
BRENDA BREWER: Good day, everyone. This is Brenda speaking. Welcome to SSR2 Plenary Call #70, a face-to-face meeting on Day 3 in Brussels. Today is May 19th, 2019. We are beginning at 7:10 UTC. We'll go around the room for attendance. Please push the mic and we'll start with Scott.

SCOTT MCCORMICK: Good morning. Scott McCormick.

NORM RITCHIE: Norm Ritchie.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Russ Housley.

[LAURIN WEISSINGER]: [Laurin]

ANGIE GRAVES: Angie Graves.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Naveed Bin Rais.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Jennifer Bryce, ICANN org.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

BRENDA BREWER: Thank you. We do have apologies from Elaine, Denise, Boban, and Negar. Attending remotely is Ram. Today's call is being recorded. Please state your name before speaking for the record. Russ, let's get started. Thank you.

RUSS HOUSLEY: KC delivered a bunch of stuff, including a note to us questioning a couple of our conclusions, so I think it's probably best we start with that. The big one seems to be the Summer of Excellence. Her concern is that, if it is not independent of ICANN, it will be a self-fulfilling situation as opposed to, if it's funded independently – that is, you take the funds [inaudible] and some form of endowment, and then an endowment funds it forever, independent of ICANN – it will actually be independent but has a possibility of then achieving the intended goal.

So it seems to be this affects a big chunk of the recommendations, potentially, depending on how we see it. What are people's reactions to that?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Sorry, Russ. Can you point to where she added this?

RUSS HOUSLEY: She e-mailed it to the list.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Because I don't seem to find it in my e-mail.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think the way that the hype was presented at the time – “The Internet is going to fail if you don't do a DNS-CERT kind of a thing” – is quite a different pitch than, “There's a lot of abuse going on. We need to get our arms around it.” So I think the hyperbole or whatever you want to label it needs to be quite different for it to not go down the same fate.

Let's talk about the financial independence question. Assuming that it's cast in a different way than the DNS-CERT initiative, then I think the financial independence question is one we do need to talk about.

Thoughts?

NORM RITCHIE: This is Norm. I tend to agree with that, and I think you even mentioned yesterday that we'd already been discussing that. But perhaps it needs to be some kind of independent body. I'm going to speak from my own experience, too, through the Secure Domain Foundation because it's totally independent. I've had a number of times people saying, “We'll prevent you from doing this,” and it's kind of like, “No, you're not.” So people have tried to strongarm [inaudible] behold to ICANN for some reason. So, yeah, that would be ideal – financial independence – as long as you have access to the data. So that's the dilemma.

[RUSS HOUSLEY]: Yeah, that is the dilemma.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Is it only about financial independence or the administrative independence as well?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Well, she's raising the financial one, but I think that we should probably answer both.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Because I think administrative independence can be achieved quite easily, even not changing many things. It could be community-driven or something like a bottom-up approach. That exists as many examples within ICANN. Financially, we need to think about that an independent body would mean that it needs to sustain itself as well. It's quite challenging in itself, like who would feed in and where the money comes in and goes out and all that. It's not as easy as it may seem.

NORM RITCHIE: One of the goals of this group is really to put itself out of business. So if [inaudible] your curtailing of these and you're teaching others how to curtail these, then you would not be needed after a while. The idea would not be to grow this into a large organization because that pretty much means you're failing.

Yeah, you have a dilemma here, so I agree it should be funded separately. It needs to be part of ICANN but also needs to be independent because you need access to the data, you need access to

the resources and the expertise. And the clout actually helps. But at the same time, it should not be beholdng to the ICANN administration.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I challenge you to write that up in a way that explains the balance receiving because, if this is going to be successful, we need to get the rest of the community to see the vision and accept it and buy into it. If we don't create that groundswell, the whole thing will get [defeated]. That's what I would say.

NORM RITCHIE: It's a valid point if the will is not within the ICANN organization.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Exactly.

NORM RITCHIE: It would be doomed to be probably a non-starter, actually.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So we need to find that out.

