**BRENDA BREWER:** 

Good day everyone, this is Brenda speaking. Wecome to ATRT3 Plenary Call #13 on the 22nd of May 2019 at 1100 UTC. Members attending the call today are Cheryl, Daniel, Pat, Ramet, Sebastien, Liu, Vanda, Osvaldo, and Maarten. Observers joining us are Jim Prendergast, Herb Waye, Sophie Hey, and Avri Doria. Attending from ICANN Org, Jennifer, Larisa, and Brenda. And we do have apologies from Wolfgang Kleinwächter, KC Claffy, and Negar Farzinnia.

Today's meeting is being recorded. I'd like to remind you state your name before speaking, and someone just joined, Jaap just joined us, as well. Erica has also joined, and Demi is no longer an apology, it looks like. So with that, I'll turn the call over to our Co-Chairs, Pat and Cheryl. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thanks, Brenda, I'll get us started if you like, Pat, and leave you to the really hard work in terms of reference and work plan, because by then my voice will have taken its toll. But we'll see how I go for the beginnings, at least. Pat and I would like to welcome you all, including observers, to today's call. It's Plenary #13, good heavens, it is moving along here. In general, welcome, today we will do the usual administrivia and we will also see if we can further our terms of reference and work plan, which are the time critical documents right now, noting that considerable work, however, has gone on in each of the work party topics as we will hear.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

It's a relatively large agenda today, there's only three pieces of substantive work going on and of course we'll call for any other business. While we're thinking about that, I'll ask if anyone has an update to the statement of interest reminding you wall with continuous disclosure, and if you do have an update to your statement of interest you can let us know now.

I'm not hearing anybody but we're noticing a few more people who have joined the call. Let's see if anyone has any other business that they wish to let us know about. We will make another call for any other business by the end of the session. Not seeing any hands or hearing any voices. That puts all the administrivia to the over and done with pile.

With that, going through today's agenda, we have the Ombudsman to present or speak to his report on the case file that you've all distributed to the list and you call agreed could be made public. And so we told you all earlier we'd make the opportunity and an agenda time at the earlier convenience so you could have any questions asked and answered, and that's what the next 15 to 20 minutes. We'll then go through our normal updates from each of the work parties and particularly looking for any resources or requests that we need to deal with.

We'll be then getting into the significant work of development of Terms of Reference and our Work Plan, Any Other Business, and then Confirm Actions and Decisions Reached. One piece of Any Other Business we may wish to look it is if we need any additional call time to work on our Terms of Reference, but as Pat and I will go through, when we get to that agenda item, we're looking towards making next week's call pretty much dedicated just to that topic, remembering that we meet with the

work party leaders each week before this call to set the agendas up and we're going to look at dedicating most of next week's call to getting the Work Plan and Terms of Reference done.

So, with that, let's hand over to Herb. Thank you, Herb, for joining us. We've got a number of observers on the call so I would not assume that all the observers on the call have any idea about this Case File 2019-382, but we'll leave that over to you.

HERB WAYE:

Thank you very much, Cheryl, and greetings everybody. For those that are members of the working group, you've had an opportunity to read the report that I've submitted to you, so I guess maybe rather than me working my way through it, we can start by asking if there are any questions or comments from the individual members of the working group that I can address or respond to, to start off with. So, maybe if anybody has, they can just join in the conversation or raise a hand or something, if there are any comments that anybody wishes to make. I don't know if I can see hands going up, but if somebody can...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

I'm looking at the queue, Herb. I'm not seeing any hands raised at the moment, and they can also type into chat.

HERB WAYE:

Okay, you may get a little bit of your 20 minutes back. I guess probably what I can do is just give a very brief resume of my interaction and how came about and worked for me. I don't know if Sébastien, at some

point, you would like to offer your comments on this or respond to anything. Okay, so Sébastien will speak to this once I have completed. The basic fundamental component of this was that there was a fair amount of confusion raised by the existence of two documents, or two drafts I guess I should call them, of the operating standards for specific reviews that were inconsistent in their wording. The original kind of indicated that depending on the number of people that volunteered for position of a Chair or Co-Chair, there would be an election process and a selection process.

