

\* All project completion dates provided are estimates/best guesses as determined by staff and are subject to change.  
 \* Updated as of 25 January 2019

◇ = if applicable, Initial Report  
 ◇ = if applicable, close of Public Comment  
 ◇ = if applicable, Final Report (or otherwise complete)

\*Assignment of values is subjective and the initial assignments have been undertaken by staff for the purpose of supporting initial resource planning discussions. The GNSO Council may want to perform its own evaluation and adjust accordingly.

Efforts	Already Underway	Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018	Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019	2020	2021	GNSO Council Role	Level of Priority (1-5: where 1=could, 3=should, 5=must)	Level of Effort for GNSO Council (1-5)	Level of Effort for GNSO Support Staff (1-5)	Level of Effort for GNSO Community (1-5)	High-Level Description	
<b>Policy Development Processes --</b>																		
PDP IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms												Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to determine whether existing curative rights mechanisms are sufficient for IGO/INGO usage, or if the mechanisms need to be amended and/or new mechanisms need to be established.	
Expedited Policy Development Process on Temporary Specification												Chartering Organization	5	4	5	5	Expedited PDP initiated as a result of the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data. The effort has a timeframe of a single year to conclude.	
Expedited Policy Development Process on Temporary Specification - Phase 2												Chartering Organization	5	4	5	5	Phase 2 aspect for the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data. This effort would concentrate on a possible accreditation and access model that complies with GDPR.	
PDP: Protection of International Organizational Names in All gTLDs (IGO Acronyms)												Chartering Organization	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	PDP to consider if special protections should be provided to certain IGOs, RCRC, IOC, and INGOs. The PDP's consensus recommendations reside with Board, where some have been determined to be inconsistent with GAC Advice.	
PDP Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs - Phase 1												Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to review gTLD rights protection mechanisms, with Phase 1 concentrating on those specific to the new gTLD program (e.g., URS, TMCH, Sunrise periods, Trademark Claims, and PDDRs).	
PDP Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs - Phase 2												Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to review gTLD rights protection mechanisms, with Phase 2 concentrating on the UDRP.	
PDP New gTLD Subsequent Procedures												Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to reflect on the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program and to determine what changes are needed to the original policy recommendations related to new gTLDs.	
Managing IDN Variant Top-Level Domains												Chartering Organization	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Potential PDP to develop policy recommendations as it relates to Managing IDN Variants at the top-level.	
<b>-- Cross Community Working Groups --</b>																		
CCWG on New gTLD Auction Proceeds												Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	CCWG responsible for providing recommended mechanism(s) for the use of funds collected via last resort auctions in the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program.	
<b>-- Implementation Review Teams --</b>																		
IRT - PDP Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs												GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on the Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs that are NOT inconsistent with GAC Advice.	
IRT - PDP Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Working Group												GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation, which will result in the Privacy and Proxy Accreditation Program.	
IRT - PDP "Thick" Whois												GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on "Thick" Whois.	
IRT - PDP Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information												GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information	
<b>-- Other Projects --</b>																		
PDP 3.0 Implementation												GNSO is source of PDP 3.0 recommendations and is responsible for ensuring they are implemented.	5	4	4	2	GNSO is responsible for implementing the recommendations it agreed upon as it relates to PDP 3.0	
<b>-- Standing Committees --</b>																		
Standing Committee on Budget and Operations (SCBO)												Council committee charged with consideration of budgetary issues specific to how they impact Council	3	3	3	3	A standing committee established by the GNSO Council to consider ICANN budget related issues in the context of the Council, especially around the Fiscal Year planning cycle.	
Standing Selection Committee												Council committee charged with performing candidate review and selection processes as requested by Council.	5	3	3	3	A standing committee established by the GNSO Council to perform candidate reviews and selection processes as directed by the Council (e.g., GNSO members for Specific Reviews, GAC liaison, etc.).	
<b>-- Specific Reviews --</b>																		
Accountability and Transparency Review (ATRT3)												Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on how well ICANN supports mechanisms for public input, accountability, and transparency.	
Security, Stability and Resiliency of the DNS (SSR2-RT)												Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on how well ICANN is improving security, operational stability, and resiliency matters relating to the coordination of the Internet's system of unique identifiers.	
Registration Directory Service Policy Review (RDS)												Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on how effectively the gTLD registry directory service balance the legitimate needs of law enforcement with the need to safeguard registrant data and promote consumer trust.	
<b>-- ICANN Org Related Work --</b>																		
Customer Standing Committee Charter Review												Approval of review members and charter	3	2	2	3	As required by the revised Bylaws, the Customer Standing Committee Charter will be reviewed by a committee of representatives from the ccNSO and the RySG. The review was commenced one year after the first meeting of the CSC.	
PTI Budget Approval - FY20												Council has no specific role, can choose to contribute	3	2	3	3	Public comment opportunity on the Draft FY Public Identifier Identifiers Operating Plan and Budget and the Draft IANA FY Operating Plan and Budget.	
ICANN Budget Approval - FY20												Council has no specific role, can choose to contribute	3	2	3	3	Public comment opportunity on ICANN's Draft FY Operating Plan and Budget and the year's update to the Five-Year Operating Plan.	
ICANN Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2021 - 2025												Council has no specific role, can choose to contribute	3	2	3	3	Public comment opportunity on ICANN's draft five-year strategic plan for fiscal years 2021 through 2025.	
Customer Standing Committee (CSC) Effectiveness Review												Approval of review members and charter	5	3	2	3	As required by the revised Bylaws, the effectiveness of the Customer Standing Committee will be reviewed two years after the first meeting of the CSC.	
IANA Naming Function Review												Approval of review members and charter	5	3	2	3	As required by the revised Bylaws, the Board can cause periodic and/or special reviews of PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements in the IANA Naming Function Contract and IANA Naming Function SOW.	

