

**Attendance:**

Anne Aikman-Scalese  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr  
Christa Taylor  
Donna Austin  
Gemma Keegan  
Jeff Neuman  
Jess Hooper  
Jim Prendergast  
Justine Chew  
Kathy Kleiman  
Kavouss Arasteh  
Kristine Dorrain  
Michael Flemming  
Raymond Zylstra  
Vaibhav Aggarwal  
Vivek Goyal

**Apologies:**

Katrin Ohlmer  
Annebeth Lange  
Maxim Alzoba  
Vanda Scartezini

**Staff:**

Steve Chan  
Berry Cobb  
Christine Willett  
Michelle DeSmyter

**Zoom chat:**

21:47:44 From Michelle DeSmyter : Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Tuesday, 30 April 2019 at 03:00 UTC.

21:49:29 From Michelle DeSmyter : Agenda wiki page:  
<https://community.icann.org/x/9RVIBg>

21:59:47 From Jeff Neuman : Lets give until :02

22:00:18 From Vivek Goyal - LDotR : Hello

22:01:43 From Kathy : Might be a good idea to send out a reminder to the list...?

22:02:13 From Kathy : Tx Michele!!!

22:02:27 From Michael Flemming : I do not enjoy that automatic camera sharing. I must turn it off.

22:04:38 From Kathy : @Michael - agreed!!

22:06:26 From steve.chan : Working doc link here:  
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1R4zXTH3hIgfqbqoxyqsSp19Bl6J96NNeV7oCgxsXKD-w/edit#heading=h.j7jy935ryg4k>

22:08:55 From Rubens Kuhl : Policy goals says "rounds" instead of "procedures".

22:09:10 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : To Staff - my phone was on mute. Were you hearing my voice? Does the phone line not mute unless the commands are entered?

22:09:35 From Rubens Kuhl : The computer audio in zoom is on by default.

22:09:35 From Michelle DeSmyter : Hello Anne, that is correct

22:09:57 From steve.chan : @Anne, I do not believe it was your line that was open just a moment ago.

22:10:34 From steve.chan : But Rubens is right, mics are live upon entering the room.

22:11:25 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : I did not join audio. Just want to make sure I understand whether ZOOM operates differently when we are on the phone line.

22:11:40 From Kathy : What is a demand component?

22:13:58 From Kathy : @Anne, I had that problem in another call. My phone, separately dialed in, had to physically unmuted by me with \*6 and then unmuted by ICANN Staff. It was confusing!

22:14:07 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : I was just able to select a box that said "automatically mute microphone when joining meeting".

22:14:58 From Jim Prendergast : I think a cost Benefit analysis is different than a demand analysis. Others have raised questions regarding deman but I wouldnt characterize the GAC as that.

22:15:32 From Justine Chew : +1 Jim

22:16:34 From Rubens Kuhl : I'm looking at the GAC Communique responses as we speak.

22:16:42 From Kathy : @Jim: what do you think would address the GAC concerns?

22:17:10 From Jim Prendergast : @Rubens - its all buried in scorecards

22:18:52 From Jeff Neuman : So we will take this as an action item....to look at scorecards

22:19:07 From Jeff Neuman : Right now I want to get the thoughts of working group members

22:19:17 From Jeff Neuman : Jim you are next

22:19:55 From Rubens Kuhl : <https://gnso.icann.org/sites/default/files/file/field-file-attach/2016-12/review-gac-communique-19jul16-en.pdf>

22:20:26 From Rubens Kuhl : 1. The GNSO Council encourages GAC members to participate in the PDP examining issues related to subsequent rounds of new gTLDs, and/or submit its feedback during this group's requests for input and/or public comments. The GNSO Council intends to submit the GAC's Helsinki Communique to the leadership of this PDP, and highlight this particular section for their review.

22:20:42 From Rubens Kuhl : (1)(I)(b) The CCT-RT is also underway, but a truly “independent analysis” would need to be requested by the PDP WG, and approved by the Council.

22:21:54 From Rubens Kuhl : Analysis Group I believe as well, Jeff.

22:22:05 From Arasteh : I do not recall any reaction from the Board in that regard.

22:22:13 From Justine Chew : Yes, I also recall reference to an Economic Analysis, related to the CCTRT work.