The second draft contradicted a little bit of that, but didn't quite give a clear path forward for then there would be volunteers no acute disease when to hold an election. But both did clearly indicate that it was up to the working group to predetermine their leadership structure. And so when the members of the working group took the initiative between the selection of all of the working group membership, and the first work call, there were initiatives taken to predetermine how the working group, and a lot of that was based on the fact that there was a very strict one year term for this review, which brought about a little bit of initiative to get the ball rolling as fast as possible.

So, in my report I addressed that. I addressed also an issue of possible diversity where language was raised as being an element of the leadership team. Looking at the structure of the working group and the composition of the membership, I also didn't find that that was a critical element for leadership and as I observed in the past with other working groups, people worked so closely together, the leadership structure or composition is not necessarily something that is necessarily reflective of

the entire group as working groups have a tendency more to work as a unit, a full team, rather than in an hierarchical type of way.

The three recommendations that came out of it have no impact on this working group. They are recommendations to move forward in the future and more specifically to address issues of transparency and accountability. So, I'm tabling that in this report in hopes of preventing any confusion or contradictory actions in the future. If anybody has any comments or questions, please, now is the time. I will be continuing to participate as an observer in this working group because it's a fascinating opportunity for me to observe an accountability structure and a review of the accountability structure as it's actually happening.

I missed the past ATRT2 as I was not the ombudsman at that time. So, I will still be hanging around and if anybody has an questions or comments or issues that they would like to raise with me, as this review team continues with its work, please don't hesitate to use me as that resource. If there are no other questions, Cheryl if you have nothing for me, then I will cede the floor to Sébastien.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, Herb. Sébastien, the floor is yours. Brenda, do we have a problem with Sébastien's audio in?

**BRENDA BREWER:** 

This is Brenda, I am trying to unmute Sébastien's line, I am not able to, so Sébastien, you will need to press \*6 to unmute your connection.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Yes I have done that already.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

We can hear you now.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you for giving me the floor. I want to be clear that, it was not so clear, I would like very much that our colleagues from the group have read the report and all the decision it's in their hand, not in the hand of anyone else, including the ombudsman, it's what we do with our working group. I just want you to understand that when I read both documents it was clear for me that if there are no more than three candidates there will not be an election.

I have no struggle with anything at that moment because I was thinking that it was written and it will be effect, and in fact I was wrong. It may be because I don't understand the subtlety of English in those documents, but I still feel that it's the choice of the members of this group and I still feel that we can decide on that now, now you have all the information, you have the report from the ombudsman, you have the position of the different actors of this situation, and I would like very much that you make a decision as soon as possible about that.

Otherwise I will continue my work in this working group and I want to thank you, the ombudsman for his work and report on that, and I would like to thank you everyone for listening to me, and for the ones who have read the documents. Thank you very much. Back to you, Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, Sébastien, Cheryl Langdon-Orr for the transcript record. And I want to thank Herb, as well. Pat and I have found that everything we were asked to provide Herb, and we've been asked to provide Herb with quite a deal of information and material as he went through his investigation. I think we can comment from our perspective his investigations are indeed, and I am also assuming that all of the members of the review team have read his case file.

Herb, I do note that Avri Doria who is a regular with us on our ATRT calls, she has a wealth of experience in this, but also particularly vested interest now as Chair of the Organizational Effectiveness Committee. I know that we have all agreed that your case file should become public, but I've suggested to her in private chat that she should approach you for a copy to be forwarded for her attention, as well. Pat, is there anything else we need to do before we move to our agenda? Sébastien, and do note the time Sébastien, we're getting up towards the amount of time we had allocated for this extraordinary agenda item. Back to you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Sébastien Bachollet speaking. As I shared the case to the Board also I am sure that all board members, as member of this group has the report already. I have misinformed and I guess it will be done soon for all the Board members. As we agree, and each member of this group agreed to have this public, I will hope that now it will be published on the website of the ombudsman and become a public document. Thank you very much.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, Sébastien. It has been confirmed in the chat, for the record I'll read it, "A copy has been submitted to the Board and they await authorization to distribute it." Herb will give authorization today and he also thought it had been distributed to the Board confidentially. And yes, he certainly confirms it will be published on his website, in others it will be handled as all such case files could and should be. With that, let's move to our normal agenda. We've done exactly what we said we would do, and that is give everybody the opportunity to read it, to ask questions, and to have the ombudsman present for any clarification.