Independent Review Process - Implementation Oversight Team (IRP-IOT)	Standing Panel									Approval of standing panel members	5	2	2	3	Standing panel established to perform oversight for the Independent Review Process.
-- Additional Possible Work --															
-- ICANN Org Related Work --															
N/A															
-- Policy Reviews --															
ICANN Procedure for Handling Whois Conflicts with Privacy Law										Chartering Organization. Determination of next steps	5	3	TBD	3	Effort to review how the access and usefulness of the ICANN Procedure For Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law implementation can be improved, in the context of public comment received on that procedure.
2013 RAA Whois Accuracy Program Specification Review										Consideration of report/public comments and determination of next steps	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	Potential review of the WHOIS accuracy requirements contained in the 2013 RAA. If the review takes place and depending on the outcome of the review, the GNSO may need to take action.
Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy										Consideration of report/public comments and determination of next steps	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	Data collection and review of the Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy, as recommended by the IRTP Part D PDP WG. Depending on the outcome of the review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).
Transfer Emergency Action Contact										Consideration of report/public comments and determination of next steps	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	Review of the Transfer Emergency Action Contact as recommended by the IRTP Part B PDP WG, especially in relation to whether the TEAC is effectively establishing contact between registrars in case of emergency, whether the TEAC is being used for non-emergency issues, and whether the "undo" option in case of failure to respond to the TEAC should be mandatory. Expected to be rolled into review of IRTP (see previous item). Depending on the outcome of that eventual review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).
Expired Registration Recovery Policy										Consideration of report/public comments and determination of next steps	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	Review of the Expired Registration Recovery Policy, as recommended by the Post Expiration Domain Name Recovery PDP WG. The data collected is primarily from Contractual Compliance and related to complaints about renewal and/or post expiration related matters. Depending on the outcome of that eventual review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).
Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation										Consideration of report/public comments and determination of next steps	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	While the IRT is still in the process of implementing the policy, the WG recommended that in the future, the effectiveness of the accreditation program be reviewed. Depending on the outcome of that eventual review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).

Items Removed on 25 January 2019

- PDP gTLD Registration Data Services
  - Add back - Implementation
- CCWG-Accountability WS2
  - Add back - Implementation
- GNSO Rights & Obligations under Revised ICAion
- GNSO Review Working Group
- PDP: Protection of International Organizational Names in All gTLDs (Reconvened WG on RCRC)
  - Add back - Implementation
- Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Cion

Items Added on 25 January 2019

- ICANN Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2021 – 2025
- Expedited Policy Development Process on Temporary Specification - Phase 2
- Independent Review Process - Implementation Oversight Team (IRP-IOT)
- Managing IDN Variant Top-Level Domains
- PDP 3.0 Implementation