22:25:16 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : COMMENT GAC Advice that is not accepted must be overridden by a 60% vote of the Board. I think this is 11 directors. So if the GAC repeats advice (as they often do) where GNSO advice conflicts, it's a difficult spot since ByLaws provide Board needs 2/3 vote to override GNSO Consensus Advice, but 60% vote to override GAC Advice. Unless we work out the disagreements, we are looking at another bottleneck and more delay. COMMENT

22:25:59 From Arasteh : I AGREE WITH christopher

22:26:16 From Arasteh : sorry for cap

22:26:43 From Kathy : Rights Protections Mechanisms WG is not rethinking everything from scratch, but reviewing our existing policies

22:26:56 From Rubens Kuhl : Not only to change; if the PDP wants an analysis to be performed, the PDP needs to ask the Council for the resources and for its procurement.

22:27:58 From Rubens Kuhl : And the Council might decline or approve it.

22:28:05 From Arasteh : Jeff, Do you see my hand raised

22:31:15 From Donna Austin, Neustar : its a valid point Kristine

22:32:06 From Donna Austin, Neustar : sorry for joining late

22:32:12 From Michelle DeSmyter : Kavouss has a question

22:32:16 From Michelle DeSmyter : Welcome Donna

22:34:26 From Rubens Kuhl : <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-helsinki56-gac-advice-scorecard-13dec16-en.pdf>

22:34:43 From Rubens Kuhl : Response: Board accepts the advice, noting that the Board is not in a position to manage the content and timeline of the ongoing community reviews. Board recognizes that the CCT Review Team is concluding its work and understands that the Review Team is looking at the issues noted in the GAC’s advice, and such recommendations from the Review Team could be incorporated into the

22:34:53 From Rubens Kuhl : policy development work on subsequent rounds of the New gTLD Program.

22:35:30 From Rubens Kuhl : So I took it as board punting it down to CCT-RT and GNSO.

22:37:29 From Justine Chew : Just to be clear, the ALAC's "support" is qualified -- we did say, "While the ALAC and wider At-Large community continue to debate the actual benefits to communities in expanding the New gTLD Program, we acknowledge that the Program will likely continue to be expanded in one form or another.

22:38:14 From Jeff Neuman : Justine - But that was the second comment.....the first comments submitted did not have that qualification

22:39:13 From Arasteh : I tend to agree with Christopher

22:40:22 From Justine Chew : Jeff - yes, we supported PR 2.2.1.c.1 in principle. It is predicated on the acknowledgement that the Program will likely continue to be expanded in one form or another.

22:40:27 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : \*--I think the CCT - RT report bears on this question. This is not an IPC position.

22:43:16 From Arasteh : However, we need to take into account comments made by GAC and others

22:43:18 From Rubens Kuhl : ICANN could confirm every 50 years...

22:43:47 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : i have tried to raise my hand.

22:44:15 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : will staff unmute

22:44:28 From Michelle DeSmyter : One moment

22:45:13 From Kathy : #6 to unmute phones...

22:45:29 From Kathy : then Staff has to unmute the phone too.

22:46:16 From Kathy : What does ALAC mean by Diversity?

22:47:11 From Justine Chew : @Kathy, please refer to ALAC's full comment to as suggested by staff in googledoc.

22:47:25 From Kathy : Let's ask Justine :-)

22:47:30 From Rubens Kuhl : <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/metrics-gdd-2015-01-30-en>

22:47:41 From Rubens Kuhl : "Domain Name Marketplace Indicators"

22:47:48 From steve.chan : @Kathy, Justine, the ALAC's comment can found here: <https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/comments-gtld-subsequent-procedures-initial-03jul18/2018q3/000035.html>

22:48:23 From Justine Chew : Yes, I was answering @Kathy.

22:48:36 From Jim Prendergast : Marketplace indicators are a lot of numbers and no analysis.

22:51:57 From Rubens Kuhl : GAC advice was accepted, but acceptance by the board is different from the garden variety usage of acceptance.

22:53:04 From Justine Chew : @Anne, I agree with you. But I am also concerned about the Board's reaction to the CCTRT review. That's an ongoing "thing".

22:54:00 From Rubens Kuhl : ICANN is not sued under Sherman Act for anti-competitive behaviour by restring access to the root zone - success.

22:54:54 From Arasteh : Good luck to get something on the possible definition of "SUCCESS"?

22:56:10 From Justine Chew : Speaking on my behalf, many of the metrics that the ALAC has suggested for subsequent procedures would have been nice to have to assess the 2012 rounds. Would have helped answer our question re benefits of expanding the program. Unfortunately, this is a case of "we should have known better then".

22:58:46 From Justine Chew : @Donna, While I like your suggestion, I would appreciate indications of what this group can do any better than what the CCTRT tried to do?

