

Adobe Connect: 6 members

Alan Woods
Alex Deacon
Kurt Pritz
Marc Anderson
Sarah Wyld
Stephanie Perrin

Apologies: none

Staff:

Caitlin Tubergen
Trang Nguyen
Dan Halloran (on audio only)
Berry Cobb
Terri Agnew

Terri Agnew: (1/22/2019 11:02) Welcome to the EPDP - Data Elements Workbook meeting on Tuesday, 22 January 2019 at 17:30 UTC for 2 hours

Terri Agnew: (11:03) Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/AZgWBg>

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:35) apologies for sneezing with the mic open. Thankfully, you cant catch it over adobe

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:43) i have the workbooks for 1(a) and 1(b) on my screen and i'm trying to fox them up!! I promise!! :)

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:44) or fix!

Berry Cobb: (11:49) loud and clear

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:49) +1 Alan - they allow us to "show our work" when necessary.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (11:50) +1 to both Marc and Alan.

Berry Cobb: (11:50) Right. Trying to get to as best as possible documentation.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:51) These are terms that we need to define legally, as per the GDPR, because people continue to use them in the general (or worse, the ICANN) sense.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (11:52) Good point Alan, and agree with Stephanie that we need to define all these terms somewhere

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:52) Also important to understand in those definitions, as Ruth pointed out the other day in Toronto....transfer means different things according to the controller/joint controller/processor relationship.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:54) It is a transfer for processing under a co-controller/processor arrangement for instance

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:54) Ditto, I have not had time over the weekend

Alex Deacon - IPC: (11:56) agree this is a foundational issue

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:56) I will keep quiet now, I realize this is disruptive

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:57) So I of course agree with everything Stephanie said ... it is a difficult one, and again I always come back to the fact that we are trying to do years of work in a few months!

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (11:57) +1 to Stephanie!

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:57) (understanding that this statement doesnt help a jot)

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:57) correct. that would be manageable if we started at the right end, as it were

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:58) Berry, I have looked at your diagrams, and they are great, I am trying to find through independent sources examples of that first data flow chart that is missing.....the outline of the actors as controllers, co-controllers, processors, etc

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (11:59) Everything in DP starts with control

Alan Woods (RYSG): (11:59) Ok friends ... I must drop! BUT i am fully on board and we shall try our hardest. PS also Berry and team we do recognise the enormity of the work you did here, and our difficulty is notwithstanding the epic work done thus far ! So thank you, but hopefully we can figure this out ASAP!

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:00) good with that, thank you Kurt

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:01) I thnk we simply need to make some educated assumptions, draw a line in the sand and progress forward with the work at hand with the understanding that we may need to revisit. (fix, amend, etc.)

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:06) I don't see the harm in keeping ICANN purpose in - for the avoidance of doubt.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:07) I would agree with removing "ICANN" from the "Purpose" title, it has caused confusion for several people that I'm aware of

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:08) Because it's not necessarily (or even usually) ICANN doing the processing in the purpose, it's more likely the Registrar or Registry doing it according to that purpose

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:13) we need clarity on the parts of ICANN. Community is different from org. We see this in the accountability for policy making (which is a controller function) and audit (totally org, no control from community)

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:14) In other words, we actually need to dissect how ICANN functions as an MS model, to figure out GDD's responsibility chain.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:14) IMHO anyway

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:17) Confirming that RtA=Registrant Agreement (with the Registry)?

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:17) thanks

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:17) With the registrar

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:17) yes registry (mistyped that)

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:17) registrar - did it again!

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:17) LOL

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:17) sigh...

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:20) Yes, thnks for that Berry, it made it easier to work through those points

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:21) Let's dive in!

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:21) I think I am going to put in an ABR For printer paper for unfunded NCSG members.....I have to print all this stuff.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:21) (that was an attempt at humour)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:22) :D :D

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:22) paper is cheap - ink is not.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:22) is there a buzz in adobe or is my laptop giving up the ghost?

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:22) There's a buzz on Berry's mic

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:22) phew

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:22) Just keep going Berry

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:22) yes

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:22) it's been consistent the whole call, no worries

Terri Agnew: (12:22) I can hear you well Berry

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:22) yes , it got a mite shrill there for a moment

Kurt Pritz: (12:23) I everyone else could mute....

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:25) but the column header indicates (Collected & Generated). Doesn't that cover it?