Thank you Herb, thank you Sébastien, and I would assume that we may make reference in our file reporting as well, to some of the recommendations you make in your case file, Herb, because they do in fact to towards future transparency in things like document control and version control and implementation, something I'm sure ICANN is aware of, but this can assist us to focus on that.

So, with that, let's take the updates from each work party and we'll take the following order as it is in Item #3 for the short, let's say about five minutes or so, with questions from each of the groups. We'll go in order of Board, GAC, Reviews, and Communities. With that, I note that we've got both Osvaldo and Sébastien on today's call. Osvaldo or Sébastien, which of you is taking the lead on a short work party update? Osvaldo, I see your hand up.

OSVALDO NOVOA:

Hello, good morning, this is Osvaldo for the record. Do you hear me?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Loud and clear.

OSVALDO NOVOA:

Excellent. Our group didn't do much more after last week, we are waiting to have a group call that Sébastien prepared the call will be today, I hope. Anyway, I think we have most of our agenda items defined and I think we can prepare for next week, have all our requests and work done. I'm confident we can finish this part of the work at least, by next week. Thank you. Sébastien, I don't know if you have anything.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Sébastien, did you want to follow up?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you, I just want to say yes, the call will be tonight at 6 pm, it will use Zoom, I was thinking about Skype call, but it will be on Zoom. The objective is to discuss the document, we tried to send email to the group with references to be sure that everybody has it. But I would like to add the participants who wish to discuss the Board issue on this ATRT3 to come to this meeting tonight. Thank you very much.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you. I see Ramet, your hand is up.

**RAMET KHALILI NASR:** 

Hello again everyone, this is Ramet for the record. On ATRT2 implementation briefing material which was sent by Jennifer, there is an URL about key performance indicators, KPIs, abort trainings and individual trainings attended. I couldn't open that link and I had a talk with Jennifer, but I still can't open the link. I can put the URL in the chat box, and could you please check it out? Thanks.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Certainly, we'll have a go, and see what the story is. But if it's a broken link, I'm sure we'll be able to track down an ability to get to the material one way or the other. But I'm sure if Jennifer has checked it, we can all have a try now, then. Thanks for that. Just before we move on to the GAC report, you can put your hand down Ramet unless you've got a following, thank you.

I'm assuming Osvaldo and Sébastien that one of the things you will be discussing is the formulation of questions, any direction that we're going to be having with the Board, and obviously Terms of Reference and work plan, because that's a priority as well. But if there are any resource requests that you need Staff to look towards, time is getting away from us, so we really do need to try and get any of those resource requests in as soon as possible. So I'm hoping you'll be covering that in your call later today, as well.

Okay, we've got Liu and Vanda here today from the Government Advisory Committee work party. Which of you is making a brief report today? And I believe Vanda did send the slide, so Brenda, if you could bring that slide up. Lie, Vanda?

**VANDA SCARTEZINI:** 

Yeah, good morning this is Vanda for the record. Well, we are trying to finalize the questions to be sent to GAC Board and community. To the moment, we have defined three general questions but we have discussed that one relevant point regarding ATRT2 was discussed but not yet translated into a formal question. So, that is what is missing for us. Once we finalize that we are done, and from our view, we really would like to have time with the GAC Chair or Vice Chairs, maybe after they finish their communiqué to give more time to them.

Liu just agreed to help setting this time slot with them, we appreciate. And that's where we are. I hope we could finalize these questions to the end of this week, I hope, because GAC needs more time than other groups in our community. So, thank you very much. I pass to Liu or Maarten, if they want to add something else. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Liu, Maarten?

MAARTEN BOTTERMAN:

Thanks, very well coordinated by Vanda. She very well explained the essence, appreciate it.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Liu will step in if he wants to. Okay, alright, so we can get on with our job. Let's go back to agenda slide, then, thanks Brenda. We can now

move to Reviews. KC is an apology, so I'm assuming Daniel you will be giving a brief report. Daniel, over to you.