22:58:48 From Arasteh : I do not see any possible way to come up with a general definition of success. However, some description of relative satisfaction or dissatisfaction may be formulated

23:00:13 From steve.chan : Hi Jeff, hand up

23:00:31 From Justine Chew : @Donna, but I am prepared to give it a try anyhow.

23:01:48 From Michelle DeSmyter to Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) (Privately) : I am not sure, I do find that odd, it should work - I will ask around to find out why you are having this issue with the features not working.

23:02:03 From Donna Austin, Neustar : @Justine, maybe it's just a fresh set of heads looking at the problem through a different lense.

23:02:03 From Justine Chew : @Steve, thanks for the reminder.

23:02:09 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Has anyone already registered zoom.sucks? Sorry but it's very frustrating to have to have staff controlling my phone and Steve not able to raise his hand and having to monkey with the video and audio controls when we are trying to discuss substantive issues.

23:03:14 From steve.chan : Donna, is that a new hand?

23:03:39 From Donna Austin, Neustar : Sorry Steve, same old tired one.

23:04:28 From steve.chan : @Anne, in Zoom, using the VOIP audio is actually the preferred way to connect. It's actually clearer and more stable.

23:04:48 From Rubens Kuhl : Anne, zoom.sucks is unavailable, but zoomsucks.com is available.

23:05:35 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : I have not had a chance to review the summary page related to the Predictability Framework.

23:06:50 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : @Rubens - thank you. Maybe next time. It's rough on those who have to be on mobile phone.

23:08:14 From Kathy : That indeed was a crazy process!

23:08:22 From Rubens Kuhl : Make Digital Archery Great Again.

23:09:07 From Kathy : This list could run from policy to non-policy.

23:09:49 From Kathy : Can I ask a follow-up?

23:11:19 From Justine Chew : Another 20 mins

23:11:45 From Kathy : Hand up

23:12:09 From Kathy : hand down...

23:12:56 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : hand up

23:13:06 From Kathy : hand up

23:21:15 From Justine Chew : @Anne, I think there is a fixed life for an IRT.

23:21:42 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : @Justine - we said in the Initial Report we were recommending a Standing IRT

23:22:09 From Justine Chew : I understand it to be exactly what Jeff is explaining now.

23:23:10 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : @Justine - which is what exactly? Just a new convening of a new IRT after launch?

23:23:30 From Justine Chew : @Jeff, my understanding of SIRT from the Initial Report to be consistent what you just have explained.

23:23:37 From Jeff Neuman : We use the term "affected parties"

23:23:54 From Jeff Neuman : Thanks Justine.....

23:24:35 From Kathy : "affected parties" is basically everyone -- applicants, current and potential registrants, GAC and community monitoring to file comments and concerns, everyone!

23:24:49 From Rubens Kuhl : I don't think we should relitigate WT5 here.

23:25:06 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : Why do you want 2 different IRTs when issues clearly arise after the Applicant Guidebook is issued?

23:25:48 From Justine Chew : Because of the understanding that any IRT has a limited life span.

23:26:05 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : @Justnie - not if we recommend a Stadning IRT

23:26:36 From Justine Chew : That's just a name, and that's the part that's causing confusion.

23:27:55 From Kathy : The Gateway :-)

23:28:09 From Rubens Kuhl : Oracle

23:28:54 From Justine Chew : There were questions asked as to what should fall within the purview of thus SIRT (or whatever it's going be renamed to) versus what should go to regular GNSO processes.

23:29:14 From Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC) : I thnk we all need to recognize that issues arise both before and after the Applicant Guidebook is published. Drafting of the AGB raises issues. then the application process raises issues. One person's policy is another person's implementation. The Policy and Implementation Working Group studied all the issues that arose in the 2012 round and detrmined it was useless to try to characterize these as "policy" OR "implementation" because reasonable minds can differ on that topic.

23:29:16 From Kathy : Gateway -> Team

23:29:22 From Donna Austin, Neustar : Post Application Advisory Team

23:29:25 From Kathy : Good night, good morning All!

23:29:39 From Justine Chew : PAAT? Hmmm no. LOL

23:29:46 From Kathy : lots of gray areas...

23:29:57 From Kathy : Let's think about the gateway issues too!

23:30:16 From Donna Austin, Neustar : @Justine, why not, I don't think its taken.

23:30:24 From christopher wilkinson : @Rubens - WT5 has expressly referred ISO 4217 up to the PDP. It should go on our agenda now.

23:30:55 From steve.chan : Monday, 06 May 2019 at 15:00 UTC for 90 minutes