

**Instructions:**

This table was built to assist the Sunrise Data Review Sub Team in its analysis as to whether, and how, the previously collected Sunrise data (between December 2016 and March 2018) answer each of the final agreed Charter questions.

- In the **Sunrise Tab** of the [analysis tool](#), Staff have included excerpts, as well as the relevant page/slide reference, from the previously collected data that staff believe may assist in answering the final agreed Charter questions. Summaries of the excerpts are included in Column B.
- The excerpts cited by Staff are nonexclusive; Sub Team members are welcome to download and reference the actual documents, linked from the **Source Tab**, to cite relevant information that may help answer the final agreed Charter questions.
- When providing input, please note the source name and page/slide number of the previously collected data.

**Sunrise Charter Question 2:**

(Threshold question: Is Registry pricing within the scope of the RPM WG or ICANN's review?)

**2a. Does Registry Sunrise or Premium Name pricing practices unfairly limit the ability of trademark owners to participate during Sunrise?**

**2b. If so, how extensive is this problem?**

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
George Kirikos	Yes*	a,b	<p>[my usual disclaimer for Yes with an asterisk, given limited number of responses and other statistical issues]</p> <p>Pages 1-2 of the the Dec 2016 Registry Operator Responses to Initial Survey from TMCH Data Gathering Subteam have some stats showing [a] PIR registered 35 domains on average for .ngo and .ong, [b] Donuts registered 125 sunrise names on average per TLD, and [c] AFNIC registered 796 sunrise domains for .paris, and an additional 891 during LRP, showing that to some degree, brand holders are utilizing the sunrise periods. On page 4, Donuts stated that the "average cost of a sunrise registration is \$100".</p>	Dec 2016 - Registry Operator Responses to Initial Survey from TMCH Data Gathering Subteam, pages 1-2

**Sunrise Charter Question 2:**

(Threshold question: Is Registry pricing within the scope of the RPM WG or ICANN's review?)

**2a. Does Registry Sunrise or Premium Name pricing practices unfairly limit the ability of trademark owners to participate during Sunrise?**

**2b. If so, how extensive is this problem?**

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
----------------------	---	---	--	------------------------------------

Griffin Barnett	Yes		<p>RO Responses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- [a] PIR registered 35 domains on average for .ngo and .ong, [b] Donuts registered 125 sunrise names on average per TLD, and [c] AFNIC registered 796 sunrise domains for .paris, and an additional 891 during LRP, showing that to some degree, brand holders are utilizing the sunrise periods. On page 4, Donuts stated that the "average cost of a sunrise registration is \$100".</li> </ul>	Listed in Prior Column
David McAuley	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. And</li> <li>b.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. 'Possibility strings on premium list make registration difficult.</li> <li>b. access to premium lists to test hypothesis</li> </ul>	Analysis Group Independent Review page 35 at top See also #2 on Page 65 (Appendix I)
Michael Karanicolas	Yes	A	<p>"Lastly, we find that although trademark holders value access to the Sunrise period and many submit proof of use to become eligible for Sunrise registrations, few trademark holders make Sunrise registrations. This could be due in part to the expense of Sunrise registrations or because other protections of the TMCH services reduce the need for trademark holders to utilize Sunrise registrations."</p>	Analysis Group Revised TMCH Report p. 38.
Susan Payne	Limited - limitations in	2a	<p>"Trademark holders also expressed a concern that trademark strings may be on reserved or premium lists, making it difficult to register during the Sunrise period. We</p>	AG Report p35

**Sunrise Charter Question 2:**

(Threshold question: Is Registry pricing within the scope of the RPM WG or ICANN's review?)

**2a. Does Registry Sunrise or Premium Name pricing practices unfairly limit the ability of trademark owners to participate during Sunrise?**

**2b. If so, how extensive is this problem?**

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
----------------------	---	---	--	------------------------------------

	data		unfortunately did not have access to a sufficient number of reserved or premium lists to test this hypothesis, but this may be a useful avenue for future research"	
Greg Shatan	Somewhat		We also attempted to collect Sunrise period price data to compare Sunrise period prices to general availability pricing to investigate the extent to which Sunrise period fees may be prohibitive to domain registrants who would like to register during the Sunrise period. However, we did not receive responses from enough registries to perform the analysis."	35
Greg Shatan	Somewhat		<p><u>Inflated Sunrise prices</u>: Registrars, trademark owners, TMCH agents, and law firms mentioned that Sunrise prices are noticeably expensive.</p> <p>- <u>Trademark strings placed on reserved or premium lists</u>: Trademark owners and related parties expressed frustration that trademarked strings are often placed on reserved or premium lists, making it difficult to register them during the Sunrise period. They suggested that a limit be placed on how many trademarked strings could be placed on reserved and premium lists.</p>	65

**Sunrise Charter Question 2:**

(Threshold question: Is Registry pricing within the scope of the RPM WG or ICANN's review?)

**2a. Does Registry Sunrise or Premium Name pricing practices unfairly limit the ability of trademark owners to participate during Sunrise?**

**2b. If so, how extensive is this problem?**

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
----------------------	---	---	--	------------------------------------