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:26) I thought it meant no-star is collected and with-star is generated

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:26) Data that becomes part of the file, generated or not, is still personal info

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:26) either way, yes, remove the start... it's not generated, it's collected

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:26) er start=star

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:27) yes and I apologize for not getting through all the workbooks info prior to the call...

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:29) Ducking out to get wood for the stove (still -30 here in sunny Ontario)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:34) Workbook refers to disclosure of elements like the domain and nameservers, in PA3 for Purpose 1

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:34) I think we should be careful to not use the phrase "public form of RDS query" if it also covers some kind of disclosure process.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:35) Every collector of data has to have retention schedules. Data REtention (in caps) as a policy, would have to be carefully figured out. Only one party needs to retain data for any potential retention purposes in my view, and LEA is the only potential use and thus is limited by other law in most jurisdictions. If you have banking legislation that requires you to keep data under that law, you spill that out in your transparency documents, it is not a purpose. Same thing for contractual requirements with a payment provider eg. Mastercard

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:36) agree with Alex....a disclosure that is a publication is still a disclosure.

Terri Agnew: (12:38) @Sarah, your volume is a bit low, are you able to adjust your mic?

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:39) I can type it in here if that helps

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:39) sorry

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:39) Agree with Marc - I would also see it as a disclosure of data from registrar to registry. I do like having a full set of processing purposes and then checking each ICANN purpose against each processing purpose on that full set, helps ensure we're not missing something. Not sure about registry ID showing up in registrar whois but we should find out.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:42) Bearing in mind that data minimization applies in all transfers

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:42) It is a need to know, although I think it is important to note that ICANN 's role in ensuring fair competition in the DNS industry may impact the sharing of personal data.

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:49) Marc has summed up what I was going to say

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:50) Agree with Marc's statement regarding minimizing transfers (e.g. going to the authoritative source of data as needed).

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:50) Data should not be transferred to the Registry simply so the registry can use that data when responding to lawful data disclosure requests. They can respond with the data they hold for other existing purposes as appropriate, as can the Registrar

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:51) FWIW this starts to get into the thick whois policy and implementation issues....(out of scope of this EPDP)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:51) Agreed, Alex

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:52) True but still relevant in my view.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:52) Thick policy was done without proper legal review.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (12:52)it also gets into the technical implementation discussion - also out of scope. (but still perhaps relevant...)

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:53) I am curious as to how the tech group is coming up with an access model in the absence of our policy and a legal review. OF course, I am not following it....

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:54) Steph, I've been pleasantly surprised with what I've seen on their mailing list - it's more about the technical "how" to use RDAP to do what the Policy will require, instead of what it should disclose

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:54) Does anybody have the link to how to sign up to monitor that email list?

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:55) <https://mm.icann.org/mailman/listinfo/tsg-access-rd>

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:55) That is reassuring sarah...I am sure you gave me the link the other day, it got buried....

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:55) :) No worries, here it is ^

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (12:55) Thanks!

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:55) Berry/Caitlin/Terri - would it be possible to get this PDF of the Jan 16 Annex D? I'm only seeing a .docx version of it which is hard for me to review

Marc Anderson (RySG): (12:56) +1 Alex, well put

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:56) Yes, thanks Alex

Terri Agnew: (12:56) it is posted on the agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/AZgWBg>

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:56) Sweet, thanks!

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:57) Terri, I see the PDF of the Matrix but not the Workbooks

Terri Agnew: (12:58) getting it adjusted to PDF, one moment

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:58) Thanks

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (12:59) They won't buy MS Office for me, and OpenOffice does not play well with docs that have lots of comments

Terri Agnew: (12:59) no worries, getting it adjusted

Berry Cobb: (13:00) To do such with a bowl, you throw it up in the air and then see what happens, right? ;-)

Kurt Pritz: (13:00) I finally get to say Marc: +1

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (13:01) A few years from now, we'll want to come back to why we made these decisions, so it'll be useful to have it documented there

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (13:01) :)

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (13:02) Can we clarify our homework before we end the call?

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:03) For the record I would not call myself an expert in this area....but know people who are.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:06) sounds like a good approach.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (13:06) agreed, sounds like a good approach

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (13:07) Yep, works for me

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:07) works for me

Marc Anderson (RySG): (13:07) yes for me

Alex Deacon - IPC: (13:07) both thurs and next tues

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (13:07) Sure

Kurt Pritz: (13:07) Don't forget Alan W

Sarah Wyld (RrSG): (13:08) Thanks, All

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (13:09) Thanks all, thanks Berry for all the work!