Terri Agnew: (1/29/2019 11:12) Welcome to the EPDP - Data Elements Workbook on Tuesday, 29 January 2019 at 17:30 UTC for 2 hours.

Terri Agnew: (11:13) agenda wiki page: https://community.icann.org/x/apkWBg

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:26) Hi

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:28) Hi all

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:29) but i made it for once! :)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:29) You sure did Alan :)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:29) I swear i had typed that before Terri said it

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:30) we owe each other at least one beer :)

Berry Cobb: (11:30) 1 more min.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:31) I heard from Stephanie that she is planning to attend

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:31) asking Alex

Berry Cobb: (11:31) Marc with all the connections!

Caitlin Tubergen: (11:32) You are very faint, Berry.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:32) same, I can't hear berry

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:32) very faint indeed alas

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:32) Alex will be on shortly

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:33) still very faint

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:33) barely hear you Berry

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:33) I have volume turned all the way up, which is a problem when others talk

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:34) Better, Berry

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:36) i'm on - sorry for being late.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:36) welcome

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:37) had to drop kid off at school - more traffic than usual.

Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison): (11:42) Berry, can you unsync so we can zoom in?

Berry Cobb: (11:42) done

Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison): (11:42) Thank you!

Kurt: (11:43) Hi - Sorry for being later than Alex

Berry Cobb: (11:43) I see what you've done in the proposed workbook is to document that there are role changes as we step through the processing activity from collection to transfer.

Berry Cobb: (11:45) +1, must be independent as though it is the only purpose being considered.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:46) the processing of the domain name and name server data is also necissary to deliver the service.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:48) same with IP address in this scenario - it may be personal data, but we (registries/registrars) need it in order to activate the domain name.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:49) and it needs to be published in the DNS

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:50) Would it be applicable when purpose 7 is in play?

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:51) we tried to avoid mixing purpose 7 (additional elligibility requirements) with this purpose.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:51) ok

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:53) Terri - could you perhaps send me the PDFs of the RySG purposes 1a and 1b? when I open them in the word format I only see two columns in the data elements matrix. thank you

Berry Cobb: (11:55) @Sarah - sent

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:56) thanks Berry

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:56) clear as mud

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:56) clear to me Alan (for what it's worth)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:56) I dont think Registrar needs to retain data beyond the liftetime of the domain, to fulfil this purpose

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:57) :thumbsup:

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:58) yes, needed (if provided)

Kurt: (11:58) The life of the domain = until deletion (correct?) ; as opposed to expiration, etc

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (11:58) Kurt that is how I understood it

Marc Anderson (RySG): (11:58) as in optional to provide (by the registrant) required to support by the registry

Kurt: (11:59) And registrars might retain data for business purposes but that is not an ICANN purpose so needn't be here , correct?

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:02) thanks Marc. OK - so le'ts make sure to go through the chart

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:04) I can respond

Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison): (12:05) @All, ICANN org has not had an opportunity to review this. We previously flagged some issues/concerns with the designation of joint controller and that the responsibilities require further discussion. Dan is listening, but not in a position to speak so we'll review and revert with any comments/questions.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:06) OK - I got it.   thanks.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:06) Thanks Marc for this explanation

Alan Woods (RYSG): (12:07) yes thank you Marc

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:08) so the IP is only optional for out of zone nameserver IP's, but required for in zone nameserver IPs.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:09) as it pertains to A-PA4

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:10) well, it's always optional to provide... you can allocate without activating.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:10) the purpose of that text is really to note that in some cases, the registry must process IP address (for performance of the contract)

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:10) ok

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:13) Can we possibly understand the lawfulness of processing without defining the responsible parties?

Stephanie Perrin: (12:17) I don't think we can

Stephanie Perrin: (12:17) Apologies for being late; life intervened

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:17) welcome!

Terri Agnew: (12:18) welcome Stephanie

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:21) Maybe if we jump into the charts the other things will seem mor eclear

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:23) And that's why we need to go through the charts, as a team

Stephanie Perrin: (12:24) I am going to say this again (reading the chat). WE must remember that all data associated with an individual's file is personal data, even the nameservers. DOes not mean you cannot publish or collect etc etc but you have to acknowledge the potential for reidentification.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:28) I see 1b up

Berry Cobb: (12:29) In the end, isn't this what Purpose 2 is about? It is considered in my Purpose 2 mockup.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:30) Berry I dont think they're the same (1b vs 2)

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:30) I would say purpose 2 is about access to non public data

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:31) 1b is the registrant's own use of the domain, and 2 is access to non-public data to keep the DNS secure/etc

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:35) so is there some redline missing?

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:37) ah - ignore my last comment.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:38) +1 Alan Agree with that.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:39) Agree with what Marc is saying now

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:42) that's not how I read it?

Alan Woods (RYSG): (12:42) exactly - whci hshould be the same as transfer ... this is part and parcel of my issue!

