Attendance:

Alexander Schubert Kavouss Arasteh

Annebeth Lange Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry)

Barrack Otieno Marita Moll
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co Chair) Martin Sutton

Christopher Wilkinson Matt Johnson

David McAuley Nick Wenban-S
Dev Anand Teelucksingh Paul McGrady

Ejikeme Egbuogu Raymond Mamattah

Greg Shatan Robin Gross
Griffin Barnett Sophie Hey
Jaap Akkerhuis Susan Anthony
Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair) Susan Payne
Jim Prendergast Tom Dale

John RodriguezVernatius EzeamaJustine ChewVrikson Acosta

Yrjo Lansipuro

Nick Wenban-Smith# Nominet

Apologies:

Flip Petillion

Abdulkarim Oloyede

Staff:

Antonietta Mangiacotti Emily Barabas Julie Hedlund Steve Chan Andrea Glandon

Zoom Chat:

14:52:41	From Arasteh : Hi Andrea
14:52:52	From Andrea Glandon : Hello Kavouss
14:53:33	From Arasteh : Hi everyone
14:53:51	From Annebeth Lange: Someone on the line having a conversation?
14:54:57	From Andrea Glandon: Thank you everyone, please remember to mute your line.
15:03:45	From martinsutton: Thanks for the reminder Annebeth
15:04:19	From Steve Chan: Document can be found here:
1	

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1WKSC_pPBviCnbHxW171ZIp4CzuhQXRCV1NR2ruagrxs/edit#g id=843000317

15:10:52 From Justine Chew: Not really as usual for ALAC

15:12:09 From Justine Chew: Thanks for the intervention @Martin

15:18:46 From martinsutton: @Susan - to the last point, should we classify IPC comment just as

divergent (not supported)?

15:19:28 From PMcGrady: I'm having trouble hearing Martin

15:19:44 From Steve Chan : @Susan, we flagged as Agreement (partially - first part) Diverger (with second part) 15:20:13 From Marita Moll : Sorry to be late. Overlapping calls and I'm not very good at doir two at once 15:20:46 From Justine Chew : @Steve field D172 is the agreement and divergence reversed? 15:21:03 From Steve Chan : Yes Justine, I just realized that and switched it 15:21:15 From Justine Chew : @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew : @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan : @Justine, I'll have to look later :) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew : Thanks! 15:26:53 From Justine Chew : Hanks! 15:26:53 From Justine Chew : I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governement we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew : I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan : @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh : IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne : I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Justine Chew : @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew : I think we should leave it as black 15:35:35:35 From Martine Chew : I think we should leave it as black 15:36:15 From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. 15:37:45 From Christopher	g
From Marita Moll : Sorry to be late. Overlapping calls and I'm not very good at doin two at once 15:20:46 From Justine Chew : @Steve field D172 is the agreement and divergence reversed? 15:21:03 From Steve Chan : Yes Justine, I just realized that and switched it 15:21:15 From Justine Chew : @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew : @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan : @Justine, I'll have to look later :) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew : Thanks! 15:26:53 From Justine Chew : I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan : @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:15 From Justine Chew : I think so too @Martin 15:33:26 From Steve Chan : @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:28 From Steve Chan : Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew : @Steve, I think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:35:36 From Justine Chew : @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:36 From Justine Chew : Bty Chan : Wester of think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:36:15 From Steve Chan : Qall, like so? 15:36:15 From Steve Chan : Qall, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh : Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady : Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I git wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson : @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From Susan Payne : 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:00 From Steve Chan : @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon : I have muted the line	
two at once 15:20:46 From Justine Chew: @Steve field D172 is the agreement and divergence reversed? 15:21:03 From Steve Chan: Yes Justine, I just realized that and switched it 15:21:15 From Justine Chew: @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew: @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemen we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:23 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:25 From Steve Chan: and would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:20:46 From Justine Chew: @Steve field D172 is the agreement and divergence reversed? 15:21:03 From Steve Chan: Yes Justine, I just realized that and switched it 15:21:15 From Justine Chew: @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew: @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g 1t wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme 15:38:11 From Steve Chan: Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one	
15:21:03 From Steve Chan: Yes Justine, I just realized that and switched it 15:21:15 From Justine Chew: @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew: @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew: @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:21:15 From Justine Chew: @Steve, cheers. 15:24:09 From Justine Chew: @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:24:09 From Justine Chew: @Steve, sorry are D171 and D172 swapped? I'm having troubl reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From McGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
reconcilialing the colours in B171 and B172 and what is "first part" and what is "second part" 15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:24:34 From Steve Chan: @Justine, I'll have to look later:) 15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	s"
15:24:40 From Justine Chew: Thanks! 15:26:53 From John Rodriguez: I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemer we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	s"
15:26:53 From John Rodriguez : I would just be cautious that when we refer to "governemen we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew : I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan : @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh : IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne : I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan : Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew : @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew : I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady : It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan : @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh : Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:37:44 From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:45 From PMcGrady : Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson : @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady : +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne : 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon : I have muted the line	ss"
we aren't referring to all governments. Thanks! 15:31:55 From Justine Chew : I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan : @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh : IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne : I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan : Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew : @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew : I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady : It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan : @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh : Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan : And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady : Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson : @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady : +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne : 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon : I have muted the line	s"
15:31:55 From Justine Chew: I think so too @Martin 15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:32:30 From Steve Chan: @Martin, is the edit on screen what you had in mind? 15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:33:10 From Arasteh: IPC ISD VERY PROACTIVE 15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:33:26 From Susan Payne: I don't think the blue sentence is a new idea 15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:33:28 From Steve Chan: Correct, that's what I was asking about 15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:33:28 From Justine Chew: @Steve, I think the last paragraph in B13 too 15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:35:34 From Justine Chew: I think we should leave it as black 15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:35:36 From PMcGrady: It should be marked down as disagreement + new idea. 15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:36:15 From Steve Chan: @All, like so? 15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:36:23 From Arasteh: Martin do you have suggestion to change the Lnguage 15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:36:41 From Steve Chan: And would def appreciate an assist on the other comment Susar 15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:37:44 From PMcGrady: Is this exact match idea anywhere else in the document? If so, I g it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
it wouldn't be a new idea, just support of the idea somewhere else (if it is somewhere else). 15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:37:45 From christopher wilkinson: @ John Rodriguez - in my experience, most governme just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	uess
just assume that common sense will prevail, and that they can intervene in the interests of their per at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
at a later stage. Just to be cautious. CW 15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:38:11 From PMcGrady: +1 Susan and Martin. 15:39:00 From Susan Payne: 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	ple
15:39:00 From Susan Payne : 28 on the previous tab is the other one 15:39:36 From Steve Chan : @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon : I have muted the line	
15:39:36 From Steve Chan: @Susan, will take a look afterwards, thanks 15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon: I have muted the line	
15:40:45 From Andrea Glandon : I have muted the line	
15:43:06 From Justine Chew: I understand Susan's point. Am trying to see if categorization is	
correct.	
15:44:21 From Greg Shatan: The full comment will hopefully be illuminating	
15:45:28 From martinsutton: thank you Justine	
15:45:33 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co Chair): thx Justine I think there was reserved	
concerns	
15:45:40 From Marita Moll : re: ALAC item I think Justine has nailed it	
15:47:18 From Susan Payne: thanks Justine, I was misunderstanding your comment, but it to	rns
out that it was wrongly categorised in a different way :)	
15:48:19 From Susan Payne: well what would you have then Christopher?	l
15:48:24 From Robin Gross : We need to remember the principle of predictability and lists he	ıþ
immensely with that.	
15:49:16 From Greg Shatan: I guess that means we won't use those ISO lists	ما
15:50:07 From christopher wilkinson : @Susan - All Geo-names, world - wide. All languages a	ıu
scripts.	

