



At-Large Consolidated Policy Working Group (CPWG)

Consultation on:

Initial Report on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP (Overarching Issues & Work Tracks 1-4)

Neustar's Proposal for New gTLD 3-Phase Application Window

v01, 5 January 2019

Justine Chew, APRALO Member & ALAC liaison for Subsequent Procedures



Background & what is At-Large being asked?



Public comment on Initial Report on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy						icy
Development Process	Overarching Issu	es & Work	Tracks 1-4	ran 3 Jul <mark>–</mark>	26 Sep	2018

72 community submissions were posted to Comments Forum

Relevant Links:

- ➤ PC page: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/gtld-subsequent-procedures-initial-2018-07-03-en
- ➤ ICANN Staff Report of PC: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-gtld-subsequent-procedures-initial-07nov18-en.pdf
- □ Subsequent Procedures PDP WG then established 3 Sub-Groups A, B and C (succeeding WT 1-4) to review the community submissions
- ☐ During Sub-Group A's call on 3 Jan 2019, in reviewing submissions on the topic of 2.2.3 Applications Assessed in Rounds, SubPro PDP WG Co-Chair Jeff Neuman, requested SO/AC liaisons to obtain from their respective SGs, feedback on Neustar's proposal to conduct the next New gTLD Program application window in 3 phases followed by an open round.



Neustar's Proposal



Application model in 3 phases followed by an open round

- SubPro PDP WG PR 2.2.4.c.1 recommended recognition of TLD categories to continue (1) standard TLDs, (2) community-based TLDs, (3) TLDs for which govt entity serves as RO, (4) geographic TLDs, and (5) Spec 13 .Brand TLDs
- <u>Basis</u>: Recognize challenges associated with finding a viable solution to application windows because of unknown variables unknown level of demand for new gTLDs, how much pent up demand there is for new gTLDs, and the skepticism some hold in relation to this question.
- <u>Belief</u>: Issues of pent-up demand can be addressed by conducting the initial application window in three phases, followed by an open round.
- <u>Belief</u>: Key benefits of this approach are that it will:
 - 1. Enable ICANN Board to deliver on commitments to introduce next round of new gTLDs as expeditiously as possible;
 - 2. Allow ICANN Community to finalize prerequisite policy & other work efforts in accordance with phased application process;
 - 3. Provide ICANN Org with a process allowing them to develop requisite systems and processes over time rather than needing everything in place on a single date;
 - 4. Reduce resourcing impact on ICANN staff and third party vendors by not undertaking the phases concurrently;
 - 5. Provide predictability and certainty for potential applicants;
 - 6. Reduce risk of excessive demand by spreading applications over three distinct phases.

Neustar's Proposal



Three Phase new gTLD Application Model Proposal

Phase 1Brand TLDs	Phase 2 – Geographic TLDs	Phase 3 – Generic and Community TLDs	Followed by Open Round **		
Application Period: 1 Oct 2019 to 12 Jan 2020 *	Application Period: 1 Apr 2020 to 31 Jul 2020 *	Application Period: 1 Oct 2020 to 12 Jan 2021 *	Application Period: 1 Oct 2021 for 3 months *		
Neustar believes this	Neustar believes this 3-phased approach address question of 'pent up demand'				
Rationale Brand TLDs are clearly defined by objective criteria and will be required to accept the conditions of Specification 13 of the Registry Agreement. There is known demand for new Brand TLDs. Assist with building program awareness for subsequent application phases. Brand TLDs accounted for the lowest level of contention sets within the previous application round. With the exception of a few notable exceptions, Brands were not subject to objections or other review processes. Historically low rates of abuse and concern for ICANN.	Rationale ☐ The significance of providing cities around the world with the opportunity to build an online presence for their respective communities. ☐ Clearly defined eligibility criteria as outlined in the Applicant Guidebook. ☐ Non-existent contention sets during the 2012 application process. ☐ With a few notable exceptions, were not subject to other review processes.	Rationale ☐ In the 2012 round, generic and community TLD applications were the source of the most controversy and delays due to contention sets and auction processes; Objections; GAC advice; CPE. ☐ It is anticipated that these will continue to provide the biggest challenge in application processing. ☐ Moving these applications to Phase 3 of the application window will allow the less-controversial strings to be processed in a timely manner and not unnecessarily delayed while solutions are being developed for any potential issues that may arise. ☐ Provide greater data points and confidence around the likely number of applications and market demand.	☐ It is intended that this open round would have a three month window to submit applications consistent with the application windows in the phased application process. ☐ On 1 October 2022, a first-come first-served (FCFS) open application process would commence. ** Open Round means no category limitations, not FCFS		

^{*} Proposed dates are illustrative only



Action Items



- Further questions at this point?
- Wish to provide input?
 - Start an email thread on cpwg@icann.org; or
 - Join the CPWG Call on 9 Jan 2018 (TBC)
- Penholder to compile input, draft response for submission to SubPro PDP Sub-Group A

Want to join the CPWG and participate in this At-Large policy-making opportunity?

Contact our Staff Support Leads:

Heidi Ullrich <Heidi.Ullrich@icann.org> or Evin Erdoğdu <evin.erdogdu@icann.org>

Thank you

