

Attendance - 29 Members

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC)

Annebeth Lange

Avri Doria

Phil Buckingham

Cheryl Langdon-Orr

Chris Niemi

Christa Taylor

Christopher Wilkinson

Donna Austin# Neustar

Gg Levine (NABP)

Harold Arcos

Jamie Baxter | dotgay (JGB)

Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon)

Jeff Neuman

Jess Hooper

Jim Prendergast

Juan Manuel Rojas

Justine Chew

Kathy Kleiman

Ken Stubbs

Marcelo Eid - Nic.br

Maxim Alzoba

Mike Rodenbaugh

Naeem Uddin

Olga Cavalli

Phil Buckingham

Phil Marano

Robin Gross

Rubens Kuhl

Apologies: Flip Petillion, Heath Dixon, Vanda Scartezini, Alberto Soto

Staff: Julie Hedlund, Nanig Mehranian, Berry Cobb, Christopher Bare, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

Zoom chat:

14:07:45 From Julie Bisland : Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 22 April 2019 at 20:00 UTC

14:37:02 From Julie Bisland : Agenda wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/9xVIBg>

14:54:35 From Jeff Neuman : testing out the chat

14:57:46 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : How do we turn off the camera?

14:59:09 From z2XSCI2V3otGg7rVJ2Zy3Jb6HQ+dfS/9fQUvbOYdNzE= : Hi, this is Annebeth joining

15:00:03 From Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon) : @Anne: Of my side it's to save bandwidth

15:02:32 From Maxim Alzoba : Hello all

15:02:44 From Juan Manuel Rojas : Hello All

15:02:47 From Maxim Alzoba : is it possible to remove video Tab at all? (nobody uses it)

15:03:00 From Naeem Uddin : Hello all

15:05:51 From Jeff Neuman : I think eventually we should use the video :)

15:07:00 From Maxim Alzoba : there is a coffee icon in zoom :)

15:07:29 From Naeem Uddin : How to switch between screens?

15:07:35 From Jamie Baxter | dotgay : Should it also be highlighted before we get started that privacy in direct chat should not be assumed as ICANN staff has access to all private chats?

15:08:37 From Steve Chan : @Jamie, I think that got a little bit in translation. I can address that...rest assured, we do not see the private chat between members. The only private chat we see is what is directed at us.

15:08:55 From Maxim Alzoba : it is possible to push pop-out on every window and to move over the screen

15:09:06 From avri doria : and you can change the size of the block

15:09:28 From Maxim Alzoba : @Cristopher, the audio is way better than usual

15:10:12 From Kathy Kleiman : Should I be on Steve's screen or Julie's screen?

15:10:28 From Steve Chan : @Jamie, and others, let us know if you have additional questions.

15:10:44 From Steve Chan : @Kathy, Julie's for the moment

15:10:45 From Jamie Baxter | dotgay : @Steve .. since it was stated as I joined the call that direct chats would be deleted by staff before the final transcript is sent out I do think it would be prudent to assure everyone on this call of the situation.

15:11:28 From Steve Chan : @Jamie, sure. Jeff, hand raised.

15:15:04 From Maxim Alzoba : it is possible to check 'turn my video off when joining the meeting'

15:16:30 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Thank you Christopher for the "heads-up" in relation to those two issues. Is public comment open on those two issues?

15:17:53 From Julie Bisland : @all: to turn OFF video: hover at the bottom of your screen, click the arrow next to video, video settings, then TURN OFF MY VIDEO WHEN JOINING

15:18:03 From Jamie Baxter | dotgay : @Steve, thanks for clarifying

15:18:12 From Julie Bisland : Thank you Steve, well said

15:19:05 From Julie Bisland : @All: who called from ph# 703-533-9530?

15:20:11 From Steve Chan : All, if you prefer to follow along in the Google doc, please see here: <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VSrLyWvfAiwDP-pe-QhAokRVoy1rpnDhfTqViwo4-zc/edit#heading=h.txpujmt8hc0>

15:21:21 From Steve Chan : Not me :)

15:23:56 From Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon) : @Steve: thanks for the google link

15:26:21 From Maxim Alzoba : Be aware that the Terms of Use can be changed at any moment, last time it happened to have quite huge changes , preventing some of the users from use of the portal

15:26:33 From Maxim Alzoba : due to legal concerns

15:26:40 From Maxim Alzoba : of the Portal

15:27:49 From Maxim Alzoba : but we can use bits of text, if we find that it fits the cause

15:28:56 From Maxim Alzoba : for 2.4.3.c.6 - the AGB itself can be changed (it happened last time)

15:31:13 From Maxim Alzoba : I do not hear Jeff

15:31:27 From Jim Prendergast : Jeff come back

15:31:29 From Rubens Kuhl : I do not hear Jeff as well.

