

Attendance - 12 Members

Anne Aikman-Scalese
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair)
Christa Taylor
Drew Wilson
Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair)
Jessica Hooper
Jim Prendergast
Justine Chew
Katrin Ohlmer
Kristine Dorrain
Phil Buckingham
Rubens Kuhl

Apologies: Vanda Scartezini, Juan Manuel Rojas

Staff: Julie Hedlund, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP - Sub Group B call on Tuesday, 05 February 2019 at 17:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/jZsWBg>

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):Hi all

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):Cassandra/ Julie... it might be my fuzzy head at this hour, but I hear a not buzz, but light sort of 'white noise' on the line, voice is clear over the top though but wanted to mention it just in case...

Kristine Dorrain:Hi, I hear the white noise too.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Do we have the link to the Google doc?

Kristine Dorrain:It's pretty quiet.

Steve Chan:@Anne, all, here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_133WbhWYB4M4kT6DqSfiCR2-2Dij7jxNkLj5EWZL-2DNA95M_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=wB4wti2m8_wGZfCN1k7aAomjdw0b6xO21nAXnk6ONw&s=lgdC5MxsXXMpbww3YntyRSxxfyS7OZ8LSYZP8kPdfIU&e=

Steve Chan:The link is usually available in the agenda pod, at the top right, as well!

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thanks Steve - I copied and pasted from the agenda but that link is not live so the one in chat is easier for those joining later.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):more a very light hiss than a buzz... 'bats in the belfrey' no doubt

Kristine Dorrain:Welll Cheryl, they're apparently in my belfry too.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:ARE we actually on Closed Generics today?

Steve Chan:@Anne, yes.

Steve Chan:and time-permitting, String Similarity

Steve Chan:For your convenience, here is the link again:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_133WbhWYB4M4kT6DqSfiCR2-2Dij7jxNkLj5EWZL-

[2DNA95M_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=wB4wti2m8_wGZfCN1k7aAomjdw0b6xO21nAXnk6ONw&s=lgdC5MxsXXMpbww3YntyRSxxfyS7OZ8LSYZP8kPdfIU&e=](#)

Jim Prendergast:fun comes at plenary level when we actually debate the topic. This is the paperwork ahead of that

Justine Chew:@Rubens, Christopher is a member of At-Large, not ALAC :)

Justine Chew:And I believe his comments were submitted in his capacity as an individual

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):next inGDoc line 11/12-18

Jim Prendergast:so is there an action item as it relates to SSAC on that last ALAC comment?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):next inGDoc line 19/20-26

Steve Chan:@Justine, did we get the ALAC comment right? The last line of the comment is what indicates Divergence, but the rest of the comments seems to allow the possibility of Closed Generics, "Thus, to completely eliminate this competitive and security threat, ICANN must prohibit their use."

Jessica Hooper:Line 18 - Please add in the action item to reach out to the SSAC.

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair):@Jim - I am not sure a comment from one group creates an action item for another group.

Steve Chan:If I am not mistaken, dotless domains are not allowed anyway?

Jim Prendergast:im trying to decipher what Rubens said -it sounded like thats what he was calling for
Justine Chew:@Steve, I believe the last sentence of "Thus, to completely eliminate this competitive and security threat, ICANN must prohibit their use" is paramount. So correctly marked as Divergence, IMO.

Katrin Ohlmer:@Steve: You are right

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Something called PUBlic Interest Community is the submitter of the comment

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Does anyone know who "Public Interest Community" is?

Jim Prendergast:line 18

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Jeff - IPC also refers to identification of risk by the SSAC -

Jim Prendergast:ok that clarifies it for me. thanks

Jim Prendergast:that seems reasonable

Anne Aikman-Scalese:agree with Jeff and Jim

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):next in GDoc line 27/28-33

Rubens Kuhl:Not RySG, ALAC and IPC.

Julie Hedlund:@Kristine: It was the ALAC request on line 18 (and subsequently IPC).

Kristine Dorrain:Apologies for being on the wrong line.

Steve Chan:Before we reach out to the SSAC, we might want to review existing SSAC Advice, see what they've already written about Closed Generics...which from the comments we've reviewed here, it seems to focus on dotless domains?

