

## COMPLETE

Collector:Web Link 1 (Web Link)Started:Tuesday, March 26, 2019 5:04:39 AMLast Modified:Tuesday, March 26, 2019 5:09:21 AMTime Spent:00:04:41

## Page 1

**Q1** Proponent's Full Name If this proposal is jointly developed by more than one Working Group member, please write the full names of all proponents involved.

George Kirikos

<b>Q2</b> Does your recommendation address Sunrise, Trademark Claims, or both?	Sunrise only
Q3 What type of recommendation are you proposing?	Other (please specify): Both a policy and operational fix

**Q4** What recommendation are you proposing? Please be succinct as well as substantially specific and not general in nature. One proposal for one recommendation only.

If the sunrise procedure is retained (a separate proposal calls for its elimination), then the Uniregistry "Sunrise Registration Anti-Hijack Provisions" shall be made standard for all future TLDs, as per Section III of:

https://www.uniregistry.link/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Acceptable-Use-Policy-and-Terms-of-Service-2017.pdf

"1. Registered Names obtained in accordance with the Sunrise registration process shall be solely registered to the qualified applicant thereof who is the owner of the trade or service mark registration on the basis of which the Sunrise registration was allocated. Such Registered Names shall be restricted from transfer to any other registrant, absent submission to the Registry of evidence of assignment, license or other authorized acquisition of rights in the underlying trade or service mark giving rise to Sunrise qualification, and shall remain subject to the provisions of the Sunrise Challenge Policy.

2. Registered Names obtained in accordance with the Sunrise registration shall not be maintained using a privacy or proxy registration service."

## Q5 What is your rationale for the proposal? (250 words max)

This is a proposal that would reduce gaming of the sunrise process, as there would be a permanent linkage between the underlying trademark with any domain name(s) acquired in sunrises. The opportunities for resale of a sunrise-registered domain name would be reduced accordingly.

## Individual Proposal for Sunrise & Trademark Claims Recommendations

**Q6** What evidence do you have in support of your proposal? Please detail the source of your evidence. (250 words max) Such evidence may be information developed by the Sub Teams or documented in other sources.

Uniregistry clauses: https://www.uniregistry.link/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Acceptable-Use-Policy-and-Terms-of-Service-2017.pdf (Section III)

Sunrise gaming:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1PSjuohvTGkXbmK5eNGSEi\_R0qw6GvI3Hv3MtpK83tuc/edit https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rpm-wg/2019-February/003651.html https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rpm-sunrise/2019-January/000161.html

**Q7** In respect to which particular agreed Sunrise and/or Trademark Claims Charter Question(s) is your proposal relevant? (250 words max) A full list of agreed Sunrise Charter Questions can be downloaded here: https://goo.gl/knQa2p A full list of agreed Trademark Claims Charter Questions can be downloaded here: https://goo.gl/FeAJpa

Sunrise Preamble.

**Q8** Does the data reviewed by the Sub Teams show a need to address this issue and develop recommendations accordingly? (250 words max)

Yes.

**Q9** If not already addressed above, on the basis of what information, gathered from what source or Sub Team, is this proposal based, if any? Please provide details. (250 words max)

Already addressed above.