Attendance:
  Amevi Sossou
  Bachollet Sebastien - ALAC
  Carolina Caeiro
  Emily Crane Pimentel
  Elliott Noss
  Erika Mann
  Filina Natalia
  John Levine
  Jonathan Robinson
  Judith Hellerstein
  Julf Helsingius
  Maarten Botterman
  MN Marques
  Nadira AL-ARAJ
  Raymond Mamattah
  Robert Guerra (SSAC)
  Rudi Daniel
  Stephen Deerhake
  Vanda Scartezini

Audio Only:
None

Apologies:
Sally Costerton
Peter Vergote
Maureen Hilyard
Samantha Eisner (Staff)
Marika Konings (Staff)

Staff:
Joke Braeken
Lauren Allison
Xavier Calvez
Andrea Glandon

Zoom Chat:
08:52:43   From Robert Guerra : hello all.
08:53:21   From Joke Braeken : hello all. Welcome
08:54:14   From Andrea Glandon : Welcome to the CCWG new gTLD Auction Proceeds Meeting held on Wednesday, 24 April 2019.
08:57:59   From Raymond Mamattah : some of us prefer to chat than to talk. so checking if everyone can see my message lol

08:58:17   From Andrea Glandon : Yes, I can see your message :)
08:59:20   From Vanda Scartezini : hi everyone.
09:00:50   From Vanda Scartezini : agree Erika..
09:01:52   From Stephen Deerhake : Welcome everyone to Zoom land...
09:04:17   From Jonathan Robinson : I find it helpful to click on particpants and chat windows (botton of screen) and then set the window up on the rhs of the screen to mimick Adobe
09:05:43   From Julf : I seem to only be able to either see participants or chat, but not both - selecting one hides the other
09:06:33   From Robert Guerra (SSAC) : need to step out for 2-4 min. will let you know when back
09:06:35   From Julf : Ah! :)
09:06:44   From Joke Braeken : A small reminder to please mute your phones when not speaking
09:07:05   From Julf : yep!
09:07:16   From Raymond Mamattah : fine with us
09:07:21   From Vanda Scartezini : ion problem to me, I use Zoom at my office
09:08:12   From Vanda Scartezini : the main issue is not scroll the screen but in general is much better and sound quality is great
09:12:13   From Robert Guerra (SSAC) : back
09:14:04   From Raymond Mamattah : Am i the only one who cant see any slide presentation?
09:14:24   From Andrea Glandon : @Raymond, currently the agenda is showing. Are you note able to see it?
09:14:46   From Joke Braeken : here you will be able to read the discussions from Kobe, regarding Charter question #2:
https://community.icann.org/display/CWGONGAP/Initial+Report+Public+Comment+Review?preview=/102138829/105389510/Charter%20Question%20%232%20Review%20Template%20-%20upd%2022%20March%202019.docx
09:14:49   From Jonathan Robinson : Alan
09:14:59   From Raymond Mamattah : @Andrea, I can see the agenda
09:16:36   From Joke Braeken : I would like to confirm in written as well that the small group has until the end of this week for a final review of the additional language
09:17:03   From Joke Braeken : after this deadline the proposed text can be shared with the CCWG
09:21:18   From enoss : all sounds good to me
09:22:20   From Jonathan Robinson : Current text - subject to any further discussionand input.from Maureen
09:22:27   From Jonathan Robinson : Proposed guidance to evaluators:
Evaluators may need to differentiate between what it is in the regular ICANN operational budget and what has been funded on a more exceptional basis, but this will be a determination that needs to be made by the evaluators in line with the legal and fiduciary requirements. Consistency with the ICANN mission is a necessary but not sufficient condition for funding. Evaluators should specifically consider the scope and impact of the proposed project in light of the constraints otherwise imposed.

Proposed preamble to annex with examples:
Consistency with the ICANN mission is a necessary but not sufficient condition for funding. Evaluators should specifically consider the scope and impact of the proposed project in light of the overall purpose of the auction proceeds and constraints otherwise imposed. Examples provided are specifically intended to be illustrative, not definitive.
09:23:00   From Jonathan Robinson : Should read: Current text - subject to any further discussionand input from Maureen or others.
09:25:14   From Vanda Scartezini : yes. thanks

