

**ATRT3**  
**Plenary Call #05**  
**20 March 2019 – 11:00 – 12:30 UTC**

**Review Team Members:**

Jaap Akkerhuis, Vanda Scartezini, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Pat Kane, Jacques Blanc, Demi Getschko, Erica Varlese, Maarten Botterman, Daniel Nanghaka, Ramet Khalili Nasr, Wolfgang Kleinwachter

**Apologies :**

Sebastien Bachollet, Osvaldo Novoa, KC Claffy, Geoff Huston

**Observers:**

Yang Hunyu, Jim Prendergast, Herb Wayne, Alice Jansen

**ICANN Org:**

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez, Brenda Brewer, Negar Farzinia

These high-level notes are designed to help review team members navigate through the content of the call and are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/wYc2Bg>.

The ATRT3 undertook the following during this session:

**1. Welcome, roll-call, Sol**

Cheryl Langdon-Orr noted the number of apologies and added that even though there is usually no call scheduled for the week following an ICANN meeting, there is a large amount of work to be done before the clock starts running, she also noted that the review team had agreed on scheduling weekly calls on a rotating basis.

There were no updates to Statements of Interest.

**2. Brief Update from ICANN64**

Pat Kane apologized for not being able to provide a telephone for remote participation purposes. He provided an update on ICANN64 with the review team, noting the topics discussed at the informal meeting:

- 1) Issue with attachments in ICANN org emails

The ICANN org IT team was liaising with Jaap Akkerhuis to identify the root cause of the problem and will inform the review team once solved.

- 2) Timing of review team meetings post-ICANN Meetings

Action item: ICANN org to send out a doodle poll to identify whether review team members would like to host review team meetings post-ICANN meetings.

### 3) Options for ICANN65-Marrakech

Co-chairs had a discussion with Tanzania and Josh from Meetings Team, it was mentioned that organizing a face-to-face meeting following Marrakech is not really an option. Co-chairs made two requests: 1) request and execution on requests to SO/ACs or face-to-face discussions with members of the review team in Marrakech, 2) that a face-to-face meeting is still held a day prior to ICANN65 (June 23<sup>rd</sup>). No updates were received at this stage from ICANN org on this request.

Action item: ICANN org to draft a note, for co-chairs/review team review, sent on behalf of the review team to the SO/AC Chairs to request time and schedule discussions at ICANN65.

### 4) Comment at Public Forum 1 from Jonathan Zuck, chair of CCT Review Team regarding the Board Resolution on CCT Recommendations.

Pat mentioned the conversation between Jonathan Zuck, chair of the Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust (CCT) Review Team and the ICANN Board at the Public Forum 1 on 11 March. He reported on this discussion noting that out of 37 recommendations, 6 were formally adapted while other were either rejected or passed over for discussion, and that there was some confusion as to what the ICANN Board was about to do with these recommendations. He also reported on this discussion that moving forward it would probably be impossible to implement all review team recommendations. To this regard, Pat talked with Russ Housley, chair of the SSR2 Review Team, who mentioned there were concerns on his review team as they are starting to finalize their recommendations.

Maarten clarified that the ICANN Board accepted several recommendations immediately, no recommendations were turned down, however the ICANN Board could not act upon them as these recommendations were policy recommendations, in other words to be acted upon by GNSO, ASO ccNSO. The ICANN Board will follow-up on this discussion in Marrakech. Maarten noted that ICANN org does not have any more increasing funds available, which has an impact on implementation, he added that ATRT3 should early on consider how to prioritize its recommendations.

Pat added that he talked with Jonathan Zuck on how ICANN org and the ICANN Board would then prioritize recommendations coming out of all the review teams if there was a financial issue. He asked the review team whether they should recommend as part of ATRT3 something to address review team recommendations across multiple years such as prioritizing the most beneficial recommendations for the community.

Maarten Botterman clarified that they would always get back to the review team and ask them to prioritize in light of better understanding the cost, in addition input from community is also taken into account.

Action item: ICANN org to add the full letter reference from Jonathan Zuck to ICANN Board, to mailing list/document archive.

### 5) Face-to-Face post-ICANN Meetings

Pat and Cheryl are expecting feedback from Josh and Tanzania (Meetings Team) on whether it would be possible to book a space following ICANN65 for a face-to-face meeting, meeting logistics being limited to facility wifi.

#### 6) ATRT2 Review Document

ICANN org mentioned that briefing materials are being prepared on the implementation of ATRT2 recommendations. One of the mandatory scope items for the review team is to evaluate the implementation of prior review team recommendations, in that case ATRT2. ICANN org is preparing written briefings on how recommendations were implemented and plans on delivering these a week after the face-to-face meeting.

On a final note, Maarten invited the review team to consider in its assessment the evolution of the multistakeholder model.

### 3. Face-to-Face Meeting #01

ICANN org confirmed the hotel and ICANN offices addresses for the meeting. Security will be informed of participants names and visitor badges will be provided.

Action item: ICANN org to liaise with travel services regarding Adetola's visa Issues, and regarding hotel confirmation.

Liu, Michel and Ramet mentioned that they will be attending the meeting remotely.

Action item: remote participants to let ICANN org/Co-chairs know in which time zone they will be at the time of the meeting.

ICANN org touched upon how observers will be able to follow the face-to-face meeting, the separate adobe room for observers will be set up, they will be able to listen but not talk. Supporting staff will read any questions/comments submitted in the Observers AC room.

### 4. Identified Topics of Review

Cheryl ran through the input received to date on the [google doc](#) aiming at identifying the topics priority for ATRT3, and potential sub groups organization needed for each identified topic.

Michael Karanicolas added he very strongly believes that the review team should look at ICANN's transparency policy, as there would be a serious lack of credibility if this review team does not look into accountability and transparency topics. Adetola Sogbesan agreed to that especially as Accountability and Transparency covers ICANN org operations/community responsibilities and noted there are important lessons to draw from CCWG or any other related review.

ICANN org clarified that the review team is required to review ATRT2 recommendations (i.e. the last review) but not ATRT1 recommendations.

Action item: Review team members to continue populating google doc on list of topics for review at Face-to-Face meeting #1.

**5. A.O.B.**

None.

Next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday 27 March 2019 | 21:00 – 22:30 UTC.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: **YES**