Attendance:

Alan Greenberg	Maureen Hilyard
Ching Chiao	Marie-Noemie Marques
Erika Mann	Nadira AL-ARAJ
John Levine	Raymond Mamattah
Jonathan Robinson	Stephen Deerhake
Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member	Vanda Scartezini
Julf Helsingius	
Marilyn Cade	

Audio Only:
Sebastien Bachollet
Remmy Nweke

Apologies:
Maarten Botterman
Robert Guerra

Staff:
Emily Barabas
Emily Crane Pimentel
Joke Braeken
Julie Bisland
Lauren Allison
Marika Konings
Sally Costerton
Samantha Eisner
Xavier Calvez
Andrea Glandon

Zoom Chat:
00:30:14   Joke Braeken: hello all. If you would like to follow the live-note taking for today's CCWG AP meeting, go to:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1fKTHUBciSb01F6CdFQtQpm5D0w8DQPD2M-NJ95YEBok/edit?usp=sharing
00:30:18   Maureen Hilyard: Thank you Jonathan for sharing the article
00:31:09   Vanda Scartezini: goodmorning
00:33:15   Marika Konings:
      https://community.icann.org/display/CWGONGAP/Initial+Report+Public+Comment+Review
00:36:10   Ching Chiao: Thank you so much Erika !
00:36:12   Maureen Hilyard: Happy birthday Ching
00:36:15   Vanda Scartezini: HAPPY BIRTHDAY !
00:36:53   Stephen Deerhake: Happy Birthday Ching
00:37:11   Maureen Hilyard: The overview is a good reminder on what we still need to work on.. sorry I have not been as involved as I should
00:37:11   Marika Konings:https://community.icann.org/display/CWGONGAP/FAQs

00:41:52   Vanda Scartezini: YES MAUREEN ,, and does not looks small task

00:42:28   Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: Thanks Andrea

00:43:02   Andrea Glandon: You're welcome

00:44:16   Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: It was Sally who just had joined and did not realize she was not muted

00:46:58   Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: I agree with Vanda's inclusion as well

00:47:01   Marika Konings:Those involved in developing this language are: Marilyn, Elliot, Jonathan, Alan and Maureen

00:47:32   Vanda Scartezini: you may change the sentence since English not my native language

00:47:44   Jonathan Robinson: HI. I am here but in a public place

00:47:55   Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: Marilyn is listed as MSCade

00:48:01   Maureen Hilyard: yes Jonathan is there. Sorry I missed Marilyn.. Great to see them

00:48:01   Andrea Glandon: I just renamed

00:48:14   Marika Konings:Please note that you can change your name by hovering over your name and clicking 'rename'.

00:48:46   Marika Konings:It would be helpful if you include behind your name whether you are a member or participant and your affiliation

00:50:27   Andrea Glandon: Please remember to mute your line when not speaking

00:50:37   Maureen Hilyard: "constraints otherwise imposed" thank you for adding some explanation Marika

00:51:00   Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: Samantha has her hand up

00:52:38   Maureen Hilyard: Perhaps incorporate Vanda's addition into the document rather than at the end. So that we do end with "definitive"

00:52:56   Marilyn Cade: Thanks to addition of e.g. re legal and fiduciary requirements. That addresses my concerns on that point.   Erika, rather than "shall", we. Might use the word "may", as "shall and :should are instructive and may is optional.

00:52:59   Vanda Scartezini: as I said someone with a better English can make it better

00:53:45   Vanda Scartezini: looks better

00:54:11   Maureen Hilyard: Marika, probably position the new sentence before the last one.

00:54:22   Vanda Scartezini: YES

00:55:40   Jonathan Robinson: I am happy to volunteer to edit including dealing with Marilyn's concern re the use of "shall"

00:56:13   Jonathan Robinson: Or simply refine with the small group as Eric just now suggested

00:56:16   Vanda Scartezini: ok

00:58:25   Marilyn Cade: Sam, thanks for your comments, and while I am interested in what role an internal ICANN staff might provide, I want to a avoid ICANN Org being too restrictive.

00:59:31   Julf Helsingius: We also don't want ICANN.org to be too inclusive.

00:59:57   samantha.eisner: Xavier or I would be happy to join in a further session

01:00:04   Marilyn Cade: For instance, ICANN has significantly cut back on the Special Projects funding and just because ICANN funds 3 Community. Outreach events per group, should not preclude other forms of outreach…

01:01:08   Julf Helsingius: Sure, but we don't want ICANN org to cut down programs with the excuse of "well, you can get funding from Auction Proceeds"

01:01:15   Maureen Hilyard: I have to agree with Marilyn. One of the key issues for even the larger group is that ICANN Org only provided the legal and fiduciary inputs but did not have any influence on the choice of funded projects.

01:02:08 Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: I agree with Marilyn, but i did not read that into my reading of this paragraph

01:02:28 Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: I agree with Maureen on this. It is how I feel

01:05:26 Vanda Scartezini: Xavier has a good point

01:06:05 Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: I agree with Xavier's point. It makes sense

01:07:14 Marilyn Cade: ICANN Org can provide a summary. If there are then questions, such questions can be referred to ICANN Org for clarification. I agree with bringing it back to the small group, as Jonathan suggested. And include Sam and Xavier if possible in discussion.

01:07:15 Maureen Hilyard: Helpful input from Xavier.. and I think this is in line with our recommendation.

01:07:23 Xavier Calvez: Happy to help.

01:07:27 Ching Chiao: Yup agree to move it back to small group

01:07:38 Ching Chiao: There could be a "Declare of Interest " process built in for the fund applicant, meaning that they will have to declare if they receive fund from ICANN op fund or from other sources. It has to be done anyway .

01:08:16 Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: Hand up

01:08:29 Julf Helsingius: receive, or received in the past

01:10:13 Julf Helsingius: Exactly, Erika!

01:10:37 Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: yes. I understand it. that makes sense.

01:12:40 Maureen Hilyard: The frustration for many constituencies is that there are current categories that ICANN is supposed to support but often reject because of lack of funds.

01:13:17 Vanda Scartezini: sure Maureen…

01:14:38 Julf Helsingius: But AP should not be to fix things we disagree with in the ICANN budget

01:14:59 Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: Yes. I agree with that. Or that a similar program was funded for one constituency and so not funded for another because it was assumed they could use the work product produced by the other constituency that was not tailored or fit well with the other requested constituency

01:15:55 Marilyn Cade: Re Rec 11: I am very concerned to any reference to changes in ICANN's mission.

01:17:48 Marika Konings:@Marilyn - my understanding is that this refers to changes that could be the result of other processes / decisions, not related to the auction proceeds.

01:17:52 Marilyn Cade: Discussion about how the community might support needs more discussion than a single person, regardless of which community they come from, so I was a little startled to hear that Alan is working with the Leadership team but there is not inclusion of others on a representative basis to make a recommendation.

01:19:26 Julf Helsingius: I agree with Marilyn's concern

01:19:47 AlanGreenberg:Sorry for being late. Have construction going on...

01:20:51 Marika Konings:Note that the input that was provided during that call has been noted and will be factored in the drafting.

01:22:08 Marilyn Cade: I am happy to join the small group.

01:24:01 Maureen Hilyard: I am happy to work with Alan to work on the draft

01:24:05 Marilyn Cade: So, just withdrawing and will wait to comment when the micro team has a document/proposal.

01:29:33 Vanda Scartezini: thank you happy to help

01:30:16 Vanda Scartezini: not for everyone sorry

01:47:59 Marilyn Cade: If there are substantial changes, yes, to a second comment period. How short are we allowed it to be? It is better to address the substantial/material changes, rather than starting over, in my view.

01:49:31   Vanda Scartezini: we can ask I believe, but my own opinion may be a short public period only for the material changes
01:51:51   Nadira AL-ARAJ:the substantial change = key changes
01:54:56   Marilyn Cade: I am not sure I understand — if there is an independent entity managing the application/award/evaluation process, they need to provide this. ICANN should be outside this, if there is a truly independent mechanism.
02:02:21   Marilyn Cade: We don't want the board or ICANN Org, or community participants to be subject to lawsuits as an example because someone does not agree with a decision about a grant application. Keeping this outside of ICANN processes is very important, in my view.
02:03:59   Vanda Scartezini: thank you Samantha, clearer
02:04:02   Nadira AL-ARAJ:Marilyn I think ICANN accountability of this issue depends on the mechanism
02:05:18   Vanda Scartezini: yes agree with ALAN it is normally written in the bid you are lot able to appeal to the final decision
02:05:50   Vanda Scartezini: I am against to have appeal mechanism to granted decision.
02:06:18   John Levine: Agree with Alan, look at the way that other orgs handle grants and do what they do. Please don't invent something new and different.
02:06:27   Maureen Hilyard: I agree that we don't want to make the process more complicated for us in the long run.. no appeals makes sense
02:06:51   Marilyn Cade: Nadira, we need to remember that this is not ICANN 'usual funding', and we wouldn't want the ICANN Board or ICANN Org to be diverted from their day. Jobs, so to speak to take on oversight of grant making/management.   We don't elect/select Board members to oversee auction fees, but to provide leadership for the broader mission of ICANN, after all.
02:07:42   Marilyn Cade: Just fyi: any grant making entity will have some kind of appeals mechanism/not suggesting such a mechanism inside ICANN, however.
02:09:09   Vanda Scartezini: I would skip it
02:10:44   samantha.eisner: There are no legal requirements to have an appeals process, but there might be an accountability need to have one
02:12:46   John Levine: No, there isn't. I'm a trustee of the ISOC foundation, we don't have one
02:14:20   Vanda Scartezini: Sam - acceptable will be the clear process checked it was followed not the results I believe.
02:14:35   Vanda Scartezini: acepptabel= accountable
02:18:28   Vanda Scartezini: yes guidance will be mandatory IMO
02:20:23   Vanda Scartezini: not seen any
02:24:59   Vanda Scartezini: thanks to all good session!
02:27:19   Marika Konings:correct
02:27:51   Marika Konings:Once we have confirmation on the slot, we can set up a doodle poll
02:28:00   Marilyn Cade: Very important to have a working slot that is for work/Wednesday would be excellent as we can book it now.
02:28:24   Maureen Hilyard: Doodle poll - good idea for indication of attendees
02:28:34   Nadira AL-ARAJ:thank you
02:28:36   Joke Braeken: thanks all. Bye.
02:28:43   Judith Hellerstein-ALAC Member: bye all