09:26:26   From Judith Hellerstein- at large member : hi Andrea. on the app it seems you cannot switch between the documents and so we just see the agenda
09:26:39   From Filina Natalia : Hello everyone! Sorry for the delay.
09:26:55   From Andrea Glandon : @Judith, understood
09:29:41   From Vanda Scartezini : yes we have in general agreement
09:30:15   From Andrea Glandon : If you would like to follow along with notes please see this document https://docs.google.com/document/d/120qaogiaThVbirfuIdjHSpBgSY3e1YAOIXXTbNsfsBc/edit
09:30:34   From Vanda Scartezini : here we have a top 15% of overhead
09:30:39   From Maarten Botterman : Makes sense to me
09:31:28   From Vanda Scartezini : yeah, make it open
09:31:44   From Carolina Caeiro : I think maybe we should clarify why we are not stating a specific percentage
09:32:01   From Carolina Caeiro : it is understandable that the community would inquire
09:32:06   From Vanda Scartezini : can be a good idea Carolina
09:35:48   From Vanda Scartezini : perfect Xavier
09:36:26   From Carolina Caeiro : I agree with Xavier
09:37:08   From Carolina Caeiro : besides, I insist, in explaining why we have not stated a specific percentage
09:38:53   From Andrea Glandon : Live note taking link https://docs.google.com/document/d/120qaogiaThVbirfuIdjHSpBgSY3e1YAOIXXTbNsfsBc/edit
09:41:15   From Julf : I still only see the agenda
09:42:51   From Andrea Glandon : To see Joke's screen, hover your mouse over the top portion of the Zoom room. Click view options and select Joke's name to see her screen
09:42:53   From Judith Hellerstein- at large member : hi JUFF it seems that onthe app you can only see the agenda since you cannot switch to another person sharing. apparently you can do that in the desktop
09:43:07   From Andrea Glandon : Live notes can be seen here https://docs.google.com/document/d/120qaogiaThVbirfuIdjHSpBgSY3e1YAOIXXTbNsfsBc/edit
09:44:41   From Julf : Andrea: doesn't seem to work in the application
09:44:49   From Andrea Glandon : Ah, yes. I understand
09:46:25   From Stephen Deerhake : Erika, no problem... We are all busy people... ;-)
09:46:55   From erikamann : Thank you but this week was particularly hard.
09:47:02   From Vanda Scartezini : indeed… and we need to take care of our paid work too…
09:50:34   From Judith Hellerstein- at large member : yes. I confirmed that in the desktop app you can switch shared screens but in the app only the one screen is shown. it is the first one, so we only see Andrea's screen showing the agenda
09:50:48   From erikamann : Yes, Vanda, I agree, we still have a real job.
09:54:45   From enoss : small drafting team?
09:55:06   From Vanda Scartezini : may be Elliot
09:55:18   From Vanda Scartezini : this week I can not
09:55:25   From enoss : agree
09:56:55   From Rudi Daniel : agree if we are suggesting a overarching good governance principles.

09:58:06   From Nadira AL-ARAJ : yes for good governance whenever it could be applied
10:01:23   From enoss : apologies. have to go! (people issue). I will look for the email thread for erika and I

10:05:37   From Robert Guerra (SSAC) : thank for Javier for the comments. most helpful.

10:07:26   From Nadira AL-ARAJ : the audit also goes with the different mechanisms

10:07:35   From Xavier Calvez : I am happy to address the question as the group sees fit.

10:11:38   From Vanda Scartezini : thanks Xavier very clear.

10:12:59   From Maarten Botterman : No Sam today

10:13:06   From Lauren Allison : Sam passed apologies for today

10:14:15   From Vanda Scartezini : agree Erika,

10:15:15   From Vanda Scartezini : we need to have legal comments of course but this can be as Xavier has said , if something comes out from SAM we will see

10:15:22   From Rudi Daniel : so and AC may not meet the criteria for auctions funds(??)

10:15:22   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : Are we discussing Comment 2 from the BC

10:16:52   From Joke Braeken : Charter Question #10: To what extent (and, if so, how) could ICANN, the Organization or a constituent part thereof, be the beneficiary of some of the auction funds?

10:17:07   From Joke Braeken : Preliminary CCWG Recommendation #5: The CCWG has not yet come to agreement on whether ICANN Org or a constituent part thereof should be a beneficiary of some of the auction proceeds and as such would welcome input on this question during the public comment period so that an informed decision can be made.

10:17:16   From Xavier Calvez : I agree with Erika it is best to postpone.

10:17:16   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : Yes would be interested in learning the legal boundaries first

10:17:31   From Vanda Scartezini : yes Erika.

10:18:41   From Xavier Calvez : Happy to help address Carolina's question.

10:18:50   From Julf : Can it be done off-line?

10:19:05   From Carolina Caeiro : it can, yes

10:19:28   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : Or it can be done via email to all. so that others can see and can review it

10:19:46   From Carolina Caeiro : I know it may be repetitive for some of the more experienced participants

10:20:20   From Rudi Daniel : I agree Erika, we need a legal lead on this to proceed.

10:22:08   From Maarten Botterman : Yep got that - happy.

10:24:34   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : I think this is a problem so if some so/acs are allowed to have funding but others are not will e problematical

10:25:25   From Julf : Indeed. I think it would violate the original idea of the auction proceeds proceeds fund.

10:26:05   From Julf : Funding standard so/ac activites are part of the "operational activities".

10:26:10   From Vanda Scartezini : ok out with this item

10:26:43   From Maarten Botterman : If this would happen, this would be a Decision that is subject to Empowered COmmunity consideration (like all Board decisions) so the Board would be careful in doing so

10:27:44   From Stephen Deerhake : I do not see how the Empowered Community could override aBoard decision regarding this matter.

10:27:59   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : The question here is that some alses are separate entities apart from icann

10:28:15   From Nadira AL-ARAJ : same answer as the previous comment

10:28:21   From Vanda Scartezini : yes ALS are totally independent from ICANN

10:28:52   From Vanda Scartezini : In my opinion this will be possible

10:29:35   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : Hand up

10:31:21   From Vanda Scartezini : I believe leadership recommendation is not related to this item

10:33:29   From Nadira AL-ARAJ : It was discussed earlier
10:36:28   From Maarten Botterman : @Erika: correct
10:37:42   From Nadira AL-ARAJ : +1 Members of SOs/ACs or Cs have to be treated differently than the SOs/ACs
10:38:36   From judith Hellerstein 2-Member ALAC : That is why I wanted to clarify it since there seems to be some confusion
10:45:01   From Vanda Scartezini : agree Judith
10:45:08   From Carolina Caeiro : many thanks to all
10:45:17   From Julf : Thanks!
10:45:18   From Rudi Daniel : many thx. all
10:45:19   From Robert Guerra (SSAC) : bye all
10:45:24   From Vanda Scartezini : thanks you all for this meeting specially to Erika and staff for the great job
10:45:34   From erikamann : Good bye