Attendance:

Anne Aikman-Scalese	Julia Charvolen
Avri Doria	Justine Chew
Cheryl Langdon-Orr	Kathy Kleiman
Chris Niemi	Kavouss Arasteh
Christopher Wilkinson	Kristina Rosette
Emily Barabas	Marcelo Eid
Gg Levine	Martin Sutton
Greg Shatan	Paul McGrady
Jamie Baxter	Phil Buckingham
Janvier Ngnoulaye	Robin Gross
Jeff Neuman	Steve Chan
Jess Hooper	Vanda Scartezini

Apologies:

Annebeth Lange
Katrin Ohlmer
Maxim Alzoba
Jim Prendergast
Flip Petillion
Vivek Goyal

Staff:

Steve Chan
Julie Hedlund
Emily Barabas
Christine Willett
Julia Charvolen
Michelle DeSmyter
Julie Bisland

Zoom chat:

09:43:49   From Michelle DeSmyter : Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 06 May 2019 at 15:00 UTC.
09:44:10   From Michelle DeSmyter : Agenda wiki page: https://community.icann.org/x/_RVIBg
09:55:17   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Hi all...
00:36:23   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   Hi. I'm the 7034071354 dial in.
00:37:27   julie.hedlund: @Kirstina — noted!
00:38:04   Michelle DeSmyter: Thank you Kristina - this is noted
00:40:14   Steve Chan: The link to the document being shared is available here: https://docs.google.com/document/d/12_x8zYR9r6zXqfA7dmoosSPH12NmcyJ-2FEjecGrBh4/edit?usp=sharing
00:40:37   Kathy Kleiman: hand up
00:40:41   Steve Chan: Yes
00:44:03   Steve Chan: Jeff, correct
00:45:55   Kathy Kleiman: Tx!
00:46:10   Kathy Kleiman: fine
00:46:17   Kathy Kleiman: sure

00:47:41   Martin Sutton:happy with Kristina's text

00:49:37   Kathy Kleiman: Steve, are you editing in real time?

00:51:53   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: He is tying to @Kathy

00:53:56   Kathy Kleiman: Have I been muted externally?

00:54:15   Jeff Neuman: Dont think so, but I thought your hand went down

00:54:18   Jeff Neuman: is it up?

00:55:02   Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):   Hi all - my airport internet connection keeps cutting out and staff has muted my phone so participation is difficult. If I can please get the directions to mute and unmute my own phone, I may be able to participate. Unfortunately, the mute buton on the phone itself doesn't work as it does in Adobe Connect. Is it a #6 or a *6? (I was told two different things in the last call.) Thanks, Anne

00:56:21   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Anne the phone app may have a setting that overides your usual setting, such as Mic on at start of call or mic/video off....

00:56:46   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): What would "anything visible" encompass?

00:58:20   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: My Android phone app might be different to your phone OS but in my one the mute/unmute is done in the çall setting 'selection of the ongoing call by icon when in an zoom oudio bridge... hopefully that is some help ...

00:58:22   Kathy Kleiman: Anne, you have no microphone visible

00:58:50   Kathy Kleiman: I don't think you have the ability to participate by audio in Zoom right now.

00:59:33   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: if she connects via her device zoom

01:00:14   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: we see an icon if she gets audio via the ausio bridge we would see no mic (I think)

01:00:24   Kathy Kleiman: can you give some examples Jeff

01:04:14   Jamie Baxter | dotgay: would a non-minor change involve the cost or length of an evaluation?

01:04:34   Kathy Kleiman: they could have an effect on the community too :-)

01:09:47   Kathy Kleiman: We need the gateway group here.

01:10:01   Kathy Kleiman: good questions

01:10:41   Kathy Kleiman: it's hard to know ahead of time...

01:11:07   Julie Bisland: @all: who dialed in from phone number ending in -6882?

01:11:37   Kathy Kleiman: I'm not sure adverse works -- what's adverse to one party may be a benefit to another

01:13:03   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): True, Kathy. But adverse to any would be sufficient. My initial thought was to avoid triggering some further action where there was agreement that a material impact existed, but was a positive one.

01:13:36   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: @Kristina if memory srves the flow we imagined for when a loop back to wider community discusion over an Implementation Review Team "jut managing it" was for material effects not just adverse effects but your pin in it should allow us to get back to that later....

01:13:59   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): got it. Thanks, @Cheryl.

01:14:06   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: should read "Just managing it

01:17:26   Jamie Baxter | dotgay: and given Martin's explanation, I would assert that any timeline or cost that gets expanded two-fold or more should not be an exception.

01:17:47   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   oops. sorry. note that my "solution to what" question remains.
01:20:03   Kathy Kleiman: Objections go through 3rd parties
01:20:17   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   seems to me that we're having definitional issues w/r/t "procedure" and "process". if that's the case, perhaps a quick footnote example would be helpful (and it sounds like that's the direction Jeff is going).