15:33:38 From Rubens Kuhl : Old topic.

15:33:40 From Maxim Alzoba : I object, it is not factually based idea

15:34:36 From Jim Prendergast : id like to respond to CW if possible

15:37:23 From Maxim Alzoba : to avoid situations of 'you do agree to pay twice more if you still wish to use the system'

15:38:18 From Justine Chew : @Maxim, can you elaborate on your last remark about paying twice please?

15:38:54 From Maxim Alzoba : at least TLD should be allowed as IDN (ICANN required applicants to be IDN ready, while having nonIDN ready system)

15:40:02 From Maxim Alzoba : @Justine it was hypothetical example of bad changes made in the process (after application payments were received)

15:40:27 From Justine Chew : @Maxim, I see. Thanks.

15:41:02 From Steve Chan : Hand from DONna

15:42:05 From Justine Chew : @Donna, we did indeed, last week.

15:42:07 From Maxim Alzoba : merge and split functions should come together (of applications under one login), there is a possibility that an applicant decides to switch a consultant

15:42:38 From Steve Chan : Loud and clear Jeff...

15:44:04 From Donna Austin, Neustar : Thanks Jeff

15:44:46 From Maxim Alzoba : my comment was for 2.4.3.c.9 (about merge and split of applications under one login , two logins)

15:45:50 From Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon) : +1 @Maxim, good idea to merge

15:46:27 From Justine Chew : I wonder if in this section we should use the term "User" instead of "applicant" to avoid confusion as to which system we're talking about? "User" being an already contracted party versus "applicant" being an actual applicant for a new string.

15:49:00 From Maxim Alzoba : @Justine, there is a possibility that the current Portal might be used for Registries and Registrars and Applicants

15:49:07 From Maxim Alzoba : when updated

15:49:17 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Neustar comment related to "overly long testing and development windows" that might cause "undue delay"

15:49:59 From Donna Austin, Neustar : Thanks Anne

15:50:23 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : BRG says "this should be treated as low priority and not cause any delay"

15:51:28 From Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon) : @Justine: May be if both terms "user and applicant" are maintained, each of them must be accompanied by it definition to avoid confusion

15:52:35 From Rubens Kuhl : It's almost a given that ICANN will leverage the existing Naming Services Portal for applicants. So comparing what we believe works in NSP for applications and what would need to be different. But only currently contracted parties have access to NSP to basis comments on.

15:53:06 From Maxim Alzoba : it depends on who gained the control

15:54:39 From Steve Chan : There is a drop down next to "To:" in the chat window - Select Everyone from the dropdown options.

15:55:16 From Christa Taylor : It was also for internal processing purposes; i.e. one person does a change and someone else can see the changes

15:55:28 From Rubens Kuhl : And Zoom uses the local language for "Everyone", so it shows "Todos" for me and other Portuguese-speaking user.

15:55:51 From Christa Taylor : #someone else can see the changes from an internal perspective

15:56:24 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Regarding 2.4.3.c.14, I note that in addition to Question 18 related to Mission and Purpose not being appropriate for "autofill" , there is an issue about "autofill" in relation to Question 23 related to "services to be rendered" in the new TLD. As discussed in Work Track 4, the point is not to be able to get multiple applications through as quickly as possible and then to add services later after public comment is over.

15:56:59 From Maxim Alzoba : without copy and pasting there is a need of having a genius typists (we will type in texts)

15:57:12 From Maxim Alzoba : *who will

15:58:35 From Justine Chew : RE: 2.4.3.c.13, I presume there is a "disclaimer" somewhere to allow appropriate action by ICANN if an uploaded file ends up being a 'dangerous' file eg infected file

15:59:30 From Kathy Kleiman : Agree with Anne's comment above re: autofill.

15:59:44 From Jeff Neuman : Sorry anne...you are next

16:00:24 From Kathy Kleiman : And question whether the systems question would overwrite or replace concerns raised in other sections?

