

Leadership proposals: comments received by Daniel, Eliot, Vanda.

- Agreement to move forward with leadership proposals
- Take in new ideas, only when they are improvements of already existing findings
- Elaborate the separation of the funding appeal process from the general ICANN appeal process
- CCWG to consider asking input from the ICANN Board on concrete examples of projects that could be supported with auction proceeds: reserve this for the implementation phase. (and start with a dummy fund allocation)
- Level of consistency with ICANN's mission to be determined
- Group to deal with issue of community approval for projects
- Make sure final recommendations respects requirements outlined ICANN Board's letter of 5 October 2018 as well as additional clarifications provided during ICANN63
- specific application appeals process be built into the eventual application review mechanism
- disagreement with the leadership recommendation that future work should be undertaken on cost-benefit analysis of the different options
- recommendation to set up a community oversight mechanism: if there is a review panel, it should have representation from all SO/ACs
- no need to further clarify cost efficiency
- COI: same as ICANN
- Further risk evaluation: reserve this to the implementation phase