NORM RITCHIE: Or the Board needs to address it, I guess.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Yeah, I see that as an easy opening for the community to put public comments. As it goes out, there'll be a lot of public comments about this from different communities.

NORM RITCHIE: I totally agree with that, but the issue needs to be raised, and KC brings up—

RUSS HOUSLEY: Molotov cocktails are fun.

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah. KC brings up a really good point. It's something that we don't address. Everyone talks about in the hallways, but it's not addressed publicly.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Exactly.

NORM RITCHIE: So we'll put it down and let the fireworks begin.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Well, we'll find out whether the community is going to be embracing the idea or fighting the idea. So this may be a major point of different between our draft report and our final report.

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: From my point of view, no matter how you organize it, there are so many choke points anyway to full independence. You can choke them on giving them the data they need. If they're internal, they might not have as much funding problems, but someone is there being able to give directions. I feel that, if the will is not there from major parties, they will find a way to make sure it doesn't work.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Correct, yes. Since we have someone listening remotely, we definitely need to use the microphones.

Norm, what I did before we started was I went to the board there and I wrote all the recommendations down. What I was hoping to do at some point today is get people assigned to do the first pass cleanup of collections of them. So we just gave you a challenge. To explain the balance point is in that recommendation. Laurin, which recommendation number is that? The center?

LAURIN WEISSINGER: 38, I think.

RUSS HOUSLEY: 38. All right. I'm going to put that—

LAURIN WEISSINGER: That might be compliance.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Well, I just asked you which it is. [Can we look it up?]

38 is compliance.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: No—

RUSS HOUSLEY: But it's also the center. All right. Norm, I'm going to ask you to take on 38, which is probably enough for the whole rest of the day for you. That would be my guess.

In that e-mail, is there any other point that someone wants to address? She basically is suggesting that, in the report, we take all the things related to data collection and measurements and make them a section and cluster them. That makes sense.

Her second point is that it ought to be easy to get curated data from the ICANN website in a way the ICANN Open Data Project is hopefully intending.

Her third one is she very much wants to address the balance of stakeholders in the presumable multi-stakeholder process, as she puts it. Basically, this is the “Give the end users a say” aspect that we talked about that recommendation yesterday. But her observation is that there’s been initiatives to deal with this for a decade and there doesn’t seem to be much progress.

She’s making a process suggestion in #4, which is to have an ongoing function to track these recommendations/implementation by this group so that, when SSR3 stands up, there’s a baton pass. That’s how I see what she’s recommending.

And the fifth one we just talked about.

What do people think about that fourth one?

NORM RITCHIE: I like that one.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I didn’t sign up for a five-year chairmanship. That’s what I’m thinking. That’s my initial reaction.

NORM RITCHIE: Well, yeah. I'm not saying this group would be the one to do it, but that's what she's saying. I think maybe it might be better to hand it over to someone like SSAC to track or something like that – some part of the organization that's always there. But having reviews every five years? And by the time you get [to] the reviews, then they're two years, which is seven. It's ridiculous.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: I think this, again, a bit that we discussed: having responsible someone responsible in the C-suite. It all comes back to the same problem: someone has to have eyes on it and be responsible for that. Then things are very different.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think she's talking about that and something else, though – the way I'm reading it – which has to do with—

LAURAIN WEISSINGER: [Do we] understand it?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Being able to look at the SSR1 report, read the recommendation, and go, "We have to make an assessment of whether that had the intended effect. But what did they mean by that word?" or whatever. And being able to go back and include some people who were part of that and say, "Oh, what we meant was ..." So she's talking about maintaining that

body of knowledge. I think this falls in the suggestion category as opposed to the recommendation category.

LAURIN WEISSINGER:

Yes. I think that is one where recommending this would go against the current process. Bu I do see that this makes sense: having someone around, maybe just keeping the e-mail list up or whatever. You can drop a message and be like, "Is this what you wanted, or what do you actually want from this?" or something like that.

NORM RITCHIE:

Yeah, I think the current structure with the bylaws are worded – this is treated more as a safeguard. So let's do a check every five years and make sure that SSR is [inaudible]. It's not saying this is engrained in the DNA of the organization, which it should be.