**DANIEL NANGHAKA:** 

Thank you very much, it's Daniel speaking for the record. I hope I can be heard loud and clear. When it comes to the Review, we made some progress and also we held a meeting as you can see to discuss how we are going to proceed with the respective drafting of the report and gathering of the required information.

So, part of the feedback that I have to give during this meeting is that the first action point is that we shall request Staff to be able to create for us a Doodle poll for Reviews work party to meet, and then also the other meeting that we shall hold will be requesting for a joint meeting together with the Community working group, because some of the documentation that came from the discussions was that for example, the EPDP discussion was present to the community, but then when was sent to review, we realized that we also needed to review the review of the PDP process, and also some people came up, regarding the EPDP Phase 1 report.

Also, I'm happy to mention that our list of draft documentation has been started and also input is being requested from the different members as we proceed to manage the questions from the scoping exercises and the also from the ATRT2 report. I'm also happy to mention that still the other items in the ATRT2 report which cut across to other work parties which also shall be calling for meetings, but at the same time, in agreement with KC that the question [inaudible] we get

the questions and answers which are able to contribute respectively to the Review's Work Party report. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much, Daniel. I appreciate that, as does Pat, and it's good to hear that the work party you and KC are running are planning on having a subject matter meeting. It certainly seemed to work for GAC, and I'm assuming it will also work for the Board and you, and I noted I believe that the community group is also heading in that direction, as well. Speaking of the community group, I see that we've got Erica on the call and I'm just scanning down now. Michael has not joined us, so it looks like it's all up to you Erica.

Erica Varlese:

Hi, this is Erica, thanks Cheryl. Just a brief update on the work so far of the community group. This week we've begun working on scheduling a call primarily to prepare for the Marrakech meeting and working out some initial work or discussion starting to collect questions or preparing for that meeting just in a Google doc, but I don't think we've had any input from the team just yet. Also, you had requested some initial resource requests, but I know this morning there has been some feedback on the list as well, just further clarifying those requests and refining those and adding some details.

I think there is going to be some elements that we need to potentially discuss, as well. I think for the community group, those of you on the call now, what would be most helpful is whether starting with the resource requests and work plan, or if you want to dive into preparing,

taking the time to go through those and adding that feedback, I think we've kind of been in that place where deciding if silence is agreement or just that people haven't had a chance to look yet. So I think we might need more of that feedback.

So for the group, for those of you involved, if you can, if you have some time this week to go through and flag things or add any edits, obviously Michael and I can coordinate on updating anything in particular for the resource requests, but in general, just making sure that we have that two-way conversation going on those elements in particular, that's our main priority for this week, I think. That should about cover it. If anyone else in the group, I know Michael is not here, but if anyone else has questions or wanted to add, please feel free to jump in.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

I see Daniel's hand. Daniel, to you?

DANIEL NANGHAKA:

Daniel for the record. Just an item on the issue for communities. A list of some points that has been sent to the community for discussion coming from the review and I think all those have also been entered into the document by Erica. Also, the scoping of the questions is also still paramount regarding to the preparations for ICANN65. Only that I'm not sure has the agenda for the respective, sorry not the agenda but the guidelines for conducting the discussion for reviews for Marrakech that have been set for the community work party, I think also that's one of the things that we shall have to discuss as the community work party. Thank you. Back to you, Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thanks very much for that Daniel. One of the things that Pat and I discussed with the leadership team meeting earlier this week, and we have set up a meeting I think for Wednesday with Staff, is to try and build out our agenda for our meeting on the 23rd and we will be using some of that space for interactions where possible for some of the relevant work parties to have exchanges.

Obviously community is going to benefit greatly from the ones that have [inaudible] during Marrakech, but the interest, of course, if some of the things out of ATRT2 for example and perhaps with some of the leaders of previous reviews, we may be able to get those set up as interactions on the 23rd, as well. But we'll get back to you on all that as soon as we know.

