From: Bernard Turcotte Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2018 6:55 AM To: Gregory, Holly CC: McAuley, David; Samantha Eisner; Elizabeth Le; Grapsas, Rebecca Subject: [Ext] Re: IRP IOT matters for discussion on seeking assistance
Holly,
I will send out a calendar invite for the call now.
Thanks
B.
On Tue, Jun 19, 2018 at 9:54 AM Gregory, Holly Redacted wrote: That works well David with the caveat that I will likely not have yet had a chance to review these materials in any significant degree. I'd like Rebecca Grapsas to join the call. Will ICANN send out a calendar invitation or should we? HOLLY J. GREGORY Partner and Co-Chair, Global Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation Practice SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP Redacted Redacted Redacted
From: McAuley, David Redacted Date: Tuesday, Jun 19, 2018, 9:45 AM To: Gregory, Holly Redacted Cc: Samantha. Eisner@ICANN.org Samantha. Eisner@ICANN.org>, elizabeth.le@icann.org elizabeth.le@icann.org>, Redacted Redacted Subject: RE: IRP IOT matters for discussion on seeking assistance Holly can we speak by phone today at 3pm
David
From: Gregory, Holly Redacted Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2018 9:44 AM To: McAuley, David Redacted Cc: Samantha.Eisner@ICANN.org; elizabeth.le@icann.org; Bernard Turcotte Redacted Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: IRP IOT matters for discussion on seeking assistance
Hi David, Good the hear from you. Happy to look into this and to work with you and the ICANN legal team. Can you tell us what the timing is on when you need our input? Holly
HOLLY J. GREGORY Partner and Co-Chair, Global Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation Practice SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

Redacted

From: McAuley, David	Redacted
Date: Tuesday, Jun 19, 2018	3, 9:38 AM
To: Gregory, Holly ₹	Redacted
Cc: Samantha.Eisner@ICA1 Redacted	NN.org < <u>Samantha.Eisner@ICANN.org</u> >, <u>elizabeth.le@icann.org</u> < <u>elizabeth.le@icann.org</u> >, <u>Bernard Turcotte</u>
Subject: IRP IOT matters fo	or discussion on seeking assistance
Dear Holly,	

As mentioned in my email of 14 June 2018, the IRP IOT have agreed on some changes to the updated IRP Supplementary Procedures that was original published for public comment last year. That said, as explained further below, there are still some areas that need further development and are not yet ready to be finalized for Board approval.

There are two specific areas that the IOT has agreed to seek Sidley's help in resolving (in coordination with ICANN's legal team) and working to identify language that might be appropriate for the final set of Procedures. Those areas are: (1) Rule 7 Consolidation, Intervention, and Joinder, and (2) Rule 5 Conduct of the Independent Review.

With respect to Rule 7, there are still areas that need development with respect to the procedures for a right to joinder and intervention, as well as other practical considerations related to time limits for intervention, and filing fees for which we are hoping you can help us develop proposed procedures. The attached document at pages 8-9 (described below) has some annotations in it as it relates to joinder, specifically regarding the note on the need for intervention as of right to require some sort of tethering to the dispute. The Supplementary Procedures need to uphold the purposes of the IRP, so it cannot be that anyone can join in as a claimant, but only that that those with related issues may join. We also need to consider things like filing fees and other practical issues. After public comment, the IOT had recommended text using the word ³party², but it is not clear that ³party² is the appropriate term here, which is the reason for the proposed usage of ³CLAIMANT² instead. Attached are some emails and transcripts where some of these issues were raised. (*See* email from S. Eisner, dated 26 April 2017; email from E. Le, dated 9 July 2017; transcript of IOT call, dated 12 June 2017.)

With respect to Rule 5 Conduct of the Independent Review, the IOT recognized that procedures need to be provided regarding translations for the proceeding. However, there appear to be many considerations regarding translations that need to be addressed in drafting language. The IOT discussed some of the items raised in Sam¹s email of 31 May 2018 (attached as PDF), and agreed to have Sidley try to take the first pass at language.

While this work is happening, the IOT is also sending out for public comment some proposed language on the time for filing. Given the length of the public comment process and the need to have some procedures in place quickly that align with the new Bylaws, the IOT is considering the release of an interim set of supplemental rules, to be finalized after the comments on the time to file issue are taken into account and integrated. If the Rule 5 and Rule 7 language discussed above can be finalized quickly, the IOT will recommend that this new language also be included in the interim set of Supplementary Procedures. For your information, attached is a draft of the proposed set of Interim Supplementary Procedures, in redline from the version that was posted for public comment in 2016. Please use this version as the starting

point for proposing language for Rules 5 and 7. You will note that there are annotations in there that might also assist in your consideration of issues and language.
We look forward to speaking with you further about these issues.
Best regards,
David
David McAuley
Sr International Policy & Business Development Manager
Verisign Inc.
Redacted