Going along with KC's suggestion there and modifying it slightly, I can think of the suggestion that the adopt-a-recommendations could be monitored by the SSAC group or, better yet, the CSO/CISO, if that's accepted and created. We have a lot of different conditions on where that best place might be, so we could list multiple suggestions of where it would live, depending on what's adopted.

Then guess what? When SSR3 comes along, there's a [inaudible] of the SSR2 recommendations already done.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: I was just wondering. One of our [takeaways] – I’m not whether to put it as a recommendation or a [takeaway] or experience or whatever – is we should advise ICANN to keep an online track of these recommendations and their status and where they are so that eliminates or minimizes the question and answers that we have to ask and to and from. Some data is collected, some is not, and we have to evaluate that. So there should be an online portal available that tracks “These were the recommendations and here is the status of that with the relevant data.” So that should be an automated process so that, even for the future review, the previous SSR assessment is easier than the time that we spent on this.

[DANKO JEVTOMIC]: Morning [.] Danko.

RUSS HOUSLEY: My understanding of that one was he had a follow-up question.

[DANKO JEVTOMIC]: One more thing that was mentioned to me – I lost track of who is the original source – is that we should refer properly to the questions we were asking and the answers we got and essentially say how that led or informed our recommendations because, currently, we don’t really do that.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think that’s in our methodology section.

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: It might be.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I'm pretty sure it is.

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: I think the point that was made was essentially, when we write about the analysis that leads to the recommendation, we have to say, "Yes, we asked," and then say we were told, "Oh, this doesn't exist," not just say [this is simple]. Then we can say, "Well, we asked. It didn't exist. This is how this leads to the recommendation," right?

So this is regarding document management. Essentially, we're just discussing how to manage this. Angie said she would like to be the only editor. Everyone else is [making suggestions]. But my problem with this is, for right now, where we have a lot of people still editing and moving stuff, I'm not sure if we're there right now that we could do this because this would just be insane amounts of stuff.

ANGIE GRAVES: I don't disagree with you, but the ability to see what changes have been made not as a summary of "Person X made this change at 3 A.M." or whatever but to actually see it highlighted in the text, as I want, doesn't prevent anybody from adding or changing text and commenting on it. I imagine, if you printed it out or something, it wouldn't show up.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Also, we talked about creating a new document yesterday, so I would suggest, when we create that document, we can just edit that and we all could put suggestions. Even when we make suggestions, it'll look like that text has actually changed but in a different color so that's easy to track. In editing more, you can't even track what changes were made, actually.

[DANKO JEVTOMIC]: I mean, if you want, you can. You can go into the edit history. I'm thinking it's a good idea. I'm just not sure if we shouldn't wait, like, for a few more days before we do that because, right now, at the bottom there is just some notes. The numbering isn't there. But, as soon as we start moving the next document there, it makes total sense. So we could move, for example, the ones where we, yesterday, achieved consensus on, which is in the table I sent around. It says yes, rough, or no. [inaudible] where it's a yes, we could move that to the new document.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So the goal is to get the list of recommendations, is to do a consolidation pass. I don't think it makes sense to track on a word-by-word basis the consolidating, but I think the output of that consolidating pass is the time that we want to start that.

[DANKO JEVTOMIC]: Yeah. This is exactly what I'm suggesting.

RUSS HOUSELY: Okay. You used different words, so I'm making sure.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible].

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. So what I think we should do is divvy up the work of the ones we can get done today. Maybe we just take one, work on it, and when you're finished it, go take another one. Then, at the end of the meeting, we see what's left and assign homework across the entire review team [that are] figuring out which things naturally cluster. So, if we assign somebody three, they also get the one that we've tagged to merged to three as opposed to have two people struggling with the same topic. Like, 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34 clearly belong as a work cluster.

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: Yes.

RUSS HOUSLEY: For [Eric].

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: So I think this is a big one because it's not only those but also 24 and 25. So this is really all data measurements and stuff like that. I think this is one of them where I probably want to have KC, Eric, and whoever else interested on a call because Eric might have had his reasons for breaking it into separate recommendations and we have to make sure that [inaudible].