Brenda has just mentioned to me, I'll get to you in a moment Larisa, Brenda has just mentioned to me in chat that she would very much like the person who is dialed in with the phone number ending in 998 to identify themselves to her, if not to the rest of us. So if that was possible for someone to identify, that would be appreciate. I guess if you don't identify, we will leave it to Brenda as to whether or not she disconnects you or whatever, I don't know. It is an open meeting, but it is good to know who we're talking to. Larisa, over to you.

LARISA GURNICK:

Thank you very much, Cheryl, hello everybody, Larisa Gurnick for the record. As the different work parties, I know you're all working very diligently on framing the scope of work and drafting your resource

requirements. I just wanted to tell you that our internal group of people within ICANN also have some expertise on various points and we are coordinating, my team is coordinating with various other groups to make sure that they're prepared as you need information and refine your requests.

Also would pose a question to you all, how that kind of intervention and interaction with some people around ICANN Org that might have information or maybe help you refine some of the requests, how you might consider staging that in a way that obviously we don't interfere with your work, but also give you an opportunity to collect relevant information maybe to expedite some of the research and such. So, just wanted to leave you with that thought, and happy to discuss further.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thanks, Larisa, Cheryl for the record. And working with Jennifer they've been very clear with the work parties, the work party leads that as they develop their resource requests they need to go through Staff, because we do want to access the right material from the right time and the right places in the most efficient way. But you've certainly just reiterated that. If there is anything that you believe we could benefit from, please feel free to pass that on to us, we can always review resources even if we don't ask for them. What we do with them, of course, is another question, but we're willing to receive input, that's certain.

But yes, we'll get this a little bit clearer once the particular questions are also developed by the work parties, as well. So, with that, as my voice is

croaking, your hand is still up Larisa, did you want to follow up? No, okay. What I might do is I note that IRP is also listed here. IRP is one of those issues that of course has over arching interest to us all. It's there as a placeholder. We've had our briefing, we are watching the process that is currently going on with community being requested to make suggestions for standing panel, et cetera.

So it at this stage hasn't furthered from our briefing, and I should also note that of course following from our work with Brian and the ICANN governance model evolution briefing he gave us last week, a number of you also attended the following call with the webinar with him, so that was two webinars that some of you attended, one, other, or both of those, but also that the public comment is open for a few more days on that and we would also be looking forward to receiving his next generation material just before Marrakech on or about the 12th of June. So, in our meeting on or about the 12th of June, we'll have another look at the IRP, as well. Have I missed anything, Pat, before I stop my ability to talk at all?

PAT KANE:

Cheryl, this is Pat, I don't believe so. I think we're good.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Okay, well, Terms of Reference and work plan, all yours, and I'm going to gargle with some aspirin.

PAT KANE:

Alright, thank you Cheryl, this is Pat, So, we've got about 14 days to do our calendar delivery of the Terms of Reference and so I know that Cheryl spoke a little bit about this earlier and that we would devote most if not all the time next week on the 29th actually going through the Terms of Reference and start finalizing some wording so we can polish it up in the last week hopefully, so we can make the June 5th delivery.

So, I know that I had sent out a couple questions concerning the one section from the Terms of Reference that I've been focused on, which is how are we going to deliver our recommendations. And I got some feedback which was helpful, so we'll get that put into the document this week, but we still have got a lot of sections to cover in terms of getting ready for the rest of the document. I think we've done a lot of good work on putting together the scope for the different sections, the different areas that we're focused on, but there is some filling out of the remainder of the document.

So, if there are any questions you've got on the remainder of the Terms of Reference, if not, let's focus on let's make certain that we take a look at the remainder of the document between now and next week so that we can have a productive 90 minutes on the 29th in going through the Terms of Reference and the Work Plan that will be submitted. Any questions?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

We have them in stunned silence, Pat, that's a most unusual circumstance for this group.