15:50:58	From Dev Anand Teelucksingh: unfortunately have to leave the call		
15:51:27	From christopher wilkinson: @ Greg - I have pointed out months ago that the ISO Lits		
	ss the situation in many countries.		
15:52:19	From Susan Payne: does the oredr of categorisation matter? both the last 2 appear to		
_	for reasons specified		
15:52:25	From Susan Payne : order		
15:53:25	From Susan Payne : same comment as above for this Q too		
15:53:30	From Steve Chan: @susan, do you mean because there are concerns and divergence?		
15:53:35	From Susan Payne : yes steve		
15:54:23	From Steve Chan: I think that's up for you all to decide if it matters, whether the		
distinction ma	tters for your consideration?		
15:56:52	From martinsutton: I think we have muddled the comment/commentor on that item		
Susan			
15:57:01	From martinsutton : Checking now		
15:57:14	From Steve Chan: Having the Concerns does not remove the Divergence?		
15:57:19	From Marita Moll: line 74 divergence although there is also an explanation		
15:57:41	From Robin Gross: I would say that NCSG's comment in #72 is closer to a "Qualified		
Agreement" (r	ather than simple Agreement) in order to not lose the point made.		
15:57:42	From Greg Shatan: Is there also "carefree" divergence?		
15:58:05	From Greg Shatan: Divergence is always indicative of concerns, is it not/		
15:58:13	From Alexander Schubert : How do you spell "Kafka"?		
15:58:14	From David McAuley: I have to leave for another matter, thanks Annebeth and all		
15:58:15	From Greg Shatan : So we don't need to say it.		
15:58:44	From Greg Shatan : Kafka, Esq.		
15:58:47	From jaap : I have to drop off for an other call.		
15:58:57	From jaap : Bye		
15:59:00	From Alexander Schubert : Esquire?		
15:59:46	From PMcGrady: Is this call 60 minutes or 90? It is in my calendar as 60.		
15:59:47	From christopher wilkinson: Do these subtleties matter? If the staff summary is		
ambiguous it i	s enough to click on the original comment. Comments made on the List or in WT5 Calls		
carry as much	wiethg		
15:59:57	From christopher wilkinson : Weight		
16:00:14	From Susan Payne : 90 paul		
16:00:18	From Justine Chew : Looking at it now		
16:00:58	From PMcGrady : Thanks.		
16:01:07	From Robin Gross: If agreement is purely conditional, I think it important to note that.		
16:02:00	From Steve Chan: @Martin, we will go through the document and try to make sure any		
other BRG/RrS	G mis-assignments are fixed		
16:02:24	From martinsutton : Hoorah!		
16:02:33	From martinsutton : Well done everyone		
16:02:52	From PMcGrady: Let's hang up quickly before		
16:02:56	From christopher wilkinson : Brilliant chairmanship!		
16:03:12	From Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet : well done Annebeth!		
16:03:30	From Arasteh : It is good that people are happy		
16:04:19	From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry) : I agree Susan		
16:04:34	From Marita Moll : We could call the ALAC comment Agreement (qualified)		
16:04:49	From Robin Gross: #73 says "According, the RrSG does not support" so that is just the		
conclusion, not a separate comment.			