RUSS HOUSLEY: I personally believe that was an artifact of the way we broke the work stream into [inaudible] but I think that's what created the divisions that are there. Now we need to remove the artificial ones that were [inaudible] how we did our work breakdown.

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: Yeah. I just feel I don't want to barge in and do it I know exactly who's responsible.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. I understand.

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: Okay.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So we already gave Norm one.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Scott and I—

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: Scott, can I give you three?

SCOTT MCCORMICK: Sure.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Three is the one that's all about the ISMS, right?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes, please?

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: Scott are you okay on your own? Because in the table it says both of us would be doing that.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Well, let's see—

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: [inaudible] reach out.

RUSS HOUSLEY: The spreadsheet.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: [Lavee], do you have one you prefer?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Where do you put it?

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: The spreadsheet is recommendation overview. It's just in the bottom [root of the drive].

NAVEED BIN RAIS: So what is the goal then? We have [inaudible] reworded?

RUSS HOUSLEY: If the one has some other ones like – at the end of the document, of the lettered ones, for a bunch of them we said, “Oh, this needs to be combined with that.” It's to do the merging, come up with some text, but preserve the things we agreed to. But does the merging. Okay?

[DANKO JEVTOVIC]: Scott, I also just posted the link inside [inaudible]

UNIDENTNIFIED MALE: So metrics and vulnerability scores are what we're [inaudible]? So merging—

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh, I'm sorry. I meant to give you two: certification and ISMS.

SCOTT MCCORMICK: That makes sense.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah, I gave him two. Meant to give him two.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's what I figured.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: [inaudible], right?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Potentially.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Because six is that [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. So take two and six and see if you can make them all one.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: So actually it would two, six, 12. That's already copied up because that's—

RUSS HOUSLEY: 12 we already deleted, though.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: No, it's there. It's below three. That should be it, hopefully.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I thought that strikethrough meant we had already done it.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: 12 was merged with three, right? Not two.

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's what it says.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Depends on where it is in the document—

RUSS HOUSLEY: [inaudible] comment says right here.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Should] we merge two and three as well?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh, I think that's the question.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: No. This would be metrics, vulnerability, and disclosure. That is three and 12.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. I think three is going to end up becoming a big recommendation that takes in 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. There's a cluster there. That's all I'm saying.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. Norm, I think 17 was supposed to be merged into the one you're working on.

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So let's assign Norm 17 and 30 [A]. Naveed, did you pick a number yet?

NAVEED BIN RAIS: I'm looking at 20, [inaudible].

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. 20. I'm sorry, 20 and what?

NAVEED BIN RAIS: 20 is merged already with 21, 22, and 23. It has to be combined [inaudible]. We put the text but it's not sorted.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. Jennifer, do you know which ones to put his initials with?

JENNIFER BRYCE: 20, 21 ... Next to 20 there's no comment made of what it should be merged with.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: 20 has to merge with 21.

RUSS HOUSLEY: It's already been done.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: No, it's not. That's part of what needs to be done?

JENNIFER BRYCE: So which ones?

NAVEED BIN RAIS: 20.

JENNIFER BRYCE: And 21.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Yeah. It's already merged, so 20 to 23 is [one thing of] implementation now.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Correct.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: If you look at the document, they're also all struck, so it's clear.

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's okay. The [sources] fill the matrix, so we know there's none left behind.

Okay. [LAURIN], which one do you want?

LAURIN WEISSINGER: [inaudible] I'm just checking. I'm just trying to figure out where ... I can look at the SSR concerns and contracts, which is 16. That would probably take me a week.

RUSS HOUSLEY: A week.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: [inaudible] 29] [inaudible] [merge with 17, as you merged 15?]

LAURIN WEISSINGER: I'm trying to add the penholder names to the table so it's always clear who is on what.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Actually, I can also look into 38, but I'm confused about what it is, like [inaudible] because there is a lot of confusion there. If you se 38, it says 24, 25, and 31. But when you to 31, it says also 34, 33, and many more.

RUSS HOUSLEY: But those are the clusters that are dealing with measurements. I think we should leave those together.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [And]?