PAT KANE:

Alright, I just want to emphasize that we've only got a couple weeks left, and so there is still a significant amount of work to be done, and I'll work with Cheryl to dig in on getting ready for next Wednesday, because I know that we're not going to have a leadership meeting on Monday, but Cheryl and I will continue to communicate on that one. So, Cheryl, if there's nothing else.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Always happy to have a shorter meeting as opposed to a longer one, Pat. What we can do, then, is just remind you all that in addition to the work party topic polls that at least I think three out of the four groups are conducting or planning to conduct soon, you might get all excited about your work after those, but your focus really in these next 7 to 10 days as to be in terms of the Terms of Reference and Work Plan.

Next week's agenda will be the administrivia and just that, shared screen, live editing on the Terms of Reference and Work Plan documents. So bring your ability to dot i's and cross t's with you, but the more prep work you do, the better, and the more contributions to the Google docs the faster and more efficient effective we will be in that drafting exercise. So, Pat, if you want to run through Any Other Business and Action Items?

PAT KANE:

Certainly, Cheryl. So, we didn't identify any other business at the beginning of the meeting, if there is anything that anybody has thought

of between now and then? Jacques is there something you want to say about the Amazon?

JACQUES BLANC:

Yes, so, can you hear me guys? I'm not sure of my microphone quality.

PAT KANE:

Yes, we can hear you Jacques.

JACQUES BLANC:

So, further to Maarten's remark that Amazon was a very recent decision, so it might be a bit still hot to study in Marrakech. My answer was, it's a fascinating case and it's a very well known case to the community. So my overall question was would it be worth it to study the process itself and any question we could have or anything we could ask would be strictly stuck to the process and nothing else whatsoever.

The only caveat for that being if you tell me yes, we could start the process but be sure that even if a decision has been taken, maybe we are not at the end of the process yet. But even in this case, you know, we could stop our study of the actual process, how did it go, where did it end, and even say what could come ahead now and never, ever enter into judging or commenting on the sense of the decision whatsoever.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

I'm just going to say okay to that. That's a decision that the GAC group probably needs to take, but I think what Pat and I would appreciate is if

you want a 20 minute slot or something to discuss this because as Pat is pointing out that does have a nexus between board activity, as well as GAC, that we could do a 20 minute slot on the 23rd and see what the lie of the land is in terms of process. Michael has joined and he is supporting the idea. So Pat and I will take that on advisement and we'll see where we can wedge that in, as long as both your groups the Board and GAC, do the background work if we get the agenda time.

And just one reminder I've had on private chat before we finish and move to Action Items and decisions for each, we probably just should confirm for the record that our face-to-face meeting in Singapore will be at the later dates, I think it's 20, 21, 22 October or something like that, but Jennifer will type it into chat to confirm that so people are aware. I have received a letter from constituency travel called the earlier dates in October and they did respond to those, they would not be actioned, constituency travel is well aware that the dates are now later in that month.

So please take that as 'read'. We don't have to ask ICANN Travel to change the date, they know the dates have changed. We will be getting a letter in the near future, I am sure, and Jennifer may make some mention of that if she's had a response from her inquiries when she takes us through confirmed actions and decisions. I see Maarten's hand and then Vanda.

MAARTEN BOTTERMAN:

So, for sure, Amazon was already on our list for one of five case studies which were concerning Board GAC matters and for sure, an interesting

one. From that [inaudible] texted me privately and I responded to her to the full group, but basically I agree it's useful material, I just think it will be very difficult to have a relaxed discussion about it, even when you say you just want to talk about process in Marrakech. And thus we have four other cases that we can also use usefully as we discuss in the GAC group. For that reason, it may be useful to not seek the heat, but use some of the excellent cases for discussion. That was just my thought, and oblique open to any way forward.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, Maarten. That's similar to the argument you made previously and I thought it was fine at the time. Let's go to Vanda.

**VANDA SCARTEZINI:** 

Vanda for the record. My first idea was to use the score cards to define first among us inside the GAC better questions regarding this process. I do believe feeling the temperature about these things, I don't believe that we should use our time with GAC or with the Board to go through this, because we may lose the opportunity to go more independently about the process. It's too hot, and maybe people will not focus on the process, our focus, and we can lose the opportunity to get better responses from those groups. But I do believe that we should use the score cards to try to find something into this discussion that will help us from GAC to make better questions from the GAC, not mention the issue itself as dot amazon.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Back to you Maarten.