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair):@Steve - the ALAC is somehow tying this to a slippery slope leading to dotless domains. I dont see the connection personally, but we can ask the SSAC whether they have any concerns about Closed Generics

Kristine Dorrain:@Jeff, agree, but if we do ask the SSAC, we will want to be clear that we're not inviting a new three-year study....

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair):@Kristine - Absolutely

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):next in GDoc line 34/35-41

Steve Chan:I just want to make sure we cannot answer the question ourselves with existing reports / Advice...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):if they have made a statement they will refer us back to that so YES checking is important first @Steve

Katrin Ohlmer:@Steve: Why don't we take this to the plenary?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: The "elephant in the room" is how one measures "the Public Interest" in relation to a proposed Closed Generic. GAC Advice is that they should only be permitted if they operate "in the Public Interest" and that is the basis for the Board comment and a number of other comments. The trouble is that no one knows what the Public Interest might be in operating a Closed Generic. It would be good to dream up an example or two of a Closed Generic that serves the public interest. Would it be books operated as a free worldwide lending library?

Kristine Dorrain: @Anne, that might be a good follow up question before we get to the plenary discussion....

Jim Prendergast: And something to ask the GAC - I realize that may take some time but they will ultimately be the ones who determine if what we do satiates their GAC advice to the Board on this issue.

Kristine Dorrain: Yes....whether or not the recommendation ends up requiring "public interest," we can't even debate it properly until we know what it is...

Julie Hedlund: @Jeff: Could it be "concerns"?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair): next in GDoc line 42/43-49

Anne Aikman-Scalese: I always end up thinking that the Global Public Interest has to be defined by the ICANN Board. I don't think the GNSO is obligated to recommend policy in the Global Public Interest. GNSO makes policy in the interest of its constituents and stakeholder groups. ALAC gives advice to the Board directly. The GAC gives advice to the Board directly. I don't know how anyone but the ICANN Board could be the ultimate arbiter of the "Global Public Interest". And they will need to figure this out because other governmental and telecommunications entities are looking to the ICANN Board to act in the Global Public Interest when weighing and balancing all the policy advice it gets from the GNSO, the ALAC, the GAC, and others.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair): next in GDoc line 50/51-55

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair): next in GDoc line 56/57-60

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair): next in GDoc line 61/62-66 and end of this Closed Generic Tab...

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Just a note that a Limited Public Interest Objection process exists and that it might be relevant to examine this if a new "Public Interest" Objection to Closed Generics is in play for a recommendation from the WG: "Limited Public Interest Objections" - String contradicts generally accepted legal norms of morality and public order recognized under principles of international law" Standing needs to be carefully considered when developing public interest objections. Please also note that the ICC was considered very expensive as a Dispute Resolution Service Provider (DRSP) for the "Limited Public Interest Objection."

Kristine Dorrain: Very efficient, Rubens... :

Jim Prendergast: its a natural break

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair): stop now (isch)

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair): this is a good place to stop

Anne Aikman-Scalese: AOB - QUESTION - Do we have dates for last two topics? QUESTION

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Right - but no dates assigned to the last two topics.

Katrin Ohlmer: Meeting 14 and 15

Christa Taylor: I think it might depend on how quickly we get through the previous topics i.e. some flexibility

Rubens Kuhl: 12 February 2019 String Similarity 19 February 2019 IDNs 26 February 2019 Security and Stability Meeting 14 - date TBD Applicant Reviews: Technical/Operational, Financial and Registry Services Meeting 15 - date TBD Name Collisions

Anne Aikman-Scalese: What those dates?

Julie Bisland: Note: The next Sub Group B call: Tuesday, 12 February 2019 at 20:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Steve Chan:@Anne, they've not yet been scheduled yet. We generally do scheduling one month at a time.

Jim Prendergast:that would be a real challenge with travel for many

Julie Hedlund:@All: Actually, generally WG meetings are not scheduled the week prior to a ICANN meeting given travel schedules -- particularly in this case.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):Thanks everyone good progress today :-). I'm afraid I need to leave to join my next call now... Bye

Katrin Ohlmer:Would it be possible to have those meetings during ICANN Kobe?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):I doubt it times are tight in F2F schedules

Anne Aikman-Scaese:THanks Cheyl. If any of the topics are supposed to be covered in Kobe, we need to be very clear about that.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (@CLO3 PDP Co-Chair):Bye

Christa Taylor:Thanks everyone for joining!

Katrin Ohlmer:Thanks Rubens