JENNIFER BRYCE: [Lavee]?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Ah, it's Norm.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yes.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Okay. Just because I don't want to—

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Okay. Just want to make sure I put this in this table correctly. Maybe it's Norm Benedict.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] name is worse than my first name.

RUSS HOUSLEY: If you say so.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] my family. My parents ran out of names.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Can we add N to Norm as well? Because ... yes. M was one Denise's recommendations. When we went through them, we said this needs to be merged.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Good morning.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Who did?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Merike.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh.

Okay. Angie, do you feel up to speed enough to take one?

ANGIE GRAVES: As an editor?

RUSS HOUSLEY: To take what's in this whole document and make it work and merge whatever it needs to be merged with and put it into the new doc.

ANGIE GRAVES: Absolutely.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. Pick one.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible] designate on or more and—

RUSS HOUSLEY: No, pick one, and then ...

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible]. It's where we have consensus.

ANGIE GRAVES: Yeah. And it is a capital [Y/N] stronger than a—

RUSS HOUSLEY: No.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible] along. This is the only one where we have consensus but we decided to merge. Don't take that one.

ANGIE GRAVES: Let's do ... because I just don't have as great a familiarity. If you have one to suggest, I welcome it. Otherwise, I'm just going to—

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible]

ANGIE GRAVES: One. Thanks.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. So one is the one we're making a new category.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Right. The suggestion category.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Which is fine.

ANGIE GRAVES: Okay. Very good.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Ram, are you able to track enough to what we're doing that you could take one?

Which one would you like?

Is he typing?

Okay. Hopefully he's looking. Matagoro, I'm going to ask you the same question after Ram answers.

[RAM PARIYAR]: Hello?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Hello.

[RAM PARIYAR]: Hi, guys. I would appreciate to be assigned on the similar activities as those I signed up for on [the PDF] document. I know you might have [inaudible] it, but I would appreciate [maintaining] on the same/similar assignment.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I didn't understand what's missing.

[RAM PARIYAR]: I'm also saying it on the chat.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So you're asking that we assign ones that you had signed up to do on the topic teams?

[RAM PARIYAR]: Yes.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay, thank you.

[RAM PARIYAR]: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. Mr. Matagoro. Could you work on Recommendation 9? The text for Recommendation 9 was related to the future challenges one that you worked on.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Ram? Do you have a suggestion?

Okay. I'll take four and five.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Four we have consensus on.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. Five we do to.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Only rough consensus.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah, you should probably ...

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible].You leave the building. Go left. So commission is there. You go down like this. There's a [inaudible]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh, okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. So why don't we get to work on those topics, and then we'll come back together in a little bit and see if we can assign more and get them done today. If not, we'll figure out what the clusters are and make homework assignments to the whole team. All right? Thank you. We might as well stop the recording.

[Begin].

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: This meeting is being recorded.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So there's two things I want to do at this point. The first is to set the agenda for what's going to happen on Thursday's plenary call. My suggestion is that we're assigning a good chunk with these recommendations being given to different team members, yet small teams worked on getting to this point. But we're going to ask an individual to take it to the next step. So I suggest we use Thursday as coordination, that, in doing your work, if you've come across a question and you want to reach out to the rest of the team on how to handle it, we do that and then expect to have all the work done by the week after that.

Norm has probably been given the biggest [inaudible] of these. Do you think a checkpoint on Thursday where you can reach out to other people and then the following Thursday be done is a reasonable expectation?

NORM RITCHIE: I think so. I guess it's fairly clear what we want to do, but it's a lot.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes, exactly.

NORM RITCHIE: But, for the most part, I think I could put the proposal together for the group.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Great.

NORM RITCHIE: Right now, I'm actually just currently reading all the comments on the DNS-CERT that was proposed seven years ago. It happened a long time ago.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. Okay. So let's have that be the agenda: provide the coordination time and to get input from others on the team for you to finish your work if something came up and then have, by the following Thursday,

everything done so we can go through it and know that it's ready for Angie to actually do the editing. Okay?