MAARTEN BOTTERMAN:

So, if I may add one thing. What is of course also true, yes, the decision has been taken, but this decision may still be challenged, in that way it may not be completely over, either.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you Maarten, thank you Vanda. I hear and I'm pretty sure Pat is hearing the same thing, I'm hearing the same argument debate and issues that you previously discussed. I'm aware that Jacques has to leave for another meeting at the top of the hour. Can I encourage you to further this discussion, remembering that you have in fact already identified at least five case studies in a number of orders. There is no reason why you can't do the others ahead of this one, it doesn't mean leaving this one out.

There is a whole bunch of ways that you can approach this, but just let Pat and I what directions you're taking in terms of what we need to put in timing for the agenda on the 23rd and in any of the following interactions. And I certainly would suggest that any of your face-to-face interactions with the Board and GAC would be better served. It also strikes me, and this is just thinking off the top of my head, I'll come back to you Vanda, because I see your hand is still up.

They wish to design some sort of stress test system rather than just a pure case study here, sometimes running the hypothetical is a way of looking at a process without hitting too many raw nerves, which is I

think is what you're also trying to avoid. I'm not sure we're going to further that one now, let's pick that up again after we get Terms of Reference and Work Plan put to bed. Are you comfortable with that way forward?

PAT KANE:

This is Pat, you faded out, I don't know if you threw that to me or no.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

I may very well have faded out, but I was just asking are you comfortable with that way forward. The fade may not be all technical, of course, it might be a mutually faded out.

PAT KANE:

I think we've covered that. Maarten's got a check yes. So I think we're good.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Okay, that's great. Jennifer, when we move now to Item #6 in terms of the confirmed actions and decisions for each, can you also come back to what you've seen raised in chat where people who have interacted with the ICANN travel agent are finding that the travel agent has been not been updated with the later dates? We know you did your bit and we also know you were following up with Constituency Travel. If there is anything you can give us in terms of feedback, that is great. And if there is any action item to be taken out of this chat, it's for us to get back to Constituency Travel and let them know that we are having issues. So

Pat, if want we can go through the actions and decisions reached and then give it a wrap close to the top of the hour.

PAT KANE:

Sounds good, Cheryl.

JENNIFER BRYCE:

Thanks, this is Jennifer. So on the ravel, I appreciate that there has been some confusion within the review team in terms of communication with their travel agent. So, just to be very clear, as Cheryl said, the dates for the Singapore meeting are confirmed as the 20th to 22nd of October, ICANN Travel certainly has that information from us and we're working to get some more feedback from them in terms of dates that they expect the welcome letter to be sent to you all, because we understand that you received the earlier dates which have a deadline which I believe has already passed to book your travel.

So, please, obviously do not book. Well, if you do respond or have happened to respond to try to make the booking they will not allow the booking because as far as ICANN constituency travel is concerned, there is no face-to-face meeting in early October dates. So don't be concerned that other meeting has been made for those dates, and apologies that you haven't received those emails, but we're continuing to work to get some feedback from travel and as soon as we hear anything we will keep you posted. But please do let us know directly if there is any other particular concerns that we can help with.

On the other action items, I took and actioned to update links related to the KPIs in some documents. We now have resolved that so we will go through the documents and updated that. And then I note team members to prepare for the working session during next week's call, so please do your homework on that one and come prepared for the working session next week. And Vanda has noted in the chart, to be very clear do we respond to this old email or expect a new one? You don't have to respond to the old email, please expect a new one to come from the travel team.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Okay, if I miss any action items or decisions, please let know, otherwise, that's all from me for the time being, thanks.

PAT KANE:

Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Alright, thank you.

PAT KANE:

So with that, I think it's a wrap for today.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Seems to be the case. The only other thing seems to be another action item, just Daniel asking you to follow up with the Doodle for the review work party and a joint community and review work party. So that's two

subpoints out of the review work party gathering. Okay, we've noted that. Thanks, Daniel. Okay, I think we've managed to wrap the call business. Okay, we're all leaving now. Thank you one and all, hopefully I'll be able to breath and talk, by for now.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]