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:42) but actually Alex I can see why you would say so yeah

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:44) Makes sense

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:47) yup... nailed it Berry... it's why this is such a fun excersize in the ICANN eocsystem

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:49) question made sesne, I'm not sure on the answer though

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:49) I think that's ok

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:49) Sounds reasonable to me

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:50) it would help me to know what "note" we are talking about. (e.g. the note we want to apply at a macro level or not)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:50) Alex - I think it would be a more general version of "(note that registries collection of the data occurs onlywhen the data is disclosed to them by the registrar as per APA23)"

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:51) from purpose A-PA1 lawful basis column

Berry Cobb: (12:51) "(note that registries collection of the data occurs only when the data is disclosed to them by the registrar as per A-PA2)"

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:51) got it.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:59) I think that's right Berry

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:59) I would kind of love to see a GIANT chart of ALL the purposes

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (12:59) not sure if that's possible but

Marc Anderson (RySG): (13:01) _

Marc Anderson (RySG): (13:01) +1 Alan

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:01) yes, what Alan's saying

Berry Cobb: (13:01) @Sarah, that's my consolidated data elements workbook that I showed when we first started.

Berry Cobb: (13:02) it was by Collection, by Transfer, by Disclosure.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (13:02) it gives contracted parties a good story to tell as to why/how what we are doing is GDPR compliant

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:02) yes! :) I'm sorry !

Berry Cobb: (13:03) Yes there is required collection by one or both.

Berry Cobb: (13:03) this this example is a bad example.

Berry Cobb: (13:04) This #2 was my representation based on past discussions......it does not reflect what we're agreeing on.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:04) ok

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:04) i know that did not help

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:04) it did.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:05) in either case even if this is a purpose or not what would be disclosed is based on lots of variables (legal basis, legit basis, a potential balancing test, etc)

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:05) I'm sorry Berry. I am much happier where teh workbooks re going !

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:08) As I am happier, having found the giant matrix of all data

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:11) so IU = Internet Users and LIU = Legitimate Interset Users?

Berry Cobb: (13:12) Yes Alex.

Berry Cobb: (13:13) The public directory seemed to fit here cause its really related back to SSR.

Berry Cobb: (13:13) A quick directory by which peeps can access information so that they may contact their Registrar.

Stephanie Perrin: (13:14) Under GDPR, they should be told who their registrar is on a regular basis. You dont have to look up a registry....

Berry Cobb: (13:15) So if I understand, a future thin whois query no longer exists? Even on RDAP?

Stephanie Perrin: (13:15) so that has to change in order to be compliant

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:16) I do like this new format. Is anyone intersted in having these all in a google doc/sheet so that we can all submit edits and comments?

Stephanie Perrin: (13:16) You are fading Berry.....strangle that mic

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:17) In my mind the use case is an rdap/whois query by a user who is not accredited. (i.e. they have no identity and authorization).   Could be just domain name, state, country. (and perhaps some others)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:17) yes- what Alex just said

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:18) the data that was decided in plenary to not redact is what will show up in a whois lookup

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:18) so what I'm not following is why under 2-PA4 the registrant name, street, etc, are required instead of optional

Berry Cobb: (13:19) because the field will still diplay, it just displays as "Readacted blah blah blah legalese" in the field response.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:20) Ah ok

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:20) thanks Berry

Berry Cobb: (13:20) I can easily subscribe that a public data directory can fit under 3.

Berry Cobb: (13:20) It made sense here in 2, mostly cause it was SSR.

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:21) I think 3 is a much cleaner sample.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:24) in a perfect world with unicorns and rainbows this would be consistent across all RDDS servers.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:26) well said, Alan

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:28) sorry berry! This is such a brain melt ....and i have been kicked from the audio

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:29) im sorry ... but the end i got .. and i think yes

Stephanie Perrin: (13:30) Very true, Berry....varying perceptions are going to be a huge problem. Better do it sooner rather than later

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:31) please don't get me wrong. the work you are doing here Berry is VITAL. it will add to clarity! And i think we keep trying here!

Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:31) Yes I prefer that view to it, easier to proess

Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:31) yes
Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:31) agree
Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:32) Sorry - the quesiton was if we think the data maps are useful?
Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:32) I do find them very useful
Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:33) Yes - they are helpful/useful.
Kurt: (13:33) I think those reviewing our work later on will find them very useful
Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:33) we just need to ensure they are accurate based on the changes we are going to make.
Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:33) Right, +1 Alex
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:35) personally i would focus on the other elements and if we get to the m.... ahhh exactly what you are saying wight now lol
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:35) *right
Stephanie Perrin: (13:37) I have given up finding a good model for you Berry (sent out feelers among contractor pals) so you are going to get my hand drawn sharpie version with what I consider the missing bits pencilled in.
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:38) I think we tell them that we are making progress in making the workbooks more functional and clear.
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:38) then punt to the following meeting.
Berry Cobb: (13:38) Sounds like Marc will want to give this update on Thursday?
Berry Cobb: (13:38) And Alan, you get to be the wizard for Tuesday update?
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:39) So i'm that person ...... that Thursdays 17:30 to 19:30 are just impossible for me. I can attend for the 1st hour ... and I fully appreciate i'm the problem child here. but i will do all the homework!
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:39) hahahahaha nice ... I can
Sarah Wyld (RrSG Alt): (13:39) Thanks, all
Marc Anderson (RySG): (13:40) thanks all
Alan Woods (RYSG): (13:40) Thank you Berry !!!
Stephanie Perrin: (13:40) thanks all!