The second thing we need to do is divvy up the rest of those [inaudible]. Okay. So I would like to assign a person, even if that person is going to be reaching out to others to do it. I would suggest that the block that begins with 30 are all Eric's. So we should tag him and see if he squeals. Does that make sense?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible] person?

RUSS HOUSLEY: [inaudible] [wrong].

UNIDENTIFIED MALE [Are not, no].

RUSS HOUSLEY: So for Jennifer to make the flip chart, we're talking about ... where is it? So we're talking about 24, 25, 30, 31, 33, and 34.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Are you taking the future [inaudible], Russ?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Well, I just did four and five, so I'll take something else. Let's see what other clusters naturally work. We gave Matagoro #9. Who is best to take the one that describes the position in the C-suite, which I think is 10?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: There's definitely some overlap with—

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: It's a lot of them.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But the thing is, you want—

RUSS HOUSLEY: But that one we want to stand alone, I think, even though it does have some spillover. We talked about one of the ones that Denise and said, "But that coordination should be that role."

Which topics? I'm trying to figure out which topics people who aren't here work on. That's what I'm doing.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: Russ, I would say we have comments at the bottom from Carey-Anne and Denise. I think it would make sense to give these essentially to Carey-Anne and Denise because it's their stuff so they can make sure it's integrated and not just [passed upon] them.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Let's give #10 to Carey-Anne then.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Who's got eight? No one.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think all the DR stuff should go to Boban.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] 11 [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Just going through.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Two is gone, and then ...

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Who's doing three?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible] we reached consensus on that.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But we don't have anybody ...

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No, but ... three is

RUSS HOUSLEY: Data metrics and vulnerability disclosure.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible] whole shebang.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah. In the comment, it said that KC, Eric, and myself have to look at this.

RUSS HOUSLEY: But we gave KC 20 as well.

LAURIN WEISSINGER: This is this financial accountability thing, which I believe was hers, so should expand and clarify.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I agree.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] Naveed.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes that is correct. Oh, no.

RUSS HOUSLEY: No.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: KC is 19. Financial accountability.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. A row 20.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah [inaudible]. Sorry.

JENNIFER BRYCE: It's all right.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible] Sorry.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Off by one.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Maybe you can [inaudible]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: It's fine.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think we should give [Owan] the crypto one.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Okay.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Which is 30 ...

DANKO JEVTOVIC: 35.

RUSS HOUSLEY: No. 30 ... cryptography future It's got to be 33.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: No.

RUSS HOUSLEY: It's correct. Oh, it's because—

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. There's some bullshit.

RUSS HOUSLEY: 35, yeah.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh, because we deleted some ---

RUSS HOUSLEY: Exactly. But figured it out.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I can see two things that are open, which is hardening L-root, 29. Based on the comments for the roots ... and we already have the question there which doesn't make sense to put those together. [Soko] maybe would be a good person for this?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I don't know who else would task ...

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] 15?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: 15 and 20.

RUSS HOUSLEY: And 29.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Naveed's got 29 and 15.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh. Do you want the root, Naveed?

NAVEED BIN RAIS: Yeah.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh, okay. But then you have a lot. Do you want to give the root to [Socko] or do you want to do it all?

NAVEED BIN RAIS: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Okay.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: All right.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Who's doing the name collision stuff?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: NCAP stuff?

NAVEED BIN RAIS: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: 28 we had consensus yesterday, so I'm not sure if we want to make someone specifically responsible.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I would like somebody to do it. Just read it and make it [inaudible]. If nothing else, moving it to the other [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I think that is Angie, right? If it's just the move, you will do it, right, Angie?

ANGIE GRAVES: Copy-paste into this?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. That's all that needs done on that one.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]?

RUSS HOUSLEY: 28. 27 should be Boban.

ANGIE GRAVES: Okay.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Just to have it.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible] No, 27 is the one we can't fully delete it.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Okay.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So that should be [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible] wrong thing.

ANGIE GRAVES: 28 is Angie? I just want to make sure of this.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yes.

ANGIE DANKO: Thank you.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: [inaudible] Angie.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Angie did one.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. We need somebody to do seven, which is budgeting for SSR and the new gTLD stuff.