16:01:15 From Maxim Alzoba : it might be called cloning of the application texts (for some questions)

16:02:11 From Kathy Kleiman : +1 Anne

16:03:01 From Maxim Alzoba : Auto fill will be required when TLDs share the same software platform (why should we forbid that?)

16:04:23 From Maxim Alzoba : for tech questions

16:06:14 From Kathy Kleiman : Can I raise my hand?

16:06:14 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Address and contact information are not at issue. System should not have this functionality in relation to Mission, Purpose, and Services to be rendered. The most relevant questions are Question 18 and Question 23.

16:06:15 From christopher wilkinson : Well, there has been no thorough discussion in the PDP of the implications of AGB2 for IDNs. There has been no discussion about the same applicant applying for the same 'string' in several scripts. This is a non-trivial issue. In .EU case we have lived through serious delays about the simplest implementation: EU in Cyrillic and Greek.

16:06:16 From Kathy Kleiman : virtually

16:07:07 From Steve Chan : Quick hand for me Jeff

16:07:14 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : The Systems section is in fact relevant. If agreed, it should provide, "but no autofill as it relates to mission, purpose, and services to be rendered."

16:09:19 From Maxim Alzoba : actually last time it was an incentive for IDNs, so forbidding TLDs to be presented in more than one local language does not seem to be well thought

16:09:30 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : I would support that approach.

16:09:44 From Justine Chew : I support that approach as well

16:09:54 From Phil Buckingham : Me too.

16:10:09 From Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon) : Me too.

16:10:58 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Parking Lot issues should specifically refer to Question 18 and 23, but not exclude any other question referencing mission, purpose, or proposed services.

16:11:00 From Kathy Kleiman : Thanks for extensive clarity on this!!

16:11:14 From Kathy Kleiman : In all spots -- here, original point, and parking lot.

16:11:36 From Maxim Alzoba : actually WHOIS had to be almost the same (for services)

16:12:13 From Maxim Alzoba : and that was the part of the application

16:13:11 From Phil Buckingham : I would think that any question that has a point score attached to it should not be allowed to be autofilled However mission Q18 was not point scored last time

16:13:57 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Thanks Jeff - yes this systems issue would also implicate the exception relative to mission, purpose, and proposed services. Parking lot issue as to 2.4.3.c.15 in addition to 2.4.3.c.14.

16:14:22 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Agree with Maxim but as to mandatory services, the new application should probably have a "check the box" system.

16:14:58 From Maxim Alzoba : we have to mention that critical services are required and also might be the same - like non-searchable whois

16:15:09 From Maxim Alzoba : non-searchable

16:16:24 From Maxim Alzoba : last time it was LA only time for support on important questions

16:17:23 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : RE 2.4.3.c.15, please note that the BC public comment says "Each application should be treated independently."

16:18:40 From Justine Chew : @Anne, begs the question does "treated" mean "processed" or "solicited"?

16:19:13 From Maxim Alzoba : the latter might not work if there are two TLDs , where domains are coupled via some rule (like LGR) for same words in different languages

16:19:43 From Maxim Alzoba : those will have to be seen together

16:20:07 From Justine Chew : @Maxim, agreed.

16:21:50 From Kathy Kleiman : Suggesting for parking lot:

16:21:52 From Kathy Kleiman : As agreed in WG 4-22-19: agreed provided it is a) technically possible to *not have autofill* in some of the fields, and b) autofill may not, without further agreement of SubProWG and Community, be used at all for fields, questions and answers of the application involving mission, purpose, and proposed services.

16:22:29 From Kathy Kleiman : tx!

16:22:45 From Kathy Kleiman : Feel better Jeff!

16:23:04 From Julie Bisland : Next Subpro WG call: Tuesday, 30 April 2019 at 03:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

16:23:14 From Maxim Alzoba : I am not sure we have to forbid copy paste for the questions, which are going to have the same answers (critical functions)

16:23:28 From Julie Bisland : (MOnday night)

16:23:38 From Justine Chew : Thanks, all.

16:23:38 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr () : bye for now...

16:23:39 From Donna Austin, Neustar : thanks all

16:23:39 From Rubens Kuhl : Bye all!

16:23:40 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : @Maxim- copy and paste is not prohibited. This issue related to "autofill"

16:23:42 From Annebeth Lange : Thanks and goodbye

16:23:43 From Maxim Alzoba : bye all

16:24:03 From Phil Buckingham : thanks Jeff

16:24:19 From Janvier Ngnoulaye (ISOC Cameroon) : Bye to all