society position and inside organization groups, organization conferencing and getting members more engaged with ICANN and also engaged two groups as ALSes. All I do because I'm quite fascinated with the multi stakeholder model of ICANN and like the

strength of the At-Large community because I think it's important to do much more about that.

ICANN knowledge, I've attended quite many ICANN meetings, starting in 2000 actually. Also, since last years was [inaudible] cross community working group and accountability. Then my days in the new gTLDs and also on the cross community working group on internet governance. And EURALO, I'm a board member and was quite concerned about as an outreach as much as additional policy was in this professional computer society.

About doing ICANN NomCom, it's quite hard work actually; the first year I learned a lot, how to do it. If I would be recommended for a second year, I'll try to do more on outreach and also on the selection criteria and also on the quite strong now a days of diversity. That's it from my side. I would be looking forward for your support and hopefully I was sufficiently active in this last year. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this, Erich. Now, we have gone through the whole -- all candidates have presented themselves. I guess the floor is open for any questions. We're running a little bit late, so please keep your questions short to the candidate and I'll also ask the candidate to answer the questions quickly and easily. Are there any questions anybody has? This is where I should have come up with some questions myself. I think that each one of these presentations here were very good, very effective and good background.

EN

I guess the thing is, we've got three positions which are uncontended. The question then would be, whether we can just renew the mandate for these three people or sorry, start the mandate for these three people? Renew the mandate of Erich Schweighofer as the delegate to the NomCom and then elect Matthias Hudobnic and Sebastien Bachollet by acclimation. By acclimation is effectively by saying there's no opposition, there's consensus and we can just send a note to the EURALO mailing list and say have three days for any objections. With no objections, then they will be selected for these positions.

Then, with regards to the EURALO secretariat, we would have a vote between Wale and Natalia. I guess maybe some of the questions have to be aimed towards them as such. I see Heidi has put her hand up, so maybe she has either a question or a position how this whole process works.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you, Olivier, and well done everyone, very impressive. Just a couple of points. One is that the fallback for ALAC positions and widely for At-Large is a position is uncontested, that it is declared the winner acclamation, if you wanted to change that, that would be a decision that you would need to make. The position of the ALAC delegate from the European region to the NomCom, again, EURALO only recommends that person to the ALAC, it is the ALAC that ultimately will make the decision on that. If you were to say that he wins by acclimation, it would be he wins the recommendation from EURALO to the ALAC for that position. Thank you.

EN

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Heidi. I think that's what I said but maybe I didn't make myself clear. That's for the ALAC delegate to the NomCom. The ALAC is the body that appoints people to the nominating committee, EURALO makes the recommendation, that's correct. Thanks for letting us know about the fallback position. In EURALO, historically what we've done is still provide a chance for anyone to object formally but not go through a full election when there is just one candidate for a position. I recalled in the past Wolfe sending a note out and saying, unless I hear from anyone within the next three days, then we will have the following people selected by acclimation.

I'm not seeing any hands up. I don't know if there are any questions for Wale and Natalia. I'm not seeing anything. I think that with this -- I thought there might be some questions. It's good to have really an introduction from each one of the candidates. I'm very impressed with the lineup that we have. I'm very thankful for all the candidates to have stepped forward and to not only step forward but also explain their reason as to why they're interested in the topics. It's great to see people who, as current chair, I feel really excited to hear from people who are equally as excited about the multi stakeholder model as I am.

Seeing all their involvement of time that is being spent in those position pro bono is really great to see that we have candidates that are ready to take the time and I can say that I have often had discussions with candidates about how much time does this all take? I know that several of you on the call today might be laughing, thinking yeah, you told me it wasn't very much time. Well, it's some time, it comes in by bursts. It's great that some people are able to spend the time on this. It just requires a bit of adjustment most of the time.

EN

I know that some people have asked why I'm not renewing my interest in the EURALP position? It's been four years now, two times two terms and prior to this, when I was ALAC chair I also did two time two three years not two term. Two terms, each one being three years long. I think that four years is long enough at a position. People start falling into this routine, need new faces and movement and so on. I'll still stick around; I'll still be around to help out with things but EURALO needs a new chair and needs more new people coming in and more ideas so that we keep the debate going. With this, I'm at risk of rambling. Thanks to everyone.

Let's go to the closing remarks and next steps. That's done, so let's go to any other business. I'm not seeing any other business. As to next steps, yes, the election will start on the EURALO secretariat position, it's written in the chat at the moment. Staff to organize a vote on the EURALO secretariat position. Of course, we're going to have to go out there and ask our ALSes to vote.

As you know, sometimes it's a bit difficult in the flood of emails that come through, so please, if I ask you all who are on the call, who are committed, to check with the people in At-Large structures that you know, check if they've voted so then that saves me from having to run after everyone and get a good turnout for this vote.

With this, we will check out the vote credential, that's another thing we need to check. I will check with Staff on the vote credential email because sometimes people's emails change. I note that there were a few changes recently. With this, thanks everyone. We're one minute

before the end of those 90 minutes. It's been a great call. I see Glenn has put his hand up.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you and congratulations to everybody that's standing and to yourself Olivier for doing such a great job. Very quickly, I experimented with doing raptor tier rated notes in a couple of sessions and social media group met this morning and it seemed to be an interest, so if anyone's going to Marrakesh, I've set up a Google Doc and will be populating with each of the sessions, so please if you are in Marrakesh, take the time to help with the curated notes. I think its helpful to have these notes of our sessions so we can share it with our community afterword's. That's just a quick note and a request. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this, Glenn, that's really helpful, that's really exciting as well. We'll have summaries of those meetings, that's really great. Seeing no other hands up, thanks everybody. For those people travelling to Marrakesh, see you in Marrakesh and for everyone else, see you on the internet. I hope you'll be able to follow things remotely. I think we don't have a call before Marrakesh, do we? When is our next call? I should have checked really, shouldn't I? One of the primary failures of Olivier.

Now, we do have a call on the 18th of June and that's just before the Marrakesh meeting. I think we'll be on the call there. We might have to move this earlier because there will be EURO DIG taking place on the 18th of June. Since a lot of people will be at EURO Dig, we might wish to

move this forward by one week, if that's okay? Move it to the 11ᵗʰ of June. I can see confirmation from Gisella. Right, let's work on this. Thank you. Thanks everyone. Have a very good evening and this call is now closed. Thank you, bye, bye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Thank you all for joining this call, this meeting is now adjourned. Please remember to disconnect your lines. Thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]