LAURIN WESSINGER: That's another one that says we had consensus. So we could just move it over.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

ANGIE GRAVES: [Gavin]?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: So that'd be Angie.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] said in the chat that you would be happy to 35 with [Ilan], but do you want to [inaudible]?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Mm-hmm. [inaudible] Ram, right?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Good. The DNS test bed was also okayed, so that would also be a move.
That is 13.

RUSS HOUSLEY: No, we wanted responsibility added there is what I remember.

NAVEED BIN RAIS: We can just move it and then we can respond to it [inaudible] kind of
consensus.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah. We did have—

NAVEED BIN RAIS: We move and then we still sign it.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: We had consensus on that one.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I know, but there was one aspect of ...

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Let me check.

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's why it says rough.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: No, no, no. It's a yes.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh, okay. I was on the wrong—

DANKO JEVTOVIC: If it's rough, I'm not ... only if it's a yes.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. So just mark Angie.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah.

ANGIE GRAVES: What number is that?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: That is 13.

RUSS HOUSLEY: 13.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yes. Then we have SSR in new gTLD wave where I have noted consensus. [Let me check].

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh. No.

RUSS HOUSLEY: No what?

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I'm just noticing there is something that probably will be folded into that, and that is Denise. So I would say we give that to Denise so she can look at how to integrate the stuff that she did.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: That's sorted. Yeah, that's fine.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I think we've got it all. [inaudible] [killed], so ...

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. The ones at the bottom – the V 1, 2, 3 – are Carey-Anne. Do we want to a quick look through Denise's and make sure we want to leave them as a block or –

DANKO JEVTOVIC: They refer to multiple things.

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's my point. Maybe we should divide it up. There's a bunch there.
Oh, but a bunch of them we tagged with "move here" or "add to that."

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Yeah.

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah. Some were moved but the 38 which is me.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. All right. Let's see which ones are 38. We'll give them to Norm.

NORM RITCHIE: Where do these start?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Well, M we already assigned to you

NORM RITCHIE: No, but where's the start to the ones ... they're all the letters?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. They're all the letters.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: And P. P should go to Norm. R1 and R2 should go to Norm. U should go to Norm.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]

JENNIFER BRYCE: Right?

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yes.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] So there's one up here that is [inaudible]. Do you want to cross-check with what's on the sheet here?

NORM RITCHIE: I don't know where Laurin went. But, okay, let me bring up the spreadsheet.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] It looks like eight and then [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. So I think we'll ask Denise to do the rest of the letters, but it looks like there's some holes in your assignments.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yes. [inaudible] the first hole 12 and then 14.

RUSS HOUSLEY: 12 was deleted. That's why. What's the next one?

JENNIFER BRYCE: 14.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Laurin.

JENNIFER BRYCE: 18.

RUSS HOUSLEY: KC.

JENNIFER BRYCE: 26.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Eric.

JENNIFER BRYCE: 32.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Eric.

JENNIFER BRYCE: And 36 and 37.

RUSS HOUSLEY: 36 is Carey-Anne. 37 was deleted.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay. So then it's [inaudible] A through L.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. And right now we're looking at Denise. I'm wondering if we can take a couple of those off her.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay.

NORM RITCHIE: 37 was deleted.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. The chunks that were below that were moved to other recommendations. I don't remember which ones now. Isn't that what that cross-out means?

NORM RITCHIE: I don't know.

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's what I think it means.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: I don't think the idea fell on the floor. I think it got merged with something.

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah, but I'm trying to figure out what it got merged with.

RUSS HOUSLEY: It was either 3 or 17.

NORM RITCHIE: 17 is me. Okay [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: So you'll make sure it doesn't fall on the floor.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] check on it.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay.

NORM RITCHIE: But [inaudible]

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yeah.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]. Thank you. [inaudible] letters [inaudible]?

RUSS HOUSLEY: I'm looking. So A seems to overlap with that one, Norm. Does it? It's about audits of contracted parties. Or is that separate.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah. So does it overlap with 38 or not?