01:20:27   Kathy Kleiman: How can we narrow the 2nd bullet?
01:21:00   Kathy Kleiman: changes don't make sense yet
01:21:25   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   mechanisms with non-substantive impact?
01:21:45   Kathy Kleiman: can we capture that as a clarifying line?
01:21:47   Kathy Kleiman: additional line?
01:22:31   Kathy Kleiman: yes! If we can include Kristina's line as an additio nal one
01:23:37   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Good audio now CW
01:24:02   Jamie Baxter | dotgay: are timelines on filing objections seen as a different type of timeline as a third party evaluation timeline?
01:24:44   Jamie Baxter | dotgay: to me they have equal levels of predictability impact
01:25:20   Kathy Kleiman: @Steve- additional line: These proposed changes are intended to be only those that involve mechanisms with no substantive impact. [Pending consideration of Chris' concerns]

01:25:52   Kathy Kleiman: Adverse to whom? Material to whome? Should this pass through a policy gateway first?
01:28:24   Steve Chan: Jeff, hand up about Kathy/Kristina's suggestion
01:30:13   Jamie Baxter | dotgay: for things that are unforeseen are different however from things that were poorly scoped, researched, or commissioned on ICANN's behalf before making AGB commitments
01:30:30   Kathy Kleiman: From Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry) to Everyone: 11:47 AM
mechanisms with non-substantive impact?

01:31:11   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Exactly @Steve
01:31:24   Justine Chew: +1 Jeff and Steve
01:33:42   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   Responding to Steve's Comment: IMO, an example of a change that woulnd't be substantive but could have a material adverse impact would be requiring that Legal Rights Objections be filed through a proprietary platform instead of email. Wouldn't affect the substantive LRO (elements, standing, etc), but there may be some potential objectors that, for one reason or another, can't use that platform.
01:34:31   Steve Chan: Ahhh, thank you Kristina.
01:34:54   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   To be clear, I'm most interested in making sure that the proposed text is unambiguous, clear, and capable of only 1 interpretation.
01:35:25   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: That sounds liek a laudable plan to me @Kristina
01:36:23   Justine Chew: +1 Jaime

01:36:31   Kathy Kleiman: It might be a good idea to capture Kristina's idea as a comment to this text...
01:37:16   Vanda Scartezini: sorry to be late was driving…
01:37:23   Vanda Scartezini: too much traffic..
01:37:45   Vanda Scartezini: will leave and return since there is a problem with my audio
01:38:11   julie.hedlund: @Kristina: Your text and examples are captured as the chat room is captured, as well as in the recording and the transcript.
01:38:35   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   Thanks, @Julie and @Steve!
01:39:11   PMcGrady: I think we can do better than SNAG.
01:39:37   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   Agreed, @Paul.
01:40:01   Justine Chew: and PAAT @Paul
01:41:37   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Perfectly Anne
01:43:47   Kathy Kleiman: How do we build all of that into this point???
01:44:56   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: ensure that this documentation is crossed refeenced with the 0new_ current policies and processes re IRT's that we worked on since the last rpunds implementation seems a start @Kathy
01:45:57   Kathy Kleiman: hand up
01:46:10   christopher wilkinson:@better than SNAG - :-))
01:51:16   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   Once we're set on the concepts, I think a workflow/participants diagram could be super helpful for the entire Predictability Framework.
01:51:18   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Highlighted and commens refelect that Kathy
01:52:26   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: and those WF Diags need to be reconciled with the existing ones out of the relativly recent IRT Guideline flow charst on this are as well @Kristina
01:53:03   Kathy Kleiman: Tx CLO, this remains an area of great concern to (and comments by) the NCSG and Public Interest Communities. Looking forward to circling back!
01:57:43   Kathy Kleiman: the council never hears about it unless the SNAP wants them too...
01:58:28   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yes the Standing advisory entity should not be to confused with IRT's as we have seen used or proposed in the past but IMO very much the useful gateway entity I hear people and in this call specifically what Kathy is raisin) in our discussions here
01:59:24   Justine Chew: @Kathy, make sure we have a GNSO council liaison on [SNAG] -- won't this help?
01:59:37   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: it Yes Martin nees to be a useful adjunct to 'traditional' IRT activities (as they are defined in recent GNSO guidelines
01:59:40   Kathy Kleiman: @CLO - tx, and how can we continue this discussion?
01:59:53   Kathy Kleiman: How do we flesh this out? Who runs the funnel?
02:00:02   Jeff Neuman: @KATHY - just coming to that last question
02:00:24   Vanda Scartezini: + 1 martin
02:00:29   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: yep @Jeff... kinda getting to that (we hope) @Kathy
02:01:29   Kathy Kleiman: Let's think about a small, narrowly tailored group at the very top of the funnel.
02:01:46   Kathy Kleiman: It woudl be lighter weight, easier to participate in, and able to render fast decisions.

02:01:52   Kathy Kleiman: We have to work with existing IRT precedent.
02:02:16   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   I would like to participate, but I have this EPDP thing that takes up some time. Please add me to the list and I'll do the best I can.
02:02:25   Kathy Kleiman: sign me up!
02:02:35   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yes people good progress today Thanks for todays discussions
02:02:44   Kathy Kleiman: :-)
02:02:48   Martin Sutton:EPDP not finished? 😀
02:02:57   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: LOL @Martin
02:03:04   Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):   Haha @martin
02:03:16   christopher wilkinson:Will join. Small group. CW.
02:04:09   Martin Sutton:Add me in, thx
02:04:18   Michelle DeSmyter: Next meeting: Monday, 13 May 2019 at 20:00 UTC
02:04:19   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks everyone Bye for now
02:04:25   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: all OK
02:04:28   Steve Chan: Nope
02:04:35   Martin Sutton:bye all
02:04:36   Kathy Kleiman: Tx Jeff!
02:04:37   PMcGrady: Thanks All!
02:04:38   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Bye
02:04:38   Vanda Scartezini: thanks quite interesting points on IOt. kisses to all