	16:05:06	From Alexander Schubert : Indeed well done Annabeth!
	16:05:09	From Justine Chew : Sorry, I got kicked out of Zoom
	16:05:36	From christopher wilkinson : PRTICIP
	16:05:39	From Robin Gross: #73 is Only Divergence (not also concerns)
	16:06:02	From christopher wilkinson: Participants do not have scrolling rights. Correct?
	16:06:16	From Arasteh : I DISAGREE
	16:06:29	From Justine Chew: f.2.4 Line 87/8 ALAC comment should be Agreement (Qualified) +
	Concerns	
	16:06:33	From Marita Moll: NO scrolling rights very frustrating
	16:06:33	From Arasteh: iAM DISCONNECTED
	16:06:48	From Arasteh : Sorry fior CAP
	16:07:16	From Susan Payne : also 50 and 51
	16:07:46	From Robin Gross: #72 from NCSG is a CONDITIONAL Agreement
	16:07:55	From Robin Gross: I'm not on audio
	16:08:01	From Susan Payne: 34 aND 35
	16:08:29	From Robin Gross: Yes, it is conditional agreement.
	16:08:36	From Robin Gross : Thank you.
	16:08:42	From Susan Payne : AND 50 AND 51
	16:08:48	From Susan Payne : sorry for caps
	16:08:53	From Arasteh : am disconnected
	16:08:54	From christopher wilkinson: There is nothing wrong with expanding the scope of
	approval, non-o	bjection.
	16:09:03	From Andrea Glandon : @kavouss, calling you back
	16:12:05	From Susan Payne : Thanks Steve that helps
	16:13:28	From Andrea Glandon: @kavouss, I do show that you line is connected and unmuted
	from my end	
	16:13:34	From Raymond Mamattah : No please from me
	16:14:10	From Marita Moll : Leaving now. Bye.
	16:14:25	From Justine Chew: Do we have any more open topics?
	16:14:26	From Greg Shatan: No concerned, divergent ideas please.
	16:14:57	From Robin Gross: Agree that it is good to spend time on the difficult issues in
	Marrakech.	
	16:15:34	From Raymond Mamattah: Hope those of us who cant make it to ICANN 65 can join
	virtually	
	16:16:17	From martinsutton: Remote access will be available for WT5 meetings at ICANN
	16:16:44	From Raymond Mamattah: okay. no travel opportunities to ICANN 65?
	16:19:10	From Susan Payne: good to know the last 15 minutes are soapbox time
	16:19:26	From Greg Shatan: The TLD space was never intended to be a gazetteer or an atlas.
	16:19:31	From PMcGrady: Silence does not equal assent.
	16:19:45	From Robin Gross : Right, Paul. Same.
	16:19:51	From Susan Payne : Quite Paul
	16:19:51	From Greg Shatan: I am assuming that silence is disagreement in this case.
	16:20:13	From Steve Chan: Next call is currently scheduled for: Wednesday, 22 May 2019 at
05:00 UTC for 90 minutes		
	16:20:33	From PMcGrady: @Steve, please note the next meeting is in the middle of the INTA
	meeting.	
	16:20:40	From Robin Gross: Thanks, Annabeth and Martin. Bye!
	16:20:40	From Griffin Barnett : +1 Paul, Susan

16:20:41	From Susan Payne : thanks Annebeth
16:20:44	From Ejikeme Egbuogu : bye everyone
16:20:46	From martinsutton : Bye all, thank you
16:20:46	From Raymond Mamattah : Thank you and greetings from Ghana
16:20:46	From Steve Chan: Thanks Paul
16:20:52	From Greg Shatan : Bye
16:20:54	From Justine Chew : Thanks Annebeth