NORM RITCHIE: Not.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Not. okay.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] is compliance, right? Contractual compliance.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

NORM RITCHIE: But I think there's a number of them that fall under compliance.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I understand. I got confused about that myself.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] or big item?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah.

NORM RITCHIE: Who did we give 16 to?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Laurin. Okay. So let's also give A.

Norm, does Jay belong in your group? Study between specific registry operators, registrars, and DNS abuse.

NORM RITCHIE: Yes.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. Give Jay [inaudible]

NORM RITCHIE: Yes, that's [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: I mean I think it overlaps. There might be a nugget to pull out of there.

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: And L should go to whoever we gave 19 to. And P should go to Norm.
Did we already do that?

NORM RITCHIE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay.

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] which recommendation [inaudible] goes together because
[inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: No, that's your homework, right? It's to combine them and then sort them logically. Who got 39?

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: I'm sorry?

JENNIFER BRYCE: Denise.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Okay. All right then. I think that does it. The rest of the letters go to Denise.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay. [inaudible].

NORM RITCHIE: [inaudible] because [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes, I understand that. All right. So I think that completes the homework assignments. I'll ask Jennifer to send a note to the list that has the two

Google Docs, the source, and the destination for the work and then send out the assignments.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think that wraps up the planning we needed to do for the next two weeks and the agenda setting, so we can go back to writing, unless anyone has some Any Other Business.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Russ, you're going to look at the plan, or [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: I thought you and I would and we'll put it on the call to review.

JENNIFER BRYCE: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

ANGIE GRAVES: The call this week or [inaudible]?

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah, the plenary call. What I'd like to do is, once we come up ... I'll send the two—

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Send out the e-mail and then we'll talk about it on the plenary call.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible].

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Oh, it's a combination of sugar and [alcohol].

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh, he said, "Do we have calls?" Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: And then we do one plan for leadership call.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. Correct.

JENNIFER BRYCE: So we're obviously having the leadership this coming week—

RUSS HOUSLEY: Correct.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I think tomorrow.

JENNIFER BRYCE: But then [inaudible]

ANGIE GRAVES: I have a question [inaudible] Memorial Day.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Oh, we're going to lose that to Tuesday.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible] only on the Thursday call. Should I occasionally be invited to Monday calls?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

ANGIE GRAVES: Okay. All good.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do we want Angie to join coordination calls?

RUSS HOUSLEY: I think we'd be wasting our time.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Probably.

ANGIE GRAVES: Okay. Fair enough.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible]

ANGIE GRAVES: I think there would be an occasion—

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I can't do it on 1:30 on that Tuesday.

ANGIE GRAVES: Can or cannot?

RUSS HOUSLEY: I cannot.

RUSS HOUSLEY: Cannot do Tuesday.

RUSS HOUSLEY: I could do it earlier or later, but not then.

ANGIE GRAVES: [inaudible].

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yes. Earlier would be okay.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Brenda or Jennifer, I'm sorry to interrupt but I need to catch a train. How do I get out of this building?

JENNIFER BRYCE: Yeah, so maybe that hour earlier.

ANGIE GRAVES: Yeah.

JENNIFER BRYCE: We can send a note to—

RUSS HOUSLEY: An hour-and-half earlier would be better.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: Yeah, to see if Laurin, Eric, and Denise could do that. I will write the—

DANKO JEVTOVIK: [inaudible]

RUSS HOUSLEY: That's a holiday.

JENNIFER BRYCE: U.S. holiday.

DANKO JETVOIC: I'll be in Europe.

JENNIFER BRYCE: [inaudible] coordination call [inaudible]

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Okay. The industry I work in doesn't really do holidays.

JENNIFER BRYCE: We do.

RUSS HOUSLEY: 12:00 Eastern. Tell me what that is.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: I'll see you not sure where. But I'll see you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Thank you.

DANKO JEVTOVIC: Thank you so much.

RUSS HOUSLEY:

I think we should end the recording. I think we're done. We're just going to work on text on the assignments for the remaining half hour of our face-to-face and apparently share a drink with Norm. All right. Thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]