# ATRT3 Review Team Plenary Call Report Plenary Call #12

15 May 2019 - 21:00 -22:30 UTC

#### Review Team Members

Sébastien Bachollet, Adetola Sogbesan, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Demi Getschko, Erica Varlese, Jaap Akkerhuis, Jacques Blanc, Liu Yue, Maarten Botterman, Michael Karanicolas, Osvaldo Novoa, Patrick Kane, Ramet Khalili Nasr, Vanda Scartezini, Wolfgang Kleinwaechter

### Brian Cute

Observers

Guests

Herb Waye, Avri Doria

#### zini, Wolfgang Kleinwaechter ICANN (

#### **Apologies**

KC Claffy, Daniel Nanghaka, Brenda Brewer, Negar Farzinnia

#### **ICANN** Org

Larisa Gurnick, Jennifer Bryce, Pamela Smith, Yvette Guigneaux

These high-level notes are designed to help ATRT3 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/RAV1Bg">https://community.icann.org/x/RAV1Bg</a>.

The ATRT3 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

#### 1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance recorded above. No SOI updates provided.

#### 2. Evolving ICANN's Multistakeholder Model - Brian Cute

Brian provided the review team with an overview of the information he is presenting in community webinars this week, and discussed with the team areas of overlap with their work. The slides are available here.

#### 3. Updates from each work party

Work party leads provided an overview of work progress to date:

- Board Osvaldo noted updates had been made to the Google doc <a href="here">here</a>. The next step is
  for the work party to agree on the content to finalize requests. The work party will try to
  arrange a call in the coming week.
- **GAC** Vanda presented a slide of the work party progress, see <a href="here">here</a>. The work party will next focus on finalizing questions for SO/ACs in Marrakech. Vanda suggested that those work party members who will be in Marrakech try to meet in person there.
- Reviews No updates provided as no work party leaders were in attendance.
- **Community** Erica advised that the work party is close to finalizing its scope and resource requests, as noted in the Google doc here.

<u>Action item</u>: Sébastien will send a Doodle poll for the Board work group to meet in the coming days.

## 4. <u>Terms of Reference [docs.google.com]</u> and <u>Work Plan [docs.google.com]</u> – working session

Pat reminded the team there are three weeks left ahead of the deadline to submit the Terms of Reference and Work Plan to the Board, and that team members should continue to focus on finalizing their work party sections. Pat suggested that the team think about the section regarding considerations for review team recommendations. Larisa noted she could share a matrix that may help aid discussions.

<u>Action item</u>: Pat will start a thread on the email list to initiate a discussion with the review team on considerations for review team recommendations.

<u>Action item</u>: Larisa to share a matrix that may help the review team think about prioritizing recommendations.

#### 5. A.O.B

Vanda raised a concern about the face-to-face meeting date in Singapore, as she will be the only member of the GAC work party at the meeting. Other review team members commented to the date on-list. The team consulted the survey results and conducted a poll during the call of which the October dates were preferred. Team agreed to change the meeting date to 20-22 October.

<u>Decision reached</u>: The team's next face-to-face meeting date will be changed from 7-9 October 2019 to 20-22 October 2019.

<u>Action item</u>: The ICANN org to advise meetings team of the date change to the face-to-face meeting in October.

Sébastien raised that he would like to have a discussion regarding the Ombudsman report that was shared to the team off-list.

Decision reached: Team agreed to make the Ombudsman report publicly available.

<u>Action item</u>: Team to discuss the Ombudsman report at the beginning of next week's plenary meeting.

#### 6. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Action items / decisions reached were not read for the record due to time constraints.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes